

Access DB#

**SEARCH REQUEST FORM**

Scientific and Technical Information Center

Requester's Full Name: Igor Borissou Examiner #: 7775 Date: 10-22-07  
 Art Unit: 2273 Phone Number 301-497-1111 Serial Number: 10000000000000000000  
 Mail Box and Bldg/Room Location: 10000000000000000000 Results Format Preferred (circle): PAPER DISK E-MAIL

**If more than one search is submitted, please prioritize searches in order of need.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Please provide a detailed statement of the search topic, and describe as specifically as possible the subject matter to be searched. Include the elected species or structures, keywords, synonyms, acronyms, and registry numbers, and combine with the concept or utility of the invention. Define any terms that may have a special meaning. Give examples or relevant citations, authors, etc, if known. Please attach a copy of the cover sheet, pertinent claims, and abstract.

Title of Invention: Process for generating a limited number of sequences

Inventors (please provide full names): Dr. E. Plotkin

Earliest Priority Filing Date: 10-1-00

*\*For Sequence Searches Only\* Please include all pertinent information (parent, child, divisional, or issued patent numbers) along with the appropriate serial number.*

**STAFF USE ONLY****Type of Search****Vendors and cost where applicable**

Searcher: _____	NA Sequence (#) _____	STN _____
Searcher Phone #: _____	AA Sequence (#) _____	Dialog _____
Searcher Location: _____	Structure (#) _____	Questel/Orbit _____
Date Searcher Picked Up: _____	Bibliographic _____	Dr.Link _____
Date Completed: _____	Litigation _____	Lexis/Nexis _____
Searcher Prep & Review Time: _____	Fulltext _____	Sequence Systems _____
Clerical Prep Time: _____	Patent Family _____	WWW/Internet _____
Online Time: _____	Other _____	Other (specify) _____

**Search prepared for:** *Igor Borissov*

By: Sylvia Keys

Date: April 28, 2003

Please find attached the results of your search for **09 513 960**. The search was conducted using the standard collection of databases on Dialog for EIC 3600.

The following other electronic products were searched:  
na

**Please read through the results.**

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sylvia Keys  
703.305.5782

File 1:ERIC 1966-2003/Apr 23  
      (c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation  
 File 2:INSPEC 1969-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers  
 File 5:Biosis Previews(R) 1969-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 BIOSIS  
 File 6:NTIS 1964-2003/Apr W4  
      (c) 2003 NTIS, Intl Cpyrght All Rights Res  
 File 7:Social SciSearch(R) 1972-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info  
 File 8:Ei Compendex(R) 1970-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 Elsevier Eng. Info. Inc.  
 File 9:Business & Industry(R) Jul/1994-2003/Apr 25  
      (c) 2003 Resp. DB Svcs.  
 File 10:AGRICOLA 70-2003/Apr  
      (c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation  
 File 11:PsycINFO(R) 1887-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn.  
 File 13:BAMP 2003/Apr W2  
      (c) 2003 Resp. DB Svcs.  
 File 15:ABI/Inform(R) 1971-2003/Apr 26  
      (c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning  
 File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2003/Apr 25  
      (c) 2003 The Gale Group  
 File 18:Gale Group F&S Index(R) 1988-2003/Apr 25  
      (c) 2003 The Gale Group  
 File 20:Dialog Global Reporter 1997-2003/Apr 28  
      (c) 2003 The Dialog Corp.  
 File 21:NCJRS 1972-2003/Mar  
      (c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation  
 File 27:Foundation Grants Index 1990-2003/Apr  
      (c) 2003 Foundation Center  
 File 29:Meteor.& Geoastro.Abs. 1970-2002/Jul  
      (c) 2002 Amer.Meteorological Soc.  
 File 30:AsiaPacific 1985-2003/Mar 28  
      (c) 2003 Aristarchus Knowledge Indus.  
 File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1990-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info  
 File 35:Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2003/Mar  
      (c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning  
 File 38:America:History & Life 1963-2003/Q2  
      (c) 2003 ABC CLIO Inc.  
 File 39:Historical Abstracts 1973-2003  
      (c) 2003 ABC-CLIO  
 File 42:Pharmaceuticl News Idx 1974-2003/Apr W3  
      (c)2003 ProQuest Info&Learning  
 File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM) 1959-2003/Apr 24  
      (c) 2003 The Gale group  
 File 48:SPORTDiscus 1962-2003/Apr  
      (c) 2003 Sport Information Resource Centre  
 File 49:PAIS Int. 1976-2003/Mar  
      (c) 2003 Public Affairs Information Service  
 File 50:CAB Abstracts 1972-2003/Mar  
      (c) 2003 CAB International  
 File 51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs 1969-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 FSTA IFIS Publishing  
 File 58:GeoArchive 1974-2003/Apr  
      (c) 2003 Geosystems  
 File 62:SPIN(R) 1975-2003/Mar W4  
      (c) 2003 American Institute of Physics  
 File 63:Transport Res(TRIS) 1970-2003/Mar  
      (c) fmt only 2003 Dialog Corp.  
 File 65:Inside Conferences 1993-2003/Apr W3  
      (c) 2003 BLDSC all rts. reserv.  
 File 66:GPO Mon. Cat. -2003/May

(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corp  
File 71:ELSEVIER BIOBASE 1994-2003/Apr W4  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V.  
File 73:EMBASE 1974-2003/Apr W3  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V.  
File 74:Int.Pharm.Abs 1970-2003/Apr B1  
(c) 2003 Amer.Soc.of Health-Sys.Pharm.  
File 75:TGG Management Contents(R) 86-2003/Apr W3  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group  
File 79:Foods Adlibra(TM) 1974-2002/Apr  
(c) 2002 General Mills  
File 80:TGG Aerospace/Def.Mkts(R) 1986-2003/Apr 25  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group  
File 85:Grants 2003/Mar  
(c) 2003 ORYX Press  
File 86:Mental Health Abstracts 1969-2000/Jun  
(c) 2000 IFI/CLAIMS(r)  
File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S. 1976-2003/Apr 24  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group  
File 89:GeoRef 1785-2003/Apr B2  
(c) 2003 American Geological Institute  
File 91:MANTIS(TM) 1880-2002/Oct  
2002 (c) Action Potential  
File 92:IHS Intl.Stds.& Specs. 1999/Nov  
(c) 1999 Information Handling Services  
File 94:JICST-EPlus 1985-2003/Apr W3  
(c)2003 Japan Science and Tech Corp(JST)  
File 95:TEME-Technology & Management 1989-2003/Apr W2  
(c) 2003 FIZ TECHNIK  
File 96:FLUIDEX 1972-2003/Apr  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd.  
File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text 1984-2003/Mar  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co.  
File 99:Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2003/Mar  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co.  
File 103:Energy SciTec 1974-2003/Apr B2  
(c) 2003 Contains copyrighted material  
File 111:TGG Natl.Newspaper Index(SM) 1979-2003/Apr 24  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group  
File 112:UBM Industry News 1998-2003/Apr 28  
(c) 2003 United Business Media  
File 114:Encyclopedia of Associations 2003/Mar  
(c) 2003 Gale Research Inc.  
File 115:Research Centers & Services 1994-2002/Dec  
(c) 2003 Gale Research Inc.  
File 118:ICONDA-Intl Construction 1976-2003/Mar  
(c) 2003 Fraunhofer-IRB  
File 120:U.S. Copyrights 1978-2003/Apr  
(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corp.  
File 122:Harvard Business Review 1971-2003/Apr  
(c) 2003 Harvard Business Review  
File 129:PHIND(Archival) 1980-2003/Apr W3  
(c) 2003 PJB Publications, Ltd.  
File 132:S&P's Daily News 1985-2003/Apr 25  
(c) 2003 McGraw-Hill Companies Inc  
?ds

Set	Items	Description
S1	9984	MANUSCRIPT?(5N)(REVIEW? OR CRITIQUE?)
S2	6559	(SELECT? OR ASSIGN? ? OR ASSIGNING OR CHOOS? OR DESIGNAT? - OR IDENTIF?)(5N)(REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?)
S3	4733	(DENIAL? OR DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REF- USE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?)(5N)(REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?)
S4	27807	(PASS?())ON OR SECONDARY OR 2ND OR (SECOND OR THIRD)()(CHOI-



CE? OR STRING?) OR BACKUP? OR ALTERNATE? OR ALTERNATIVE? OR CHANGE? OR OTHER OR OTHERS OR REPLACING OR REPLACE? ? OR REPLACEMENT? OR MULTI) (5N) (REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?)

S5 86 S1(10N)S2  
S6 4 S5(5N)S3  
S7 3 RD (unique items)  
S8 2 S5(5N)S4  
S9 1 S8 NOT S7  
S10 51 S1(5N)S3  
S11 47 S10 NOT (S7 OR S9)  
S12 46 S11 NOT PY>2001  
S13 30 RD (unique items)  
S14 60 S1(5N)S4  
S15 55 S14 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13)  
S16 54 S15 NOT PY>2001  
S17 33 RD (unique items)  
S18 27147 (DENIAL? OR DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REFUSE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?) (5N) (REVIEW? OR CRITIC? ?)

S19 138 MANUSCRIPT?(5N)S18  
S20 48 S19 NOT (REJECT?(3W)MANUSCRIPT?)  
S21 45 S20 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17)  
S22 40 S21 NOT PY>2001  
S23 27 RD (unique items)  
S24 74 MANUSCRIPT?(5N)S4  
S25 40 S24 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23)  
S26 38 S25 NOT PY>2001  
S27 26 RD (unique items)  
S28 2249 S4 NOT CRITIC?  
S29 37 S28(3N)MANUSCRIPT?  
S30 10 S29 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23 OR S27)  
S31 9 S30 NOT PY>2001  
S32 5 RD (unique items)  
S33 2391 MANUSCRIPT?(5N) (REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?)  
S34 82 S33(5N) (DENIAL? OR DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REFUSE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?)

S35 56 S34 NOT (REJECT?())MANUSCRIPT?)  
S36 27 S35 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23 OR S27 OR S32)  
S37 27 S36 NOT PY>2001  
S38 17 RD (unique items)  
S39 52 (REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?) (5N) (DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REFUSE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?) (5N) (ASSIGNMENT? OR JOB OR JOBS)

S40 2 S39(10N)MANUSCRIPT?  
S41 1 RD (unique items)  
S42 123 S4(5N) (ASSIGNMENT? OR JOB OR JOBS)  
S43 12 S42 NOT CRITIC?  
S44 10 S43 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23 OR S27 OR S32)  
S45 7 RD (unique items)  
S46 73 CADMUS()RAPID()REVIEW? OR CJS()CADMUS()COM OR "CJS.CADMUS.-COM" OR PAPERPATH? OR REP()CO()UK OR "REP.CO.UK" OR SCHOLARONE?

S47 1 S46(5N) (PASS?())ON OR SECONDARY OR 2ND OR (SECOND OR THIRD)-() (CHOICE? OR STRING?) OR BACKUP? OR ALTERNATE? OR ALTERNATIVE? OR OTHER OR OTHERS OR REPLACING OR REPLACE? ? OR REPLACEMENT? OR MULTI)  
S48 0 S46(5N) (DENIAL? OR DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REFUSE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?) (5N) (REVIEWER? OR CRITIC? ?)  
S49 0 S46(5N) (DENIAL? OR DENY OR DECLINE? OR REJECT? OR DENIE? ? OR REFUSE? ? OR REFUSING OR REFUSAL? OR DISINTEREST?)

S50 164 S33(5N) (SELECT? OR CHOSE OR CHOOS? OR MATCH? OR ASSIGN? OR DESIGNAT? OR RECOMMEND? OR SPECIF? OR DETERMIN?)  
S51 130 S50 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23 OR S27 OR S32 OR S4-7)

S52	124	S51 NOT PY>2001
S53	77	RD (unique items)
S54	6	PEER()REVIEW()PLUS?
S55	6	S54 NOT (S7 OR S9 OR S13 OR S17 OR S23 OR S27 OR S32 OR S4-
	7)	
S56	5	RD (unique items)

7/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 1)  
DIALOG(R)File 1:ERIC  
(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation. All rts. reserv.

00348651 ERIC NO.: EJ187710 CLEARINGHOUSE NO.: SP507197  
Diffusion of Educational Knowledge Through Journals: Gatekeepers' Selection  
Criteria  
Silverman, Robert J.  
Viewpoints in Teaching and Learning, 54, 2, 1-22, Apr 78  
1978 (19780000)

IDENTIFIERS: Editor Role; Editorial Boards; **Manuscript Rejection ;**  
**Manuscript Selection ; Reviewers**

7/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01802882 04-53873  
**Social responsibility and the marketing educator: A discussion document**  
Sirgy, M Joseph  
Journal of Business Ethics v19n2 (Part 1) PP: 193-206 Apr 1999  
ISSN: 0167-4544 JRNL CODE: JBE  
WORD COUNT: 8952

...TEXT: do not select reviewers that have a strong bias in order to ensure  
acceptance or **rejection** . We do not **select**

Footnote:

**reviewers** for certain **manuscripts** based on their reputation as "easy"  
or "hard" reviewers. We do not reject a revised...

7/3,K/3 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130041 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634222 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process? (Journal of the**  
**American Medical Association) (The Second International Congress on Peer**  
**Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Gilbert, Julie R.; Williams, Elaine S.; Lundberg, George D.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p139(4)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 2837 LINE COUNT: 00254

... designed to test whether the gender of the corresponding author,  
the assigned editor, or the **reviewers** was associated with (1) **manuscript**  
assignment to the editors, (2) the editor's ratings of **reviewer**  
performance, (3) the editor's **selection** of **reviewers** , (4) content  
**reviewer** recommendations, (5) statistical reviewer recommendations, (6)  
**reviewer** turnaround times, (7) **manuscript rejection** rates without  
other **review** , and (8) **manuscript** acceptance rates.

The following data were extracted from the database and tabulated by  
gender, when...step in getting an article to publication. The editor  
handling the manuscript decides whether to **reject** the **manuscript**  
summary, **chooses** the **reviewers** , interprets the **reviewers** ' comments,  
and presents their views at a manuscript meeting. Each of these variables  
leaves room...

?

9/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03478713 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634222

Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process? (Journal of the  
American Medical Association) (The Second International Congress on Peer  
Review in Biomedical Publication)

Gilbert, Julie R.; Williams, Elaine S.; Lundberg, George D.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p139(4)

July 13, 1994

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 2797 LINE COUNT: 00254

... and manuscript acceptance rates were analyzed with use of  
[[chi].sup.2], tests for independence. **Manuscript** assignments, rejection  
without **other review**, and editors **selection** of **reviewers** were  
analyzed with use of z tests for proportional data. Average reviewer  
ratings and turnaround...  
?

13/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 1)  
DIALOG(R)File 1:ERIC  
(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation. All rts. reserv.

01108934 ERIC NO.: EJ635370 CLEARINGHOUSE NO.: HE543047  
Reasons **Reviewers Reject** and Accept **Manuscripts** : The Strengths and Weaknesses in Medical Education Reports.  
Bordage, Georges  
Academic Medicine, v76 n9 p889-96 Sep 2001  
2001 (20010000)

Reasons **Reviewers Reject** and Accept **Manuscripts** : The Strengths and Weaknesses in Medical Education Reports.

13/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01702525 2000-13486-006  
**Why authors believe that reviewers stress limiting aspects of manuscripts: The SLAM effect in peer review.**  
AUTHOR: Van Lange, Paul A. M.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Free U, Dept of Social Psychology--Amsterdam--Netherlandsnl  
JOURNAL: Journal of Applied Social Psychology--  
<http://www.bellpub.com/jasp/index.htm>, Vol 29(12), 2550-2566, Dec, 1999  
PUBLISHER: VH Winston & Son--US--<http://www.bellpub.com/>

IDENTIFIERS: authors' belief that **reviewers** stress limiting aspects of **manuscripts** in peer **review** & authors' & **reviewers** ' judgements about acceptance or **rejection** for publication, social psychologists

13/3,K/3 (Item 2 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01115964 1990-28162-001  
**Characteristics of accepted versus rejected manuscripts.**  
AUTHOR: Kahn, Arnold S.; Presbury, Jack H.; Moore, Helen B.; Driver, Jacqueline D.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: James Madison U, Harrisonburg, VA--USnl  
JOURNAL: Psychology of Women Quarterly--  
<http://www.blackwellpublishers.co.uk/asp/journal.asp?ref=0361-6843>, Vol 14(1), 7-14, Mar, 1990  
PUBLISHER: Blackwell Publishers--United Kingdom--  
[www.blackwellpublishing.com](http://www.blackwellpublishing.com)

...ABSTRACT: of tables and figures, characteristics of the research sample) did not differentiate potentially publishable from **rejected manuscripts** . **Reviewers** judged **rejected manuscripts** to have more total problems, especially with regard to the significance of the research; suitability...

13/3,K/4 (Item 3 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

00892537 1987-16805-001  
**A challenge to Peters and Ceci's conclusions with an examination of editorial files for reviewer appropriateness.**  
AUTHOR: Boice, Robert; Pecker, Gayle; Zaback, Ellen; Barlow, David H.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: State U New York, Albany

JOURNAL: Behavioral & Brain Sciences--<http://uk.cambridge.org/journals/bbs/>  
, Vol 8(4), 744-745, Dec, 1985  
PUBLISHER: Cambridge Univ Press--US

...ABSTRACT: of the Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis for the appropriateness, bias, and reliability of its **reviewers**. 20 accepted and 20 **rejected manuscripts** were rated in terms of frequency of critical and complementary remarks and helpfulness or fairness...

...criticism than praise, more specific in giving criticism than praise, and somewhat more condescending with **rejected** authors. Even when **reviewers** disagreed on acceptance of a **manuscript**, they invariably made similar criticisms and suggestions for change. (PsycINFO Database Record (c) 2002 APA...

13/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01879776 05-30768  
**Peer-reviewed journals and quality**  
Swartz, Katherine  
Inquiry v36n2 PP: 119-121 Summer 1999  
ISSN: 0046-9580 JRNL CODE: INQ  
WORD COUNT: 1444

...TEXT: great deal of time providing detailed comments about what needs to be improved. Alternatively, the **reviewer** simply will **reject** the **manuscript** in exasperation and the writer will have to go looking for another "home" for the...

13/3,K/6 (Item 2 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01120185 97-69579  
**The review process and the fates of manuscripts submitted to AMJ**  
Beyer, Janice M; Chanove, Roland G; Fox, William B  
Academy of Management Journal v38n5 PP: 1219-1260 Oct 1995  
ISSN: 0001-4273 JRNL CODE: AMA  
WORD COUNT: 16125

...TEXT: selection variables were significant in predicting the editor's final decision--the clarity of a **manuscript** and **reviewers'** recommending **rejection** for nonsignificant results. The direction of the findings was the same as those obtained in...

13/3,K/7 (Item 3 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00487824 90-13581  
**Marketing Academicians' Perceptions of Ethical Research and Publishing Behavior**  
Sherrell, Daniel L.; Hair, Joseph F., Jr.; Griffin, Mitch  
Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science v17n4 PP: 315-324 Fall 1989  
ISSN: 0092-0703 JRNL CODE: AMK

...ABSTRACT: However, submitting the same manuscript to 2 journals aimed at different audiences or agreeing to **review** a **manuscript** for a journal when the **reviewer** already had **reviewed** and **rejected** the **manuscript** for another journal were behaviors that evoked varied responses among

subjects regarding their ethicality. The...

13/3,K/8 (Item 1 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

04819347 Genuine Article#: UK038 No. References: 3  
**Title: EDITORS REQUESTS OF PEER REVIEWERS - A STUDY AND A PROPOSAL**  
Author(s): FRANK E  
Corporate Source: EMORY UNIV,SCH MED,DEPT MED,DEPT FAMILY & PREVENT MED,69  
BUTLER ST SE/ATLANTA//GA/30303  
Journal: PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, 1996, V25, N2 (MAR-APR), P102-104  
ISSN: 0091-7435  
Language: ENGLISH Document Type: ARTICLE (Abstract Available)

...Abstract: 3%. Journals varied substantially in many of their reviewer requests. While 96% of journals asked **reviewers** to recommend acceptance or **rejection**, only 72% asked **reviewers** to assess **manuscripts** ' novelty, 69% requested assessments of clarity, and only 51% asked for assessments of the reasonableness...

13/3,K/9 (Item 2 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

04231560 Genuine Article#: RQ006 No. References: 19  
**Title: THE ROLE OF THE MANUSCRIPT REVIEWER IN THE PEER-REVIEW PROCESS**  
Author(s): POLAK JF  
Corporate Source: HARVARD UNIV,BRIGHAM & WOMENS HOSP,SCH MED,DEPTRADIOL,75  
FRANCIS ST/BOSTON//MA/02115  
Journal: AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ROENTGENOLOGY, 1995, V165, N3 (SEP), P685-688  
ISSN: 0361-803X  
Language: ENGLISH Document Type: ARTICLE (Abstract Available)

...Abstract: authors may be so poorly understood by aspiring authors that certain misconceptions ensue. Authors of **rejected manuscripts** may fear that **reviewers** have acted in an arbitrary and possibly censorial fashion [2, 3]. Conversely, authors of accepted...

13/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 35)  
DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01331399 ORDER NO: AAD13-53516  
**A STUDY IN THE RELIABILITY OF JOURNAL PEER REVIEW**  
Author: BERKY, ZOLTAN TIBOR  
Degree: M.S.  
Year: 1992  
Corporate Source/Institution: UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE (0110)  
Source: VOLUME 32/01 of MASTERS ABSTRACTS.  
PAGE 41. 155 PAGES

...been accepted and resubmission of 10 previously rejected manuscripts would have resulted in 6 being **rejected**. **Reviewers** ' responses, for scientific **manuscripts** only, were no more reliable or consistent than for all categories of manuscripts combined. The...

...accepted" manuscripts, when compared to the use of 3 reviewers. However, the use of 2 **reviewers** was not validated for " **rejected** " **manuscripts** .

13/3,K/11 (Item 2 from file: 35)

DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01272013 ORDER NO: AADMM-69888

**ANALYSIS OF THE PEER REVIEW PROCESS IN NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL JOURNALS**

Author: WASS, PETER J.

Degree: M.A.

Year: 1991

Corporate Source/Institution: UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR (CANADA) (0115)

Source: VOLUME 31/01 of MASTERS ABSTRACTS.

PAGE 441. 68 PAGES

ISBN: 0-315-69888-8

...to accept a manuscript that both reviewers agreed should be  
accepted as they were to **reject** a **manuscript** that both **reviewers**  
agreed should be **rejected**. The variables that served as the best  
predictors of subsequent publication were overall significance, conclusions  
...

13/3,K/12 (Item 3 from file: 35)

DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online

(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

931352 ORDER NO: AAD86-23259

**TRACING SOCIAL SCIENCE: THE MANUSCRIPT REVIEW PROCESS**

Author: BAKANIC, EUNICE YVONNE

Degree: PH.D.

Year: 1986

Corporate Source/Institution: UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN  
(0090)

Source: VOLUME 47/07-A OF DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS INTERNATIONAL.

PAGE 2743. 347 PAGES

...formulated to frame the examination and description.

First, what is the extent of consensus among **reviewers** to accept  
or **reject manuscripts**? Second, what criteria do **reviewers** cite to  
justify their recommendations for the disposition of manuscripts? Third,  
what is the relationship...

...agreement among reviewers is rare. However, when reviewers do agree it  
is most likely to **reject** the **manuscript**. The criteria **reviewers** cite  
to justify disposition recommendations are most often negative rather than  
positive comments. Criticisms of...

...critical of manuscripts that were rejected and those that were  
eventually published. However, eventually published **manuscripts** received  
more positive comments from **reviewers** than did their **rejected**  
counterparts. There were no systematic differences between sub-disciplinary  
areas, but the criteria cited by...

13/3,K/13 (Item 1 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05467114 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 57160818 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Research. (Review) (book reviews)**

Riesenberg, Lee Ann; Riesenberg, Don

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 282, 16, 1589

Oct 27, 1999

DOCUMENT TYPE: Review ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 580 LINE COUNT: 00049

... very fine work. Browner offers the sage advice that authors seize



the opportunity provided by **reviewers** ' comments about a **rejected manuscript** to make it a better submission next time.

Browner concludes by attaching two appendixes. The...

**13/3,K/14 (Item 2 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192433 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954391 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The Reported Training and Experience of Editors in Chief of Specialist Clinical Medical Journals.**  
Garrow, John MD, PhD, FRCP; Marshall, Jacinta BA  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p286(1)  
July 15, 1998  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 1781 LINE COUNT: 00148

... 0%, P = .09), and are less likely to be bound by the majority advice of **reviewers** to accept or **reject** a **manuscript** (21.0% vs 34.4%, P = .08). Differences in behavior by impact factor did not...

**13/3,K/15 (Item 3 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192428 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954386 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Perceived Value of Providing Peer Reviewers With Abstracts and Preprints of Related Published and Unpublished Papers.**  
Hatch, Christopher L. PhD; Goodman, Steven N. MD, PhD  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p273(1)  
July 15, 1998  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 3682 LINE COUNT: 00354

... cited or adequately discussed, are important criteria that often form the basis for a peer **reviewer** 's recommendation to **reject** a (**manuscript** .sup.6) aspects of an accepted manuscript that are most likely to change during the...

**13/3,K/16 (Item 4 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192416 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954374 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Effect on the Quality of Peer Review of Blinding Reviewers and Asking Them to Sign Their Reports.**  
Godlee, Fiona BSc, MRCP; Gale, Catharine R. BSc; Martyn, Christopher N. DPhil, FRCP  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p237(1)  
July 15, 1998  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2576 LINE COUNT: 00267

... some of the reviewers we approached may have been peripheral to the subject of the **manuscript** . We know that 46 potential **reviewers** **declined** to write a report because they felt unqualified to comment. This point should also...

**13/3,K/17 (Item 5 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04736256 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 19237312 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals.**  
**(International Committee of Medical Journal Editors)**  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v277, n11, p927(8)  
March 19, 1997  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 8845 LINE COUNT: 00742

... with others, except with the permission of the editor. Editors should not keep copies of **rejected manuscripts**.

Opinions differ on whether **reviewers** should remain anonymous. Some editors require their reviewers to sign the comments returned to authors...

13/3,K/18 (Item 6 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130045 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634230 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**How well does a journal's peer review process function? A survey of author's opinions. (the Journal of Clinical Anesthesia) (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Sweitzer, Bobbie Jean; Cullen, David J.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p152(2)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 1759 LINE COUNT: 00159

... administrative and editorial assistance of the journal staff were highly regarded, by authors of both **rejected** and accepted **manuscripts**. **Reviewers** ' guidelines that the **review** is a means of improving the manuscript and more than just a grading effort may...

13/3,K/19 (Item 7 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130042 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634224 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The effects of blinding on acceptance of research papers by peer review. (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Fisher, Martin; Friedman, Stanford B.; Strauss, Barbara  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p143(4)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 3909 LINE COUNT: 00324

... accept, with revisions optional; 3, accept, conditional on revision; 4, reject, offer opportunity for additional **review** of a radically revised **manuscript**; and 5, **reject**. Except during this study, **reviewers** are not blinded to author identity, but authors are blinded to reviewer identity. After all...

13/3,K/20 (Item 8 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130031 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634202 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Peer review is an effective screening process to evaluate medical manuscripts. (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Abby, Margaret; Massey, Michael D.; Galandiuk, Susan; Polk, Hiram C., Jr.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p105(3)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484      LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 2876      LINE COUNT: 00237

... year 1989 was retrieved from the AJS tracking files. These files contained the letter of **rejection** and the **reviewer critiques** for each **manuscript**. The main database of the National Library of Medicine (MEDLINE) on CD-ROM (SilverPlatter Information...

...authorship but the MEDLINE abstract contained results and conclusions identical to those discussed in the **reviewer critiques**. The **rejected manuscript** could not be compared with the subsequently published manuscript because of the journal policy of...

**13/3,K/21      (Item 9 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03983457      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 13266635      (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Authors, publishers, and the McCarthy era: a hidden history. (Senator Joseph McCarthy)**  
Theoharis, Athan G.  
USA Today (Magazine), v122, n2580, p90(3)  
Sept, 1993  
ISSN: 0161-7389      LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 2374      LINE COUNT: 00200

... the London publisher Derek Verschoyle.  
How many other authors encountered similar difficulties? How many publishers **rejected reviewers** or **manuscripts** either because they concluded that the reviewer or subject was too controversial or in fear...

**13/3,K/22      (Item 10 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404431      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08846007      (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The effects of blinding on the quality of peer review; a randomized trial. (manuscripts with authors' names and institutions removed)**  
McNutt, Robert A.; Evans, Arthur T.; Fletcher, Robert H.; Fletcher, Suzanne W.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1371(6)  
March 9, 1990  
ISSN: 0098-7484      LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 3956      LINE COUNT: 00320

... Reviewers also recommend whether to accept, accept after satisfactory revision, reconsider after major revision, or **reject** the **manuscripts**. The **reviewer** is asked to prepare separate comments for editors and authors. Reviewers are encouraged to sign...

**13/3,K/23      (Item 11 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404430      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08845849      (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Problems identified by secondary review of accepted manuscripts. (further review of accepted manuscripts)**  
Garfunkel, Joseph M.; Ulshen, Martin H.; Hamrick, Harvey J.; Lawson, Edward E.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1369(3)

March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 2430

LINE COUNT: 00196

... of 18 of the 25 manuscripts, although the reviews included many recommendations for improvement. Both **reviewers** recommended **rejection** of 1 **manuscript**. Recommendations differed for 6 manuscripts> three of the responses that we have classified as a...problems noted, although valid, would not have influenced the basic editorial decision to accept or **reject**

The extent of consensus among **reviewers** of scientific **manuscripts** has been **reviewed** by Lock, [4] who concludes that experts more frequently disagree about manuscripts submitted to biomedical...

13/3,K/24 (Item 12 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404426 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799117 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Editorial peer review in US medical journals.**

Weller, Ann C.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1344(4)

March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT

WORD COUNT: 2920 LINE COUNT: 00231

... Several characteristics were similar for both groups of journals. These characteristics include the number of **reviewers** per **manuscript**, the likelihood of **rejecting** a **manuscript** on the recommendation of both **reviewers**, the percentage of accepted **manuscripts reviewed**, the percentage of **manuscript** revised more than once, the decision to use a statistical reviewer, the use of anonymous...

13/3,K/25 (Item 1 from file: 73)

DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE

(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

04365501 EMBASE No: 1990253565

**Peer review of the biomedical literature**

Olson C.M.

American Medical Association, 535 N Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60610 United States

American Journal of Emergency Medicine ( AM. J. EMERG. MED. ) (United States) 1990, 8/4 (356-358)

CODEN: AJEME ISSN: 0735-6757

DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal; Short Survey

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

...to authors to reduce bias, but reviewers frequently recognize the author anyhow. Blinding authors to **reviewers** may protect the **reviewer**. **Manuscripts rejected** by one journal because of peer review are usually published in another. Since peer review...

13/3,K/26 (Item 1 from file: 74)

DIALOG(R)File 74:Int.Pharm.Abs

(c) 2003 Amer.Soc.of Health-Sys.Pharm. All rts. reserv.

00161625 26-11740

REVIEWERS' CONTRIBUTION TO THE MANUSCRIPT SELECTION PROCESS

Klein, C. N.

Am. Soc. of Hosp. Pharm., 4630 Montgomery Ave., Bethesda, MD 20814, USA

American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy (USA), V46, (May), p906, 908, 1989

CODEN: AJHPA9 ISSN: 0002-9289 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...more than one way to approach a study or to present a topic, hence, despite **reviewers** ' recommendations to **reject** a **manuscript**, the authors are afforded the opportunity to respond to any serious criticisms of their paper...

13/3,K/27 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05853724 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 76927403  
**A Split Half Sayonara.**  
Lederman, Norman G.; Niess, Margaret L.  
School Science and Mathematics, 101, 5, 221  
May, 2001  
ISSN: 0036-6803 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 3072 LINE COUNT: 00265

... more experienced with the review process. Table 1 presents the reasons and associated descriptive statistics **reviewers** have given for **rejecting manuscripts** during our tenure as co-editors. Please note that articles typically are rejected for numerous...

13/3,K/28 (Item 2 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04802452 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20816295  
**Gender differences in self-perception and negative recall biases.**  
Beyer, Sylvia  
Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, v38, n1-2, p103(31)  
Jan, 1998  
ISSN: 0360-0025 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 10013 LINE COUNT: 00855

... convey to the author that the methodology represents an advance over previous work, whereas another **reviewer** may **reject** the same **manuscript** because the methodology is considered lacking. This may create a quandary for the author regarding...

13/3,K/29 (Item 3 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02518534 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 11015655  
**How blind is blind review? (editorial)**  
Yankauer, Alfred  
The American Journal of Public Health, v81, n7, p843(3)  
July, 1991  
DOCUMENT TYPE: editorial ISSN: 0090-0036 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...ABSTRACT: certain number of scientists who have some familiarity in the research area under discussion. These **reviewers** can **reject** or accept the **manuscript** for publication, or can recommend changes in the manuscript before its publication. In the blind...

13/3,K/30 (Item 4 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02311752 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 09251214

Publishing in 'School Science and Mathematics': criteria, guidelines and trends. (editorial)

Borchers, Carol A.; Enochs, Larry G.

School Science and Mathematics, v90, n7, p575(6)

Nov, 1990

DOCUMENT TYPE: editorial

ISSN: 0036-6803

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Citation

CAPTIONS: Topics of manuscripts received for review by School Science and Mathematics. (table); **Reviewers** 'reasons for **rejecting** manuscripts . (table); Published manuscripts (January 1989-May 1990) by gender (N=68). (table); Rejected manuscripts (January...

17/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 5)  
DIALOG(R)File 5:BIOSIS Previews(R)  
(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09394673 BIOSIS NO.: 199497403043

**Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process?**

AUTHOR: Gilbert Julie R; Williams Elaine S; Lundberg George D(a)  
AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)JAMA, 515 N. State St., Chicago, IL 60610\*\*USA  
JOURNAL: JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association) 272 (2):p  
139-142 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract  
LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: corresponding authors more often than were male editors (P lt .001). Female editors used more **reviewers** per **manuscript** if sent for **other review**. Male **reviewers** assisted male editors more often than female editors, and male reviewers took longer to return...

17/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03281747 GENUINE ARTICLE#: 160LR NO. REFERENCES: 43

**TITLE: The review process at PSPB: Correlates of interreviewer agreement and manuscript acceptance**

AUTHOR(S): Petty RE (REPRINT); Fleming MA  
CORPORATE SOURCE: OHIO STATE UNIV, DEPT PSYCHOL, 1885 NEIL  
AVE/COLUMBUS//OH/43210 (REPRINT); QUEENS UNIV,/KINGSTON/ON K7L  
3N6/CANADA/  
JOURNAL: PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY BULLETIN, 1999, V25, N2 (FEB), P  
188-203  
PUBLISHER: SAGE PUBLICATIONS INC, 2455 TELLER RD, THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91320  
ISSN: 0146-1672  
LANGUAGE: English DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
(ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...ABSTRACT: 2-year period (i.e., one editor's tenure). Among the findings were the following: **Reviewers'** judgments of **manuscripts** were **multi** - rather than unidimensional; **reviewer** agreement about methodology and overall recommendation was greater among high-prestige than mixed-prestige reviewers...

17/3,K/3 (Item 2 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

02348829 GENUINE ARTICLE#: HM927 NO. REFERENCES: 10

**TITLE: AN ADVERSARY MODEL OF MANUSCRIPT REVIEW - FURTHER COMMENTS**

AUTHOR(S): BORNSTEIN RF  
CORPORATE SOURCE: GETTYSBURG COLL, DEPT PSYCHOL/GETTYSBURG//PA/17325  
JOURNAL: JOURNAL OF MIND AND BEHAVIOR, 1991, V12, N4 (FAL), P479-486  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: ARTICLE  
(Abstract Available)

...ABSTRACT: would work best within the framework of the model; and (3) how the adversary model **changes** the relationship of author, **reviewer** and editor during the **manuscript review** process. Continued discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the adversary model-and of the...

17/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 8)  
DIALOG(R)File 8:Ei Compendex(R)  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Eng. Info. Inc. All rts. reserv.

03320415 E.I. Monthly No: EIM9110-051859  
**Title: Teaching quality - a university manuscript contest.**  
Author: Harmer, Chet; Stocks, Kevin D.  
Corporate Source: Cooper & Lybrand, San Jose, CA, USA  
Conference Title: 45th Annual Quality Congress Transactions  
Conference Location: Milwaukee, WI, USA Conference Date: 19910520  
E.I. Conference No.: 15006  
Source: Annual Quality Congress Transactions v 45. Publ by ASQC,  
Milwaukee, WI, USA. p 588-591  
Publication Year: 1991  
CODEN: AQCTEF  
Language: English

...Abstract: The judging process, and award structure of the contest are discussed. The requirement that each **manuscript** author also act a **reviewer** of **other** papers is examined. The solicitation of practitioners as judges is reviewed. Benefits of this effort...

17/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01207757 1992-36808-001  
**Manuscript review in psychology: Psychometrics, demand characteristics, and an alternative model.**  
AUTHOR: Bornstein, Robert F.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Gettysburg Coll, PA--USn1  
JOURNAL: Journal of Mind & Behavior, Vol 12(4), 429-467, Fal, 1991  
PUBLISHER: Inst of Mind & Behavior--US--kramer.ume.maine.edu/-J

...ABSTRACT: procedures. Manuscript reviews seem to be more strongly influenced by chance factors than by systematic **reviewer** or editorial bias. An **alternative** approach to **manuscript review** based on an adversary model rather than a scientific model is presented. Changes in current...

17/3,K/6 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

02076314 55242146  
**The terror that failed: Public opinion in the aftermath of the bombing in Oklahoma City**  
Lewis, Carol W  
Public Administration Review v60n3 PP: 201-210 May/Jun 2000  
ISSN: 0033-3352 JRNL CODE: PAR  
WORD COUNT: 7015

...TEXT: for Survey Research and Analysis, for providing the public opinion data and, along with several **other** colleagues and anonymous **reviewers**, thoughtful comments on the **manuscript**.

2. GAO (1997, 8) notes three schools of thought on the risk of

17/3,K/7 (Item 2 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.



01396154 00-47141

**Times change and so does the JSBM: An editorial**

Scherr, Fred

Journal of Small Business Management v35n1 PP: 1 Jan 1997

ISSN: 0047-2778 JRNL CODE: JSB

WORD COUNT: 572

...TEXT: traditional manuscripts. However Longer Articles must have merit in keeping with their length.

The second **change** concerns the assignment of **reviewers** to **manuscripts** and reflects the increasingly specialized focus of many small business researchers (including the distinguished scholars...

**17/3,K/8 (Item 1 from file: 16)**

DIALOG(R)File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R)

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

07458017 Supplier Number: 62409732 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**First Look - Cadmus Rapid Review Takes Peer-Review Cycle Online.(Software Review)(Evaluation)**

Terry, Ana Arias; Walter, Mark

The Seybold Report on Internet Publishing, v4, n10, pNA

June, 2000

Language: English Record Type: Fulltext

Article Type: Evaluation

Document Type: Newsletter; Trade

Word Count: 2486

... does do, though, is maintain reviewer anonymity and prevent reviewers from seeing who else is **reviewing** the **manuscript** .

Once **manuscripts** are **reviewed** , editors can **change** **reviewer** feedback to strip inappropriate commentary or add their own comments or instructions prior to passing...

**17/3,K/9 (Item 1 from file: 34)**

DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci

(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

08756566 Genuine Article#: 326GM No. References: 10

**Title: Manuscript peer review: A helpful checklist for students and novice referees**

Author(s): Seals DR (REPRINT) ; Tanaka H

Corporate Source: UNIV COLORADO,DEPT KINESIOL & APPL PHYSIOL, CAMPUS BOX 354/BOULDER//CO/80309 (REPRINT)

Journal: ADVANCES IN PHYSIOLOGY EDUCATION, 2000, V23, N1 (JUN), P52-58

ISSN: 1043-4046 Publication date: 20000600

Publisher: AMER PHYSIOLOGICAL SOC, 9650 ROCKVILLE PIKE, BETHESDA, MD 20814

Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: guidelines in the hope that they will serve as a helpful resource for students and **other** novice **reviewers** when critiquing scientific **manuscripts** .

**17/3,K/10 (Item 2 from file: 34)**

DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci

(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

06872773 Genuine Article#: ZZ204 No. References: 6

**Title: Does masking author identity improve peer review quality? - A randomized controlled trial**

Author(s): Justice AC (REPRINT) ; Cho MK; Winker MA; Berlin JA; Rennie D

Corporate Source: CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIV, SCH MED, WG-29, 10900 EUCLID AVE/CLEVELAND//OH/44106 (REPRINT); DEPT VET AFFAIRS MED CTR, DIV GEN INTERNAL MED/CLEVELAND//OH/; DEPT VET AFFAIRS MED CTR, PROGRAM HLTH CARE RES/CLEVELAND//OH/; UNIV HOSP CLEVELAND, /CLEVELAND//OH/44106; UNIV PENN, SCH MED, CTR BIOETH/PHILADELPHIA//PA/19104; UNIV PENN, SCH MED, DEPT BIostat & EPIDEMIOLOG, DIV. BIostat/PHILADELPHIA//PA/19104; UNIV PENN, SCH MED, CTR CLIN EPIDEMIOLOG & BIostat/PHILADELPHIA//PA/19104; UNIV CALIF SAN FRANCISCO, INST HLTH POLICY STUDIES/SAN FRANCISCO//CA/94143  
Journal: JAMA-JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 1998, V280, N3 ( JUL 15), P240-242  
ISSN: 0098-7484 Publication date: 19980715  
Publisher: AMER MEDICAL ASSOC, 515 N STATE ST, CHICAGO, IL 60610  
Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: study arm, both peer reviewers received the manuscript according to usual masking practice. In the **other** arm, one **reviewer** was randomized to receive a **manuscript** with author identity masked, and the **other reviewer** received an unmasked **manuscript** .

Main Outcome Measure.- **Review** quality on a 5-point Likert scale as judged by manuscript author and editor. A...

17/3,K/11 (Item 1 from file: 35)  
DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01667730 ORDER NO: AAD99-06902  
**AN ANALYSIS OF RESEARCHERS' ATTITUDES TOWARDS NULL HYPOTHESIS TESTING**  
Author: LUYEGU, ANNETTE CHIMOLI  
Degree: PH.D.  
Year: 1998  
Corporate Source/Institution: OHIO UNIVERSITY (0167)  
Source: VOLUME 59/09-A OF DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS INTERNATIONAL.  
PAGE 3419. 176 PAGES

...significant differences in their attitudes towards NHT, while there was no statistically significant difference between **manuscript reviewers** and non- **reviewers** . In ranking **alternative** methods to NHT, techniques were classified as follows, in order of priority: (1) confidence intervals ...

17/3,K/12 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

06120024 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 76446937 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Reporting Financial Conflicts of Interest and Relationships Between Investigators and Research Sponsors. (Editorial)**  
DeAngelis, Catherine D.; Fontanarosa, Phil B.; Flanagan, Annette  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 286, 1, 89  
July 4, 2001  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2545 LINE COUNT: 00221

... 23) Such information is kept confidential and is not revealed to the authors of the **manuscript** or to **other reviewers** . Peer **reviewers** who believe they have a conflict of interest (financial or otherwise) that prevents them from...

17/3,K/13 (Item 2 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05964274 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 68025124 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Current and Projected Workforce Requirements for Care of the Critically Ill  
and Patients With Pulmonary Disease: Can We Meet the Requirements of an  
Aging Population?(Caring for the Critically Ill Patient)**  
Angus, Derek C.; Kelley, Mark A.; Schmitz, Robert J.; White, Alan;  
Popovich, John, Jr.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 284, 21, 2762  
Dec 6, 2000  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 7477 LINE COUNT: 00715

... support throughout the project; Pamela Peels, PhD (University of  
Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa), the anonymous peer **reviewers** at JAMA, and  
numerous **other reviewers** for their thoughtful **critique** in the  
preparation of the **manuscript**.

Author Affiliations: Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care  
Medicine and Center for Research on Health...

**17/3,K/14 (Item 3 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192417 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954375 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Does Masking Author identity Improve Peer Review Quality?**  
Justice, Amy C. MD, PhD; Cho, Mildred K. PhD; Winker, Margaret A. MD;  
Berlin, Jesse A. ScD; Rennie, Drummond MD  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p240(1)  
July 15, 1998  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 3100 LINE COUNT: 00284

... study arm, both peer reviewers received the manuscript according to  
usual masking practice. In the **other** arm, one **reviewer** was randomized  
to receive a **manuscript** with author identity masked, and the **other  
reviewer** received an unmasked **manuscript**.

Main Outcome Measure.-- **Review** quality on a 5-point Likert scale as  
judged by manuscript author and editor. A...

...to receive a manuscript from which the author identity and institution  
had been removed. The **other reviewer** received the **manuscript** with  
author and institution identified. Randomization was performed using random  
number tables. In all cases...

**17/3,K/15 (Item 4 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192414 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954372 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**What Makes a Good Reviewer and a Good Review for a General Medical Journal?**  
Black, Nick MD; Rooyen, Susan van BSc; Godlee, Fiona MRCP; Smith, Richard  
FRCP; Evans, Stephen MSc  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p231(1)  
July 15, 1998  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2370 LINE COUNT: 00215

... The third study demonstrated that younger reviewers with  
considerable refereeing experience provided stricter assessments of  
**manuscripts** than **other (reviewers .sup.3)**. None of the **other**  
characteristics examined (such as research training and postgraduate  
qualifications) were associated with review quality.

The...

17/3,K/16 (Item 5 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05181069 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20908539 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Helicobacter. (journal) (periodical reviews)**  
McKnight, Michelynn; Bird, Philip C.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n1, p100(2)  
July 1, 1998  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Review ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 439 LINE COUNT: 00042

... a telephone conversation, the editor stated that while members of the editorial board evaluate some **manuscripts**, **others** are sent to outside **reviewers**. **Reviewers** know the identities of authors. The editor also noted that this journal covers all kinds...

17/3,K/17 (Item 6 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05093721 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20306006 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Pulmonary function in space. (includes reply) (Letter to the Editor)**  
Vlassov, V.; West, John B.; Elliott, Ann R.; Guy, Harold J.B.; Prisk, G. Kim  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v279, n4, p275(2)  
Jan 28, 1998  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Letter to the Editor ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 711 LINE COUNT: 00063

... balance, I must note that Russian authors tend to ignore US publications, as well as **other** foreign publications. Not long ago, **reviewers** of **manuscripts** submitted to journals (as well as reviewers of dissertations) calculated the percentage of references to...

17/3,K/18 (Item 7 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04603811 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 18677192 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Recommended childhood immunization schedule - United States, July-December 1996. (From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)**  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v276, n10, p775(2)  
Sep 11, 1996  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 2262 LINE COUNT: 00197

... reviewer identities are kept confidential. Author identities are not kept confidential.

The existence of a **manuscript** under **review** is not revealed to anyone **other** than peer **reviewers** and editorial staff. Information from submitted manuscripts may be systematically collected and analyzed as part ...

17/3,K/19 (Item 8 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04582886 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 18646366 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Editorial policy and peer review process. (Editorial) (Editorial)**  
Hayhoe, George F.  
Technical Communication, v43, n3, p222(3)  
August, 1996  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0049-3155 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 1426 LINE COUNT: 00125

... in Technical Communication. The comments should use sufficient examples to show that evidence from the **manuscript** has informed the **reviewer**'s evaluation. **Reviewers** may also suggest publication **alternatives** if they do not feel that the manuscript is likely to be suitable for Technical...

17/3,K/20 (Item 9 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03977674 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 14641107 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The effect of changing land use on soil radiocarbon.**  
Harrison, Kevin G.; Broecker, Wallace S.; Bonani, Georges  
Science, v262, n5134, p725(2)  
Oct 29, 1993  
ISSN: 0036-8075 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 1400 LINE COUNT: 00105

... a grant from the Department of Energy and a National Aeronautics and Space Administration Global **Change** Fellowship. We thank two anonymous **reviewers**, whose **critiques** led to a greatly improved **manuscript**, and B. Zambella, who lent a discerning eye, careful hand, and timely resources. LDEO contribution...

17/3,K/21 (Item 10 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03897241 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 14081930 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**How to Write and Publish Engineering Papers and Reports. (book reviews)**  
Carter, Nelson C.  
Technical Communication, v40, n2, p277(2)  
May, 1993  
DOCUMENT TYPE: review ISSN: 0049-3155 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH  
RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 647 LINE COUNT: 00049

... a practical aid to engineering writers, this book offers many valuable suggestions to editors and **other reviewers** for helping authors improve their **manuscripts**. For example, there are tips for the effective use of figures and tables. While several...

17/3,K/22 (Item 11 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03558315 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 10960541 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Statements from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. (guidelines for manuscripts)**  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v265, n20, p2697(2)  
May 22, 1991  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 2063 LINE COUNT: 00172

... the manuscript. No such procedure should be adopted without the consent of the authors and **reviewers**. However, **reviewers** ' comments may be sent to **other reviewers** of the same **manuscript**, and **reviewers** may be notified of the editor's decision.

THE ROLE OF THE CORRESPONDENCE COLUMN  
All...

17/3,K/23 (Item 1 from file: 48)  
DIALOG(R)File 48:SPORTDiscus  
(c) 2003 Sport Information Resource Centre. All rts. reserv.

01439110 SPORT RECORD NUMBER: 377329  
**Comment on 'ventilation and blood lactate increase exponentially during incremental exercise'.**  
Morton, R.H.  
Journal of sports sciences, v11, n5, p371-375  
Oct 1993

...ABSTRACT: from zero. This paper draws these matters to the attention of readers of this journal, **reviewers** of **manuscripts** and **other** researchers, with comment and suggestion. This is done in the hope that all those concerned...

17/3,K/24 (Item 1 from file: 75)  
DIALOG(R)File 75:TGG Management Contents(R)  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

00231723 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 58617880 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**JCR Statement of Review Philosophy. (Journal of Consumer Research)**  
Mick, David Glen  
Journal of Consumer Research, 26, 3, 307  
Dec, 1999  
ISSN: 0093-5301 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 4225 LINE COUNT: 00344

... makes this recommendation, he or she will be regarded as having signed off on the **manuscript**. Any revisions requested by **other reviewers** will not be sent back to the reviewer who has advocated unconditional acceptance of a...

17/3,K/25 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05660365 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 69661594  
**New Editorial Policies. (Brief Article)**  
GOLDSTONE, ROBERT  
Cognitive Science, 24, 4, 571  
Oct-Dec, 2000  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Brief Article ISSN: 0364-0213 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 526 LINE COUNT: 00048

... typically send a submitted manuscript to reviewers with expertise in the methodologies used in the **manuscript**, and to **reviewers** from **other** disciplines that are relevant to the research.  
We would also like to remind readers of...

17/3,K/26 (Item 2 from file: 88)

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05644993 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 68966489  
**Sediment Yields Controlled by Intrabasinal Storage and Sediment Conveyance  
over the Interval 1842-1994: Chagrin River, Northeast Ohio, U.S.A.**  
Evans, J.K.; Gottgens, J.F.; Gill, W.M.; Mackey, S.D.  
Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 55, 3, 264  
Summer, 2000  
ISSN: 0022-4561 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 5843 LINE COUNT: 00488

... Falls Historical Society. The authors also appreciate the comments  
of J.C. Knox and two **other reviewers** on this **manuscript** .

REFERENCES CITED

Appleby, P.G. and F. Oldfield. 1978. The calculation of (Pb.sup.210

...

17/3,K/27 (Item 3 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05479178 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 62980223  
**Manuscript Characteristics Affecting Reviewers' Decisions for  
Rehabilitation Counseling Related Journals.**  
Coelho, Richard J.; La Forge, Jan  
The Journal of Rehabilitation, 66, 2, 4  
April, 2000  
ISSN: 0022-4154 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 3737 LINE COUNT: 00356

... second area that received support from 12 reviewers was receiving  
access to the comments of **other reviewers** from the **manuscripts  
reviewed** . This is a practice of the Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin and  
is a most helpful feedback...for both new and current reviewers. Finally,  
reviewers would also like to review comments from **other reviewers** of  
the **manuscript** in question.

Both the request for more training and the opportunity to review  
other reviewer...

17/3,K/28 (Item 4 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04195366 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 19181776  
**Foreword by the outgoing editor. (editor of The China Quarterly)**  
Shambaugh, David  
The China Quarterly, n147, p701(3)  
Sep, 1996  
ISSN: 0305-7410 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...ABSTRACT: during his editorial tenure. He expresses his gratitude to  
the Editorial Board members and to **other reviewers** for **reviewing** the  
large volume of **manuscripts** . The editor feels his success lies in  
increasing the journal's circulation numbers and readership...

17/3,K/29 (Item 5 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02474254 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08846007  
**The effects of blinding on the quality of peer review; a randomized trial.**

(manuscripts with authors' names and institutions removed)

McNutt, Robert A.; Evans, Arthur T.; Fletcher, Robert H.; Fletcher, Suzanne W.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1371(6)  
March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484      LANGUAGE: English      RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 3079      LINE COUNT: 00320

... was randomly chosen to receive the manuscript blinded to the authors and institution, while the **other reviewer** received the **manuscript** as submitted, with authors' and their institutions' names on it. The reviewers signed their reviews...

...associate editor reviewed the success of blinding for each manuscript. A photocopy of the taped **manuscript** was sent to the appropriate **reviewer**. The major **change** in the **manuscript** was removing the authors' and institutions' names from the face page. Minimal changes were made...

17/3,K/30      (Item 1 from file: 98)

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04751371      H.W. WILSON      RECORD NUMBER: BGSA02001371      (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Population biology and secondary production of the suspension feeding polychaete Chaetopterus cf. variopedatus: implications for benthic-pelagic coupling in lower Chesapeake Bay.**

Thompson, Michelle L

Schaffner, Linda C

Limnology and Oceanography (Limnol Oceanogr) v. 46 no8 (Dec. 2001) p. 1899-907

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il      ISSN: 0024-3590

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 7075

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... Hugh Ducklow, John Grant, Jim Hagy, Margaret Palmer, Mark Patterson, Don Wright, and two anonymous **reviewers** improved the **manuscript**.

Table 1. **Secondary** production estimates of Chaetopterus cf. variopedatus from lower Chesapeake Bay. Data are g AFDW m...

17/3,K/31      (Item 2 from file: 98)

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04501759      H.W. WILSON      RECORD NUMBER: BGSA01001759      (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Evolutionary origin of feathers.**

AUGMENTED TITLE: symposium

American Zoologist (Am Zool) v. 40 no4 (Sept. 2000) p. 455-706

ISSN: 0003-1569

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 158028

17/3,K/32      (Item 3 from file: 98)

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.



03771077 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS198021077 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Image acts.**

Bakewell, Liza

American Anthropologist (Am Anthropol) v. 100 no1 (Mar. '98) p. 22-32

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 0002-7294

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 6322

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**TEXT:**

... to Thomas Skidmore and Barbara Tedlock for their encouragement, and to Laurel Kendall and the **other reviewers** of my **manuscript** for their thoughtful comments. Special thanks to Tom Tureen and Alan Albright and to Stanley...

**17/3,K/33 (Item 4 from file: 98)**

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text

(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03526226 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS197026226 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals**

Public Health Reports (Public Health Rep) v. 112 (May/June '97) p. 253-63

DOCUMENT TYPE: Feature Article

ISSN: 0033-3549

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 9472

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**TEXT:**

... the manuscript. No such procedure should be adopted without the consent of the authors and **reviewers**. However, **reviewers** ' comments may be sent to **other reviewers** of the same **manuscript**, and **reviewers** may be notified of the editor's decision.

Medical journals and the popular media. The...

23/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01999009 1995-97469-000

**Publishing in the organizational sciences (2nd ed.).**  
SERIES TITLE: Foundations for organizational science.  
AUTHOR: Cummings, L. L., Ed); Frost, Peter J., Ed)  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: U Minnesota--MN--USn1  
, xiii, p330, 1995  
PUBLISHER: Sage Publications, Inc--Thousand Oaks--CA--US

...ABSTRACT: Some of the topics covered . . . are the manuscript review process, publication system, newcomers' perspectives, values, **reviewing manuscripts** , **rejection** , and the editorial process. This book explains the entire context of scholarly publishing and how...

23/3,K/2 (Item 2 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01367339 1995-03288-001

**How well does a journal's peer review process function? A survey of authors' opinions.**  
AUTHOR: Sweitzer, Bobbie Jean; Cullen, David J.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Massachusetts General Hosp, Dept of Anesthesia, Boston --USn1  
JOURNAL: JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association--  
<http://jama.ama-assn.org/>, Vol 272(2), 152-53, Jul, 1994  
PUBLISHER: American Medical Assn--US--<http://www.jama.com>

...ABSTRACT: authors. Most accepted authors felt as though the review process helped them to improve the **manuscript** being considered. Most **rejected** authors felt as though the **review** comments helped them improve subsequent **manuscripts** . (PsycINFO Database Record (c) 2002 APA, all rights reserved)

23/3,K/3 (Item 3 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01280713 1993-19301-001

**Statement of editorial policy: 1993.**  
AUTHOR: Fowler, Raymond D.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: American Psychological Assn, Washington, DC--USn1  
JOURNAL: American Psychologist--<http://www.apa.org/journals/amp.html>, Vol 48(1), 5-7, Jan, 1993  
PUBLISHER: American Psychological Assn--US--<http://www.apa.org>

...ABSTRACT: editorial policy and process of peer manuscript review of American Psychologist (AP). 70% of unsolicited **manuscripts** are **rejected** without **review** beyond that of the editor. For those accepted for review, it is AP policy to...

23/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01481042 01-32030

**The problem of knowledge in economics**  
Tarascio, Vincent J  
Southern Economic Journal v64n1 PP: 1-18 Jul 1997

...TEXT: editor of the journal makes the final decision as to what will be published or **rejected** from the set of favorably **reviewed** manuscripts . The decision criteria used by editors vary.

In the case of specialized journals, the editor...

23/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 16)  
DIALOG(R)File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R)  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

07729060 Supplier Number: 64425388 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)  
**Simpson sues to bar Schiller from CBS mini.**  
Brennan, Steve  
Hollywood Reporter, v364, n19, p1  
August 16, 2000  
Language: English Record Type: Fulltext  
Document Type: Magazine/Journal; Trade  
Word Count: 462

... he be allowed to review the manuscript before publication, Gross said Tuesday.

But Random House **refused** to allow Simpson to **review** the **manuscript** , the suit alleges, and Kardashian's name was subsequently removed as an author. The book...

23/3,K/6 (Item 2 from file: 16)  
DIALOG(R)File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R)  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04225850 Supplier Number: 46183366  
**Lymphokine Racketeers?**  
Bio/Technology, p275  
March, 1996  
Language: English Record Type: Abstract  
Document Type: Magazine/Journal; Trade

ABSTRACT:

...23, 1996. Cistron accuses Immunex of misappropriating its gene sequence for IL-1B during peer **review** of a research **manuscript** . Immunex **denies** the charges. Both companies were exploring the same genetic sequence at the same time. A...

23/3,K/7 (Item 1 from file: 20)  
DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter  
(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

19518400 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)  
**Mass Killer Gets Legal Aid To Fight For Porn In Jail**  
PAUL CHESTON  
EVENING STANDARD, p9  
October 25, 2001  
JOURNAL CODE: FES LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 654

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

... and was not in court today.

However his legal team won leave for a judicial **review** of the Prison Service's **refusal** to give him back the **manuscript** of his autobiography,

which they have seized, without reading it first.  
Nilsen has reportedly had...

**23/3,K/8 (Item 2 from file: 20)**  
DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter  
(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

07880158 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)  
**Science and Technology: GM foods: Half-baked**  
ECONOMIST  
October 16, 1999  
JOURNAL CODE: FECN LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 644

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

... used, described the paper as 'hopelessly confused'. At least two of the paper's six **reviewers** recommended its **rejection**, enough to send most other **manuscripts** into the bin. But genetic modification is so fraught with public accusations of corporate cover...

**23/3,K/9 (Item 1 from file: 34)**  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.  
  
00751654 Genuine Article#: EU104 No. References: 0  
**Title: WHY MANUSCRIPTS ARE REJECTED - WITH THANKS TO OUR REVIEWERS**  
Author(s): DANIEL TM  
Journal: JOURNAL OF LABORATORY AND CLINICAL MEDICINE, 1991, V117, N1, P1-5  
Language: ENGLISH Document Type: EDITORIAL  
  
**Title: WHY MANUSCRIPTS ARE REJECTED - WITH THANKS TO OUR REVIEWERS**

**23/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 38)**  
DIALOG(R)File 38:America:History & Life  
(c) 2003 ABC CLIO Inc. All rts. reserv.  
  
380362 14A-00541  
**THE STRING QUARTET ATTRIBUTED TO BENJAMIN FRANKLIN.**  
Marrocco, W Thomas  
Pro. of the Am. Phil. Soc. 1972 116(6): 477-485.

**23/3,K/11 (Item 1 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.  
  
06193186 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 79626872 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**VELIKOYSKY AT FIFTY.**  
MORRISON, DAVID  
Skeptic (Altadena, CA), 9, 1, 62  
Spring, 2001  
ISSN: 1063-9330 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 10952 LINE COUNT: 00883

... right to be published, let alone to be read, as witnessed by the many book **manuscripts** or papers submitted and **rejected** in peer **review** every day.

Let us also consider the charge that Velikovsky's academic freedom was threatened...

23/3,K/12 (Item 2 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05888755 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 65091761 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The FBI Targets Key Spycatcher. (Notra Trulock)**  
Waller, J. Michael  
Insight on the News, 16, 33, 16  
Sept 4, 2000  
ISSN: 1051-4880 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 2380 LINE COUNT: 00192

... the document to DOE for a security review before trying to have it published. DOE **declined** to **review** the **manuscript**," and Trulock "submitted to, and passed, a polygraph examination intended to reveal any disclosure of...and CIA. He is careful not to include classified information.

March 2000: Trulock sends the **manuscript** to DOE for security **review**. DOE **declines** to **review** the document, freeing Trulock to publish it.

Early July: National Review publishes an excerpt from...

23/3,K/13 (Item 3 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05331327 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 54141350 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Thinking of biology: an introspection on the art of modeling in population ecology.**  
Getz, Wayne M.  
BioScience, 48, 7, 540(1)  
July, 1998  
ISSN: 0006-3568 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 12857 LINE COUNT: 01054

... data to argue their case could well be related to reducing the risk of their **manuscripts** and grants being **rejected** by peer **reviewers**. The exception that could prove this rule is Bellows's (1981) demonstration, using a collection...

23/3,K/14 (Item 4 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05185946 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20924886 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**An introspection on the art of modeling in population ecology. (Thinking of Biology)**  
Getz, Wayne M.  
BioScience, v48, n7, p540(13)  
July, 1998  
ISSN: 0006-3568 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 12846 LINE COUNT: 01049

... data to argue their case could well be related to reducing the risk of their **manuscripts** and grants being **rejected** by peer **reviewers**. The exception that could prove this rule is Bellows's (1981) demonstration, using a collection...

23/3,K/15 (Item 5 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04461040 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 18104815 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Writers & Readers faces \$5M Vanzant lawsuit. (author Iyanla Vanzant has filed a lawsuit against Writers and Readers Publishing for breach of contract, copyright infringement, and other charges) (Brief Article)**  
Reid, Calvin  
Publishers Weekly, v243, n12, p14(1)  
March 18, 1996  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Brief Article ISSN: 0000-0019 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 488 LINE COUNT: 00043

... violation of the contract." Sheppard also charges misrepresentation and false designation of origin since Vanzant **refused** to participate in **reviewing** the **manuscript**, although she had reviewed half of the project before she withdrew. Sheppard's complaint also...

**23/3,K/16 (Item 6 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130034 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634208 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Do readers and peer reviewers agree on manuscript quality? (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Justice, Amy C.; Berlin, Jesse A.; Fletcher, Suzanne W.; Fletcher, Robert H.; Goodman, Steven N.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p117(3)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 1940 LINE COUNT: 00171

... manuscript submissions per year, of which half are reports of original research. Half of the **manuscripts** are **rejected** after internal **review**, and the remaining half are sent for peer review by at least two independent reviewers...

**23/3,K/17 (Item 7 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03877907 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 13674121 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**How should we screen for colorectal cancer? (Editorial)**  
Selby, Joe V.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v269, n10, p1294(3)  
March 10, 1993  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH  
RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 3139 LINE COUNT: 00258

... manuscripts were sent for outside review, most often to three reviewers. Forty-seven percent of **manuscripts** were **rejected** without outside **review**, and 6% were accepted without outside review (mostly invited editorials and cover stories). The total...

**23/3,K/18 (Item 8 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404441 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799159 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Biomedical information, peer review, and conflict of interest as they influence public health.**  
Cantekin, Erdem I.; McGuire, Timothy W.; Potter, Robert L.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1427(4)

March 9, 1990  
ISSN: 0098-7484      LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 4828      LINE COUNT: 00386

...ABSTRACT: This expert evaluates the validity, reliability, and importance of the results and recommends that the **manuscript** either be accepted, revised, or **rejected**. The peer **review** process has failed to maintain the quality of research reports in some recent cases, and...

TEXT:

...data generated by a publicly funded grant and because the editor of a scholarly journal **refused** to **review** the dissenting **manuscript** and to submit that dissent to external reviewers for peer review. The current peer review...

23/3,K/19      (Item 9 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03319227      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 07730819      (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Professional ethics for research biologists.**  
Heath, Alan G.  
BioScience, v39, n7, p472(3)  
July-August, 1989  
CODEN: BISNA      ISSN: 0006-3568      LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      RECORD TYPE:  
FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 2808      LINE COUNT: 00221

... by someone outside the field may be useful. A referee may also feel obligated to **decline** to **review** a **manuscript** if there is a conflict of interest, such as when the paper is either by...

23/3,K/20      (Item 1 from file: 73)  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

06484913      EMBASE No: 1996151366  
**Editors' requests of peer reviewers: A study and a proposal**  
Frank E.  
Dept. of Family/Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Emory  
University School of Medicine, 69 Butler Street, S.E., Atlanta, GA  
30303-3219 United States  
Preventive Medicine ( PREV. MED. ) (United States) 1996, 25/2 (102-104)  
CODEN: PVTMA      ISSN: 0091-7435  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal; Article  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH      SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

...3%. Journals varied substantially in many of their reviewer requests. While 96% of journals asked **reviewers** to recommend acceptance or **rejection**, only 72% asked **reviewers** to assess **manuscripts**' novelty, 69% requested assessments of clarity, and only 51% asked for assessments of the reasonableness...

23/3,K/21      (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

06028941      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 82005532  
**Sir Francis Galton and the birth of eugenics.**  
Gillham, Nicholas W.  
Annual Review of Genetics, 83(19)  
Annual, 2001

ISSN: 0066-4197      LANGUAGE: English      RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 8871      LINE COUNT: 00708

... and said there was nothing in the paper" (37). The editors then sent Pearson's **manuscript** to Bateson to **review** who **rejected** it while identifying himself as a referee. Worse, they sent his negative comments to the...

**23/3,K/22      (Item 2 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02370224      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 09675957  
**Monitoring scholarly journal publication in political science: the role of the APSR. (American Political Science Review)**  
Patterson, Samuel C.; Smithey, Shannon K.  
PS: Political Science & Politics, v23, n4, p647(10)  
Dec, 1990  
ISSN: 1049-0965      LANGUAGE: English      RECORD TYPE: Citation

...CAPTIONS: table); Experience of scholars whose manuscripts are not accepted for publication. (table); Why scholars submit **manuscripts** to the **Review** . (table); What happens to **manuscripts rejected** by APSR? (table)

**23/3,K/23      (Item 3 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

01432184      SUPPLIER NUMBER: 00543316  
**Multiple Exposure.**  
Christiansen, D.  
IEEE Spectrum, v20, n2, p31  
Feb., 1983  
DOCUMENT TYPE: editorial      ISSN: 0018-9235      LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...ABSTRACT: today, authors often simultaneously submit the same paper to a number of journals. Most editors **refuse** to **review** a **manuscript** that has been simultaneously submitted somewhere else. Spectrum editors follow this policy completely.

**23/3,K/24      (Item 1 from file: 98)**  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04681791      H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSA01181791      (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)  
**Velikovsky at fifty: cultures in collision on the fringes of science.**  
Morrison, David  
Skeptic (Altadena, Calif.) (Skeptic (Altadena Calif)) v. 9 no1 (2001) p. 62-76  
SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl f il por      ISSN: 1063-9330  
LANGUAGE: English  
COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States  
WORD COUNT: 10850

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... right to be published, let alone to be read, as witnessed by the many book **manuscripts** or papers submitted and **rejected** in peer **review**



every day.

Let us also consider the charge that Velikovsky's academic freedom was threatened...

**23/3,K/25 (Item 2 from file: 98)**  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04273669 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSA00023669 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Demographic and behavioral determinants of the reduction of male-to-female birth ratio in Spain from 1981 to 1997.**

Gutierrez-Adan, Alfonso

Pintado, Belen; de la Fuente, Julio

Human Biology (Hum Biol) v. 72 no5 (Oct. 2000) p. 891-8

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 0018-7143

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 2498

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... T. Manning of the Population Biology Research Group, University of Liverpool, UK, for a critical **review** of this **manuscript**.

Figure 1. **Decline** in the proportion of males born in Spain, 1945-1997. A, decline in the number...

**23/3,K/26 (Item 3 from file: 98)**  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04045890 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI99045890 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**It all started on a streetcar in Boston.**

Tabor, Celia White

Tabor, Herbert

Annual Review of Biochemistry v. 68 (1999) p. 1-32

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il por ISSN: 0066-4154

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 16351

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... rebuttal from an author occurred when we had a \$25 handling charge for all manuscripts. An author of a declined **manuscript** wrote, "This review **wasn** 't worth \$25. It wasn't worth 25 cents. Please remit a check for \$24..."

**23/3,K/27 (Item 4 from file: 98)**  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03048189 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI95048189 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Peering into peer review.**

Price, Jill S

Musser, George; Caldwell, KarenAnn

Mercury (San Francisco, Calif.) (Mercury) v. 24 (Nov./Dec. '95) p. 32-4

27/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

02624974 GENUINE ARTICLE#: NV424 NO. REFERENCES: 14  
**TITLE: IS THERE GENDER BIAS IN JAMAS PEER-REVIEW PROCESS**  
AUTHOR(S): GILBERT JR; WILLIAMS ES; LUNDBERG GD  
CORPORATE SOURCE: STANFORD UNIV, DEPT PUBL POLICY/PALO ALTO//CA/94304;  
STANFORD UNIV, DEPT BIOL SCI/PALO ALTO//CA/94304  
JOURNAL: JAMA-JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 1994, V272, N2 (JUL 13), P139-142  
ISSN: 0098-7484  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: ARTICLE  
(Abstract Available)

...ABSTRACT: female corresponding authors more often than were male editors (P<.001). Female editors used more **reviewers** per **manuscript** if sent for **other** review. Male **reviewers** assisted male editors more often than female editors, and male reviewers took longer to return...

27/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01646765 1999-00580-005  
**The review process at PSPB: Correlates of interreviewer agreement and manuscript acceptance.**  
AUTHOR: Petty, Richard E.; Fleming, Monique A.; Fabrigar, Leandre R.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Ohio State U, Dept of Psychology--Columbus--OH--USn1  
JOURNAL: Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin, Vol 25(2), 188-203, Feb , 1999  
PUBLISHER: Sage Publications--US

...ABSTRACT: 5 year period (i.e., one editor's tenure). Among the findings were the following: **Reviewer** 's judgments of **manuscripts** were **multi** - rather than uni-dimensional; **reviewer** agreement about methodology and overall recommendation was greater among high-prestige than mixed-prestige reviewers...

27/3,K/3 (Item 2 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01207758 1992-36809-001  
**An adversary model of manuscript review: Further comments.**  
AUTHOR: Bornstein, Robert F.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Gettysburg Coll, PA--USn1  
JOURNAL: Journal of Mind & Behavior, Vol 12(4), 479-485, Fal, 1991  
PUBLISHER: Inst of Mind & Behavior--US--kramer.ume.maine.edu/-J

...ABSTRACT: would work best within the framework of the model; and (3) how the adversary model **changes** the relationship of author, **reviewer** , and editor during the **manuscript** review process. (PsycINFO Database Record (c) 2002 APA, all rights reserved)

27/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00615475 92-30578  
**The Write Stuff for Training Manuals**  
Casady, Mona J.

...TEXT: review, critique, and edit.

Effective writers welcome suggestions; they are not afraid to present their **manuscripts** to **others** for helpful scrutiny. Among the **reviewers** should be average users and some who are above average, as well as both new ...

27/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

07902740 Genuine Article#: 221VJ No. References: 2  
**Title: The role of the reviewer in editorial decision-making**  
Author(s): Coronel R (REPRINT) ; Opthof T  
Corporate Source: UNIV AMSTERDAM,ACAD MED CTR, EDITORIAL OFF CARDIOVASC  
RES, MEIBERGDREEF 9, ROOM J-127/NL-1105 AZ AMSTERDAM//NETHERLANDS/  
(REPRINT)  
Journal: CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH, 1999, V43, N2 (AUG 1), P261-264  
ISSN: 0008-6363 Publication date: 19990801  
Publisher: ELSEVIER SCIENCE BV, PO BOX 211, 1000 AE AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS  
Language: English Document Type: EDITORIAL MATERIAL (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE  
)

...Abstract: limitations, these journals have to revert to a high rejection rate. The standard form accompanying **manuscripts** submitted to Cardiovascular Research was **changed** in order to entice **reviewers** in an advisory behaviour more suited to the editorial rejection rate. All original manuscripts submitted...

27/3,K/6 (Item 1 from file: 39)  
DIALOG(R)File 39:Historical Abstracts  
(c) 2003 ABC-CLIO. All rts. reserv.

1645531 52-9240  
**COLLABORATIONS: THE PRIVATE LIFE OF MODERN ARCHITECTURE.**  
Colomina, Beatriz  
Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians 1999 58(3): 462-471.

27/3,K/7 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04738910 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 19360488 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Times change and so does the JSBM: an editorial. (Journal of Small Business Management) (Editorial)**  
Scherr, Fred  
Journal of Small Business Management, v35, n1, p1(1)  
Jan, 1997  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0047-2778 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 624 LINE COUNT: 00056

... traditional manuscripts. However, Longer Articles must have merit in keeping with their length.

The second **change** concerns the assignment of **reviewers** to **manuscripts** and reflects the increasingly specialized focus of many small business researchers (including the distinguished scholars...

27/3,K/8 (Item 1 from file: 48)  
DIALOG(R)File 48:SPORTDiscus  
(c) 2003 Sport Information Resource Centre. All rts. reserv.

00467034 SPORT RECORD NUMBER: 0377329

**Comment on 'ventilation and blood lactate increase exponentially during incremental exercise'.**

Morton, R.H.  
Journal of sports sciences, v11, n5 , p371-375  
Oct 1993

...ABSTRACT: from zero. This paper draws these matters to the attention of readers of this journal, **reviewers** of **manuscripts** and **other** researchers, with comment and suggestion. This is done in the hope that all those concerned...

27/3,K/9 (Item 1 from file: 71)  
DIALOG(R)File 71:ELSEVIER BIOBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

01003540 1998249355

**Does masking author identity improve peer review quality? A randomized controlled trial**

Justice A.C.; Cho M.K.; Winker M.A.; Berlin J.A.; Rennie D.; Berkwits M.; Fontanarosa P.B.; Frank E.; Goldmann D.; Goodman S.; Pitkin R.; Varma R.; Waeckerle J.

ADDRESS: Dr. A.C. Justice, Case Western Reserve University, School of Medicine, 10900 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH 44106, United States

EMAIL: acj@po.cwru.edu

Journal: Journal of the American Medical Association, 280/3 (240-242), 1998, United States

PUBLICATION DATE: July 15, 1998

CODEN: JAMAA

ISSN: 0098-7484

DOCUMENT TYPE: Article

LANGUAGES: English SUMMARY LANGUAGES: English

NO. OF REFERENCES: 6

...study arm, both peer reviewers received the manuscript according to usual masking practice. In the **other** arm, one **reviewer** was randomized to receive a **manuscript** with author identity masked, and the **other reviewer** received an unmasked **manuscript**. Main Outcome Measure. - Review quality on a 5-point Likert scale as judged by manuscript...

27/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 75)  
DIALOG(R)File 75:TGG Management Contents(R)  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

00238660 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 63847087 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Editor's Report.**

Peck-Heath, Claudia J.

Journal of Consumer Affairs, 34, 1, 1

Summer, 2000

ISSN: 0022-0078 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 463 LINE COUNT: 00040

... to the special reviewers who gave their time, effort, and expertise as the welfare reform **manuscripts** were refereed. They, along with **other** special **reviewers**, will be acknowledged in the Winter 2000 issue (Volume 34, Number 2).

I am appreciative...

27/3,K/11 (Item 1 from file: 86)  
DIALOG(R)File 86:Mental Health Abstracts  
(c) 2000 IFI/CLAIMS(r). All rts. reserv.

0075581  
TIS1971-06661

**Journal reviewing practices: authors' and APA members' suggestions for revision.**

Brackbill, Yvonne; Korten, Frances.  
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Georgetown University Hospital,  
3800 Reservoir Road, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20007  
American Psychologist 25(10):937-940, 1970.  
Languages: English

27/3,K/12 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05976510 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 80325509  
**Eseli's Notebook. (Reviews). (Review)**  
Beckett, Jeremy  
Oceania, 72, 1, 81(2)  
Sept, 2001  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Review ISSN: 0029-8077 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 623 LINE COUNT: 00052

... even a song Peter Eesli composed, including musical notation.  
Reading this 'labour of love' the **reviewer** is left wondering how  
many **other** such **manuscripts** still exist in Torres Strait, and whether  
there is anyone ready to devote the same...

27/3,K/13 (Item 2 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05911349 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 78413320  
**Some Issues in Scientific Language: Precision, Conciseness, and English as  
a Second Language.**  
Goldbort, Robert  
Journal of Environmental Health, 64, 2, 41  
Sept, 2001  
ISSN: 0022-0892 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 2009 LINE COUNT: 00171

... level of clarity, precision and overall rigor, writers must be  
willing to repeatedly scrutinize their **manuscript**, with the help of  
**other** resources and **reviewers**, as well as common sense. The advice given  
by biologist Michael Katz (1985) is to...

27/3,K/14 (Item 3 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05833071 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 76446937  
**Reporting Financial Conflicts of Interest and Relationships Between  
Investigators and Research Sponsors. (Editorial)**  
DeAngelis, Catherine D.; Fontanarosa, Phil B.; Flanagan, Annette  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 286, 1, 89  
July 4, 2001  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2545 LINE COUNT: 00221

... 23) Such information is kept confidential and is not revealed to the authors of the **manuscript** or to **other reviewers**. Peer reviewers who believe they have a conflict of interest (financial or otherwise) that prevents them from...

27/3,K/15 (Item 4 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05737419 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 73021412  
**Editor's Notes. (Statistical Data Included)**  
Finifter, Ada W.  
American Political Science Review, 95, 1, vi  
March, 2001  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Statistical Data Included ISSN: 0003-0554  
LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 1762 LINE COUNT: 00142

... obvious reasons, this is very common for comparative politics papers. We also often draw on **reviewers** in **other** countries for political theory **manuscripts**. Even in studies of American political behavior we may send a manuscript on partisanship, voting...

27/3,K/16 (Item 5 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05630973 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 68952015  
**Reminiscences of Robley Wilson.**  
The North American Review, 285, 6, 4  
Nov, 2000  
ISSN: 0029-2397 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 2648 LINE COUNT: 00192

... literary critiques that only two fond rivals can generate.  
Through all that, we valued each **other** as **critics** for our work.  
**Manuscripts** were sent from Iowa to Connecticut and back; when I moved to California, the exchanges...

27/3,K/17 (Item 6 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05300740 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 58038276  
**"Searching for the route of inventions": Retracing the Renaissance  
Discovery Narrative in Gabriel Garcia Marquez.**  
SPILLER, ELIZABETH A.  
CLIO, 28, 4, 375  
Summer, 1999  
ISSN: 0884-2043 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 9262 LINE COUNT: 00765

... is used as a repressive history. As the culmination to this sequence, Melquiades's prophetic **manuscripts** represent not just, as **critics** have demonstrated, an **alternative** novel to the one we are reading, but also an alternative history to the one...

27/3,K/18 (Item 7 from file: 88)

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848554 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954375

**Does Masking Author identity Improve Peer Review Quality?**

Justice, Amy C. MD, PhD; Cho, Mildred K. PhD; Winker, Margaret A. MD;  
Berlin, Jesse A. ScD; Rennie, Drummond MD

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p240(1)  
July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 3100 LINE COUNT: 00284

... study arm, both peer reviewers received the manuscript according to usual masking practice. In the **other** arm, one **reviewer** was randomized to receive a **manuscript** with author identity masked, and the **other reviewer** received an unmasked **manuscript**.

Main Outcome Measure.--Review quality on a 5-point Likert scale as judged by manuscript...

...to receive a manuscript from which the author identity and institution had been removed. The **other reviewer** received the **manuscript** with author and institution identified. Randomization was performed using random number tables. In all cases...

**27/3,K/19 (Item 8 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848553 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954374

**Effect on the Quality of Peer Review of Blinding Reviewers and Asking Them to Sign Their Reports.**

Godlee, Fiona BSc, MRCP; Gale, Catharine R. BSc; Martyn, Christopher N.  
DPhil, FRCP

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p237(1)  
July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 2576 LINE COUNT: 00267

... which characteristics of reviewers were associated with the most complete detection of errors in a **manuscript**. As **others** have (shown.sup.6), younger **reviewers** performed better than older reviewers. We also found, perhaps unsurprisingly, that reviewers who had themselves...

**27/3,K/20 (Item 9 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848551 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954372

**What Makes a Good Reviewer and a Good Review for a General Medical Journal?**

Black, Nick MD; Rooyen, Susan van BSc; Godlee, Fiona MRCP; Smith, Richard FRCP; Evans, Stephen MSc

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p231(1)  
July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 2370 LINE COUNT: 00215

... The third study demonstrated that younger reviewers with considerable refereeing experience provided stricter assessments of **manuscripts** than **other** (**reviewers**.sup.3). None of the **other** characteristics examined (such as research training and postgraduate qualifications) were associated with review quality.

The...

27/3,K/21 (Item 10 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04834773 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20908539  
**Helicobacter. (journal) (periodical reviews)**  
McKnight, Michelynn; Bird, Philip C.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n1, p100(2)  
July 1, 1998  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Review ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 439 LINE COUNT: 00042

... a telephone conversation, the editor stated that while members of the editorial board evaluate some **manuscripts**, **others** are sent to outside **reviewers**. **Reviewers** know the identities of authors. The editor also noted that this journal covers all kinds...

27/3,K/22 (Item 11 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04675591 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20391882  
**Pairing behavior of the nine-banded armadillo (Dasypus novemcinctus).**  
McDonough, Colleen M.  
The American Midland Naturalist, v138, n2, p290(9)  
Oct, 1997  
ISSN: 0003-0031 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 4189 LINE COUNT: 00341

... helped in the field. I am grate fid to W.J. Loughry and an anonymous **reviewer** for comments on this and **other** versions of this **manuscript**. This is Welder Wildlife Foundation contribution number 502.  
LITERATURE CITED  
ALTMANN, J. 1974. Observational study...

27/3,K/23 (Item 12 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04672927 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20306006  
**Pulmonary function in space. (includes reply) (Letter to the Editor)**  
Vlassov, V.; West, John B.; Elliott, Ann R.; Guy, Harold J.B.; Prisk, G. Kim  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v279, n4, p275(2)  
Jan 28, 1998  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Letter to the Editor ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE:  
English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 711 LINE COUNT: 00063

... balance, I must note that Russian authors tend to ignore US publications, as well as **other** foreign publications. Not long ago, **reviewers** of **manuscripts** submitted to journals (as well as reviewers of dissertations) calculated the percentage of references to...

27/3,K/24 (Item 13 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04599096 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20089584  
**Chinese shadows. (The City of Light by Jacob d'Ancona; suspected literary**



hoax)

Jenner, William

Far Eastern Economic Review, v160, n50, p50(3)

Dec 11, 1997

ISSN: 0014-7591

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...ABSTRACT: . Ancona's translator, David Selbourne, claims that his neighbour will show him alone the original **manuscript** . Jenner joins **other critics** in having grave doubts about the authenticity of the book, but his overriding impression is...

27/3,K/25 (Item 14 from file: 88)

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03646121

SUPPLIER NUMBER: 17097859

**Interpretations of the Renaissance in Spanish historical thought.**

Di Camillo, Ottavio

Renaissance Quarterly, v48, n2, p352(14)

Summer, 1995

ISSN: 0034-4338

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 5882 LINE COUNT: 00465

... . Cespedes, Discurso de las letras humanas llamado el humanista, written in 1600, which circulated in **manuscript** form.

2 See among **others** : Juan Francisco de Masdeu, Historia **critica** de Espana y de la cultura espanola, 20 vols. (Madrid, 1783-1805); Juan Andres, Del...

27/3,K/26 (Item 15 from file: 88)

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02651552

SUPPLIER NUMBER: 10960541

**Statements from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.**

(guidelines for manuscripts)

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v265, n20, p2697(2)

May 22, 1991

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 1998 LINE COUNT: 00172

... the manuscript. No such procedure should be adopted without the consent of the authors and **reviewers** . However, **reviewers** ' comments may be sent to **other reviewers** of the same **manuscript** , and reviewers may be notified of the editor's decision.

THE ROLE OF THE CORRESPONDENCE...

?

32/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03284883 Genuine Article#: NV424 No. References: 14  
**Title: IS THERE GENDER BIAS IN JAMAS PEER-REVIEW PROCESS**  
Author(s): GILBERT JR; WILLIAMS ES; LUNDBERG GD  
Corporate Source: STANFORD UNIV,DEPT PUBL POLICY/PALO ALTO//CA/94304;  
STANFORD UNIV,DEPT BIOL SCI/PALO ALTO//CA/94304  
Journal: JAMA-JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 1994, V272, N2 (JUL 13), P139-142  
ISSN: 0098-7484  
Language: ENGLISH Document Type: ARTICLE (Abstract Available)

...Abstract: female corresponding authors more often than were male editors (P<.001). Female editors used more **reviewers** per **manuscript** if sent for **other** review. Male **reviewers** assisted male editors more often than female editors, and male reviewers took longer to return...

32/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03716600 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 12112222 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**The write stuff for training manuals. (includes related articles) (Training 101)**  
Casady, Mona J.  
Training & Development, v46, n3, p17(5)  
March, 1992  
ISSN: 1055-9760 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 2267 LINE COUNT: 00178

... review, critique, and edit.  
Effective writers welcome suggestions; they are not afraid to present their **manuscripts** to **others** for helpful scrutiny. Among the **reviewers** should be average users and some who are above average, as well as both new ...

32/3,K/3 (Item 1 from file: 73)  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

07449184 EMBASE No: 1998365480  
**Does masking author identity improve peer review quality? A randomized controlled trial**  
Justice A.C.; Cho M.K.; Winker M.A.; Berlin J.A.; Rennie D.; Berkwits M.; Fontanarosa P.B.; Frank E.; Goldmann D.; Goodman S.; Pitkin R.; Varma R.; Waeckerle J.  
Dr. A.C. Justice, Case Western Reserve University, School of Medicine, 10900 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH 44106 United States  
AUTHOR EMAIL: acj@po.cwru.edu  
Journal of the American Medical Association ( J. AM. MED. ASSOC. ) ( United States) 15 JUL 1998, 280/3 (240-242)  
CODEN: JAMAA ISSN: 0098-7484  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal; Article  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH  
NUMBER OF REFERENCES: 6

...arm, one reviewer was randomized to receive a manuscript with author identity masked, and the **other reviewer** received an unmasked **manuscript** . Main Outcome Measure. - Review quality on a 5-point Likert scale as judged by manuscript...

32/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05022979 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 53739222  
**The Review Process at PSPB: Correlates of Interreviewer Agreement and Manuscript Acceptance.**

Petty, Richard E.; Fleming, Monique A.; Fabrigar, Leandre R.  
Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin, 25, 2, 188(1)  
Feb, 1999  
ISSN: 0146-1672 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Abstract

...AUTHOR ABSTRACT: 2-year period (i.e., one editor's tenure). Among the findings were the following: **Reviewer** 's judgments of **manuscripts** were **multi** - rather than unidimensional; **reviewer** agreement about methodology and overall recommendation was greater among high-prestige than mixed-prestige reviewers...

32/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 98)  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03546210 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSA97046210 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Pairing behavior of the nine-banded armadillo (Dasypus novemcinctus).**

McDonough, Colleen M

The American Midland Naturalist (Am Midl Nat) v. 138 (Oct. 1997) p. 290-8

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 0003-0031

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 4400

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... who helped in the field. I am grateful to W. J. Loughry and an anonymous **reviewer** for comments on this and **other** versions of this **manuscript** . This is Welder Wildlife Foundation contribution number 502.

TABLE 1.--Description of behaviors associated with...

38/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03514300 GENUINE ARTICLE#: 349XD NO. REFERENCES: 28

**TITLE: Reproducibility of peer review in clinical neuroscience - Is agreement between reviewers any greater than would be expected by chance alone?**

AUTHOR(S): Rothwell PM (REPRINT); Martyn CN

CORPORATE SOURCE: RADCLIFFE INFIRM, DEPT CLIN NEUROL, WOODSTOCK RD/OXFORD OX2 6HE//ENGLAND/ (REPRINT); SOUTHAMPTON GEN HOSP, MRC, ENVIRONM EPIDEMIOLOG UNIT/SOUTHAMPTON SO9 4XY/HANTS/ENGLAND/

JOURNAL: BRAIN, 2000, V123, ,9 (SEP), P1964-1969

PUBLISHER: OXFORD UNIV PRESS, GREAT CLARENDON ST, OXFORD OX2 6DP, ENGLAND

ISSN: 0006-8950

LANGUAGE: English DOCUMENT TYPE: Article

(ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...ABSTRACT: and two conferences in which abstracts were routinely scored by multiple reviewers. Agreement between the **reviewers** as to whether **manuscripts** should be accepted, revised or **rejected** was not significantly greater than that expected by chance [ $\kappa = 0.08$ , 95% confidence interval...]

38/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

09977328 Genuine Article#: 472FF No. References: 7

**Title: Reasons reviewers reject and accept manuscripts : The strengths and weaknesses in medical education reports**

Author(s): Bordage G (REPRINT)

Corporate Source: Univ Illinois, Coll Med, Dept Med Educ, 808 S Wood, 986 CME/Chicago//IL/60612 (REPRINT); Univ Illinois, Coll Med, Dept Med Educ, Chicago//IL/60612

Journal: ACADEMIC MEDICINE, 2001, V76, N9 (SEP), P889-896

ISSN: 1040-2446 Publication date: 20010900

Publisher: HANLEY & BELFUS INC, 210 S 13TH ST, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 USA

Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

**Title: Reasons reviewers reject and accept manuscripts : The strengths and weaknesses in medical education reports**

38/3,K/3 (Item 2 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

07040214 Genuine Article#: 116RC No. References: 27

**Title: Who reviews the reviewers? Feasibility of using a fictitious manuscript to evaluate peer reviewer performance**

Author(s): Baxt WG (REPRINT); Waeckerle JF; Berlin JA; Callahan ML

Corporate Source: ANNALS EMERGENCY MED, /IRVING//TX/ (REPRINT)

Journal: ANNALS OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE, 1998, V32, N3,1 (SEP), P310-317

ISSN: 0196-0644 Publication date: 19980900

Publisher: MOSBY-YEAR BOOK INC, 11830 WESTLINE INDUSTRIAL DR, ST LOUIS, MO 63146-3318

Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: manuscript was sent to 262 reviewers; 203 (78%) reviews were returned. One-hundred ninety-nine **reviewers** recommended a disposition for the **manuscript** : 15 recommended acceptance, 117 **rejection** , and 67 revision. The 15 who recommended acceptance identified 17.3% (95%

confidence interval [Cl...

**38/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04316504 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 17096200 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Economic issues concerning electronic publishing and distribution of  
scholarly articles. (Networked Scholarly Publishing)**

King, Donald W.; Griffiths, Jose-Marie  
Library Trends, v43, n4, p713(28)  
Spring, 1995

ISSN: 0024-2594 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 9832 LINE COUNT: 00873

... 470 per article. Author page charge fees are \$490 per article. \*  
Reviewing/refereeing. In 1977, **reviewers** averaged 6 hours per **manuscript**  
for those **rejected** and 6.25 hours for those accepted. The extrapolated  
1977 cost (largely donated) of reviewing...

**38/3,K/5 (Item 2 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03619534 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 11303388 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Peer review: treacherous servant, disastrous master.**

McCutchen, Charles W.  
Technology Review, v94, n7, p28(10)  
Oct, 1991

CODEN: TEREAL ISSN: 0040-1692 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE:  
FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 5947 LINE COUNT: 00468

... learn about this than conceal it.  
General-circulation journals like Science and Nature would still  
**reject** most **manuscripts** they receive. Their editors, not **reviewers**,  
should make the final decision. Editors are the filter that catches  
reviewer misbehavior. Essay-form...

**38/3,K/6 (Item 3 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03557759 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 10965279 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Peer review under fire: science's gatekeeping system may need an overhaul.**

Bower, Bruce  
Science News, v139, n25, p394(2)  
June 22, 1991

CODEN: SCNEB ISSN: 0036-8423 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE:  
FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 2115 LINE COUNT: 00182

... physical anthropology, experimental psychology and biological  
specialty areas such as physiological zoology -- Cicchetti finds that  
**reviewers** agree more often about worthy **manuscript** submissions and less  
often about **rejects**.

Journals dealing with specific areas of scientific inquiry have  
higher article acceptance rates and use...

**38/3,K/7 (Item 4 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03480544 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 10406741 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Peer review. (multiple letters; includes reply) (letter to the editor)**  
Leung, Alexander K.C.; Robson, William Lane M.; Moeser, Pierre J.; Shenoy,  
B. Vittal; Evans, James T.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v264, n24, p3142(2)  
Dec 26, 1990  
DOCUMENT TYPE: letter to the editor ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE:  
ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 1104 LINE COUNT: 00089

TEXT:

...the Editor. -- Reviewers are considered the gatekeepers of science.  
Based on the recommendations of a **reviewer**, an editor decides whether a  
**manuscript** should be accepted, revised, or **rejected**. We were astonished  
to learn from the report by Dr Lock and Ms Smith [\*1...

**38/3,K/8 (Item 5 from file: 47)**  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

02364932 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 02817433 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Madness in their method. (fraud in research)**  
Wade, Nicholas  
The New Republic, v188, p13(5)  
June 27, 1983  
CODEN: NREPA ISSN: 0028-6583 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE:  
FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 3795 LINE COUNT: 00300

... his manuscript will send it out for review by experts in the field.  
If the **reviewers**, or referees, find faults, the **manuscript** is **rejected**  
or returned for

**38/3,K/9 (Item 1 from file: 73)**  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

05797972 EMBASE No: 1994217502  
**Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process?**  
Gilbert J.R.; Williams E.S.; Lundberg G.D.  
JAMA, 515 N State St, Chicago, IL 60610 United States  
Journal of the American Medical Association ( J. AM. MED. ASSOC. ) ( United States) 1994, 272/2 (139-142)  
CODEN: JAMAA ISSN: 0098-7484  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal; Article  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

...male statistical reviewers recommended the highest and lowest  
categories more frequently than did female statistical **reviewers**  
(P<.001). **Manuscripts** handled by female editors were **rejected** summarily  
at higher rates (P<.001). Articles submitted to JAMA in 1991 were not  
accepted...

**38/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05539555 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 63912376  
**EDITOR'S COMMENTS.**  
MIS Quarterly, 24, 2, iii

June, 2000

ISSN: 0276-7783

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 3191

LINE COUNT: 00243

... unreviewed to the author because the manuscript does not fit the Quarterly's editorial policy, **reject** the manuscript, or send the **manuscript** to the **reviewers**.

Screening is both preliminary and essential to the review process. The more work that the...

**38/3,K/11 (Item 2 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848570 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954391

**The Reported Training and Experience of Editors in Chief of Specialist Clinical Medical Journals.**

Garrow, John MD, PhD, FRCP; Marshall, Jacinta BA

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p286(1)

July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 1781

LINE COUNT: 00148

... 0%,  $P = .09$ ), and are less likely to be bound by the majority advice of **reviewers** to accept or **reject** a **manuscript** (21.0% vs 34.4%,  $P = .08$ ). Differences in behavior by impact factor did not...

**38/3,K/12 (Item 3 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848565 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954386

**Perceived Value of Providing Peer Reviewers With Abstracts and Preprints of Related Published and Unpublished Papers.**

Hatch, Christopher L. PhD; Goodman, Steven N. MD, PhD

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p273(1)

July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 3682

LINE COUNT: 00354

... cited or adequately discussed, are important criteria that often form the basis for a peer **reviewer**'s recommendation to **reject** a (**manuscript** .sup.6) aspects of an accepted manuscript that are most likely to change during the...

**38/3,K/13 (Item 4 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03478714 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634224

**The effects of blinding on acceptance of research papers by peer review.**

**(The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**

Fisher, Martin; Friedman, Stanford B.; Strauss, Barbara

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p143(4)

July 13, 1994

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 3874

LINE COUNT: 00324

... blinded and nonblinded reviewers were similar, with blinded reviewers being slightly more strict (recommending outright **rejection** for 30% of **manuscripts** compared with 21% for nonblinded **reviewers**, and

recommending acceptance with required revisions for 28% compared with 36% for nonblinded reviewers) (Table...

**38/3,K/14 (Item 5 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03224896 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 13266635  
**Authors, publishers, and the McCarthy era: a hidden history. (Senator Joseph McCarthy)**  
Theoharis, Athan G.  
USA Today (Magazine), v122, n2580, p90(3)  
Sept, 1993  
ISSN: 0161-7389 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2297 LINE COUNT: 00200

... the London publisher Derek Verschoyle.  
How many other authors encountered similar difficulties? How many publishers **rejected reviewers** or **manuscripts** either because they concluded that the reviewer or subject was too controversial or in fear...

**38/3,K/15 (Item 6 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03170990 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 13674121  
**How should we screen for colorectal cancer? (Editorial)**  
Selby, Joe V.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v269, n10, p1294(3)  
March 10, 1993  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English  
RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 3055 LINE COUNT: 00258

... manuscripts. Forty-six percent of manuscripts were sent for outside review, most often to three **reviewers**. Forty-seven percent of **manuscripts** were **rejected** without outside review, and 6% were accepted without outside review (mostly invited editorials and cover...

**38/3,K/16 (Item 7 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02474253 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08845849  
**Problems identified by secondary review of accepted manuscripts. (further review of accepted manuscripts)**  
Garfunkel, Joseph M.; Ulshen, Martin H.; Hamrick, Harvey J.; Lawson, Edward E.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1369(3)  
March 9, 1990  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 2027 LINE COUNT: 00196

... of 18 of the 25 manuscripts, although the reviews included many recommendations for improvement. Both **reviewers** recommended **rejection** of 1 **manuscript**. Recommendations differed for 6 manuscripts> three of the responses that we have classified as a...problems noted, although valid, would not have influenced the basic editorial decision to accept or **reject**

The extent of consensus among **reviewers** of scientific **manuscripts** has been reviewed by Lock, [4] who concludes that experts more frequently disagree about manuscripts...



38/3,K/17 (Item 8 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02474249 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799117  
**Editorial peer review in US medical journals.**  
Weller, Ann C.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1344(4)  
March 9, 1990  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2362 LINE COUNT: 00231

... Several characteristics were similar for both groups of journals.  
These characteristics include the number of **reviewers** per **manuscript** ,  
the likelihood of **rejecting** a **manuscript** on the recommendation of both  
**reviewers** , the percentage of accepted **manuscripts** reviewed, the  
percentage of manuscript revised more than once, the decision to use a  
statistical...

41/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130041 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634222 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process? (Journal of the  
American Medical Association) (The Second International Congress on Peer  
Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Gilbert, Julie R.; Williams, Elaine S.; Lundberg, George D.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p139(4)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT  
WORD COUNT: 2837 LINE COUNT: 00254

... and manuscript acceptance rates were analyzed with use of  
[[chi].sup.2], tests for independence. **Manuscript assignments ,  
rejection** without other review, and editors selection of **reviewers** were  
analyzed with use of z tests for proportional data. Average reviewer  
ratings and turnaround...

45/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 5)  
DIALOG(R)File 5:BIOSIS Previews(R)  
(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09073759 BIOSIS NO.: 199497082129

**A statistical model validating triage for the peer review process: Keeping the competitive applications in the review pipeline.**

AUTHOR: Vener Kirt J(a); Feuer Eric J; Gorelic Lester

AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)Epidemiol. and Control Review Sect., Natl. Cent. Inst., Bethesda, MD 20892\*\*USA

JOURNAL: FASEB (Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology) Journal 7 (14):p1312-1319 1993

ISSN: 0892-6638

DOCUMENT TYPE: Article

RECORD TYPE: Abstract

LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: each application be assigned to five reviewers, that each reviewer be blinded to the review **assignments** of the **other reviewers**, and that four noncompetitive votes be registered to triage out an application. Each of 19...

45/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00433912 89-05699

**Engineering Change Control Systems -- Their Time Has Come**

Glaza, Tom

Manufacturing Systems v6n9 PP: 66, 68 Sep 1988

ISSN: 0748-948X JRNL CODE: MFS

...ABSTRACT: engineers have been assigned and that reviewing is taking place, 3. the ability to vary **assignment** of **reviewers** based on the type of **change** requested, and 4. online retrieval of project descriptive data, reviewer records, and comments. This type...

45/3,K/3 (Item 1 from file: 16)  
DIALOG(R)File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R)  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02166391 Supplier Number: 42816941 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**FDA Reorganizes Center That Evaluates Biotech**

Chemical Marketing Reporter, p5

March 9, 1992

Language: English Record Type: Fulltext

Document Type: Magazine/Journal; Tabloid; Trade

Word Count: 497

... in solving the industry's problems.

FDA has received no additional funding for the 50 **reviewers**. The agency says no **other jobs** are being eliminated and no other programs are being cut. The Association of Biotechnology Companies...

45/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 20)  
DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter  
(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

18457396 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Desert island kit: Lauren McCormack on the 'must have' technology for today's professionals**

Lauren McCormack

DAILY TELEGRAPH

August 23, 2001

JOURNAL CODE: FDTL LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 433

Paul Wooding, 28, is the managing director of RedEye public relations. His first job was a games reviewer for computer magazines but he changed his career and launched RedEye in February.

The Birmingham-based company represents clients in new...

45/3,K/5 (Item 2 from file: 20)

DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter

(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

10645525 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

The Observer Profile: Daddy dearest: Martin Louis Amis

OBSERVER, p27

April 16, 2000

JOURNAL CODE: FOBS LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 80

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

... The Rachel Papers, Money: A Suicide Note, London Fields, Time's Arrow, The Information, among others )

Previous jobs : Reviewer /writer for The Observer, Times Literary Supplement, New Statesman

First wife: Antonia Phillips (two sons...

45/3,K/6 (Item 1 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05214623 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 21066438 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

Randomized Trial of Estrogen Plus Progestin for Secondary Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease in Postmenopausal Women. (part 1)

Hulley, Stephen

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n7, p605A(1)

August 19, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 2412 LINE COUNT: 00208

... blinded to treatment assignment. Secondary events were classified by Coordinating Center physicians blinded to treatment assignment . Every event (whether primary or secondary ) was classified independently by 2 reviewers , and discordant classifications were resolved in discussions between the reviewers. Problematic potential primary events...

45/3,K/7 (Item 1 from file: 88)

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.

(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03210895 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 14420792

The Fifties. (book reviews)

Seigenthaler, John

Nieman Reports, v47, n3, p66(3)

Fall, 1993

DOCUMENT TYPE: review ISSN: 0028-9817 LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 2408 LINE COUNT: 00185

... 27.50 for a copy of it as his readers will. And so the disclaimer.

Other reviewers could have approached this assignment with more objectivity, but none with more awareness of the world of the author. I...  
?

in **specific** subdisciplines, check the status of manuscripts, identify overdue reviews, list manuscripts for a particular author...

53/3,K/4 (Item 3 from file: 2)

DIALOG(R)File 2:INSPEC

(c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

01122418 INSPEC Abstract Number: C77027438

Title: **Quality in the review process**

Author(s): Williams, J.

Author Affiliation: Teachers Coll., Columbia Univ., New York, NY, USA

Journal: IEEE Transactions on Professional Communications vol.PC-20,  
no.2 p.131-2

Publication Date: Sept. 1977 Country of Publication: USA

CODEN: IEPCBU ISSN: 0361-1434

Conference Title: 3rd I.E.E.E. Conference on Scientific Journals

Conference Sponsor: IEEE

Conference Date: 2-4 May 1977 Conference Location: Reston, VA, USA

Language: English

Subfile: C

...Abstract: have often focused on the study of standard rating forms that ask for appraisal of **specified** attributes of a **manuscript**. Scott asked **reviewers** to rate, on seven criteria, **manuscripts** submitted to the Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. There was some agreement on most...

53/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 5)

DIALOG(R)File 5:Biosis Previews(R)

(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

13330822 BIOSIS NO.: 200100537971

**Report of the NASPE policy conference on arrhythmias and the athlete.**

AUTHOR: Estes N A Mark III(a); Link Mark S; Cannom David; Naccarelli Gerald V; Prystowsky Eric N; Maron Barry J; Olshansky Brian

AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)Tufts University School of Medicine, 750 Washington Street, Boston, MA, 02111: nestes@lifespan.org\*\*USA

JOURNAL: Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology 12 (10):p1208-1219  
October, 2001

MEDIUM: print

ISSN: 1045-3873

DOCUMENT TYPE: Article

RECORD TYPE: Abstract

LANGUAGE: English

SUMMARY LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: this and made revisions according to suggestions of all members of the writing committee. The **manuscript** was reviewed by four independent **reviewers assigned** by the NASPE Committee for the Development of Position Statements and NASPE Board of Trustees...

53/3,K/6 (Item 2 from file: 5)

DIALOG(R)File 5:Biosis Previews(R)

(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09643504 BIOSIS NO.: 199598098422

**A retrospective analysis of peer review at Physiologia Plantarum.**

AUTHOR: Murphy Terence M(a); Utts Jessica M

AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)Sect. Plant Biol., Univ. Calif., Davis, CA 95616\*\*USA

JOURNAL: Physiologia Plantarum 92 (4):p535-542 1994

ISSN: 0031-9317

DOCUMENT TYPE: Literature Review

RECORD TYPE: Abstract  
LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: assistant professors tended to be less accepting of papers than editors more frequently than did **reviewers** in general.  
**Recommendations** regarding a **manuscript** were more similar than could be accounted for by chance, providing a positive indication of...

53/3,K/7 (Item 3 from file: 5)  
DIALOG(R)File 5:BIOSIS Previews(R)  
(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09394674 BIOSIS NO.: 199497403044

**The effects of blinding on acceptance of research papers by peer review.**  
AUTHOR: Fisher Martin(a); Friedman Stanford B; Strauss Barbara  
AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)Div. Adolescent Med., Dep. Pediatr., North Shore Univ. Hosp.-Cornell Univ. Med. Coll., 300 Commu\*\*USA  
JOURNAL: JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association) 272 (2):p 143-146 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract  
LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: office of the Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. Participants.-Two "blinded" and two "nonblinded" **reviewers assigned** to 57 consecutive **manuscripts** submitted between September 1991 and March 1992. Outcome Measures.-Spearman rank correlation coefficients were used...

53/3,K/8 (Item 4 from file: 5)  
DIALOG(R)File 5:BIOSIS Previews(R)  
(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09394672 BIOSIS NO.: 199497403042

**Effect of institutional prestige on reviewers' recommendations and editorial decisions.**  
AUTHOR: Garfunkel Joseph M(a); Ulshen Martin H; Hamrick Harvey J; Lawson Edward E  
AUTHOR ADDRESS: (a)Journal Pediatr., CBN 7230, Med. Sch. Wing C, Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7230\*\*USA  
JOURNAL: JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association) 272 (2):p 137-138 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract  
LANGUAGE: English

...ABSTRACT: recommended for publication by reviewers and to be accepted for publication. Design.-Retrospective study of **reviewers' recommendations** and editorial decisions for **manuscripts** from the United States received at the Journal of Pediatrics between January 1 and July...

53/3,K/9 (Item 5 from file: 5)  
DIALOG(R)File 5:BIOSIS Previews(R)  
(c) 2003 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

09279540 BIOSIS NO.: 199497287910

**Glucosylceramide in the nervous system: A mini-review.**  
AUTHOR: Radin Norman S  
AUTHOR ADDRESS: Univ. Mich., Div. Nephrol.-MSRB II, 1150 W. Medical

Center. Dr., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0676\*\*USA  
JOURNAL: Neurochemical Research 19 (5):p533-540 1994  
ISSN: 0364-3190  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract  
LANGUAGE: English

ABSTRACT: A **reviewer** of this **manuscript** has **recommended** that I warn the reader that the hypotheses offered here do not have enough experimental...

53/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03421191 GENUINE ARTICLE#: 274GZ NO. REFERENCES: 8  
**TITLE: Open peer review: a randomised controlled trial**  
AUTHOR(S): Walsh E (REPRINT); Rooney M; Appleby L; Wilkinson G  
CORPORATE SOURCE: INST PSYCHIAT,DEPT PSYCHOL MED, DE CRESPIGNY PK/LONDON SE5 8AF//ENGLAND/ (REPRINT); MAUDSLEY HOSP & INST PSYCHIAT,/LONDON SE5 8AZ//ENGLAND//; UNIV MANCHESTER,SCH PSYCHIAT & BEHAV SCI/MANCHESTER M13 9PL/LANCS/ENGLAND//; ROYAL LIVERPOOL UNIV HOSP,DEPT PSYCHIAT/LIVERPOOL/MERSEYSIDE/ENGLAND/  
JOURNAL: BRITISH JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY, 2000, V176 (JAN), P47-51  
PUBLISHER: ROYAL COLLEGE OF PSYCHIATRISTS, BRITISH JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRY 17 BELGRAVE SQUARE, LONDON SW1X 8PG, ENGLAND  
ISSN: 0007-1250  
LANGUAGE: English DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
(ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...ABSTRACT: would agree to have their name revealed to the authors whose papers they review; 408 **manuscripts assigned to reviewers** who agreed were randomised to signed or unsigned groups. We measured review quality, tone, recommendation...

53/3,K/11 (Item 2 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03405111 GENUINE ARTICLE#: 261LD NO. REFERENCES: 24  
**TITLE: Focus on research methods - Facilitating the work of a meta-analyst**  
AUTHOR(S): Beck CT (REPRINT)  
CORPORATE SOURCE: UNIV CONNECTICUT,SCH NURSING U26/STORRS//CT/06269 (REPRINT)  
JOURNAL: RESEARCH IN NURSING & HEALTH, 1999, V22, N6 (DEC), P523-530  
PUBLISHER: JOHN WILEY & SONS INC, 605 THIRD AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10158-0012  
ISSN: 0160-6891  
LANGUAGE: English DOCUMENT TYPE: Article  
(ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...ABSTRACT: tables in research reports. Suggestions for removing some of these roadblocks are addressed along with **recommendations** to authors, editors, and **manuscript reviewers**. An example of a suggestion for authors is to focus on reporting exact statistical values...

53/3,K/12 (Item 3 from file: 7)  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

02746656 GENUINE ARTICLE#: QW372 NO. REFERENCES: 4  
**TITLE: CONFESSIONS OF AN EDITOR, INCLUDING MISTAKES I HAVE MADE**  
AUTHOR(S): ROUTH DK



CORPORATE SOURCE: UNIV MIAMI, DEPT PSYCHOL, POB 248185/CORAL GABLES//FL/33124  
JOURNAL: JOURNAL OF CLINICAL CHILD PSYCHOLOGY, 1995, V24, N2 (JUN), P  
236-241  
ISSN: 0047-228X  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: ARTICLE  
(Abstract Available)

...ABSTRACT: to write articles in psychology, getting appointed to an editorial position, encouraging the submission of **manuscripts**, **choosing reviewers**, making editorial decisions, dealing with organizations that sponsor journals, coping with publishers, and helping a...

**53/3,K/13 (Item 4 from file: 7)**  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

01037624 GENUINE ARTICLE#: MS032 NO. REFERENCES: 0  
**TITLE: COMPUTER MATCHMAKING - REVIEWERS AND MANUSCRIPTS**  
AUTHOR(S): FLEMING JL  
JOURNAL: ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY, 1981, V15, N12, P1395  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: EDITORIAL

**TITLE: COMPUTER MATCHMAKING - REVIEWERS AND MANUSCRIPTS**

**53/3,K/14 (Item 5 from file: 7)**  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

00928789 GENUINE ARTICLE#: KV984 NO. REFERENCES: 0  
**TITLE: A SURVEY OF THE SELECTION OF REVIEWERS OF MANUSCRIPTS FOR PSYCHOLOGY JOURNALS**  
AUTHOR(S): RUSSO NF  
CORPORATE SOURCE: AMER PSYCHOL ASSOC, PROGRAM WOMEN, 1200 17TH ST  
NW/WASHINGTON//DC/20036  
JOURNAL: AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST, 1980, V35, N12, P1106-1110  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: ARTICLE

**TITLE: A SURVEY OF THE SELECTION OF REVIEWERS OF MANUSCRIPTS FOR PSYCHOLOGY JOURNALS**

**53/3,K/15 (Item 6 from file: 7)**  
DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

00904785 GENUINE ARTICLE#: KN112 NO. REFERENCES: 7  
**TITLE: COMPUTER-AIDED SELECTION OF REVIEWERS AND MANUSCRIPT CONTROL**  
AUTHOR(S): GARSON LR  
CORPORATE SOURCE: AMER CHEM SOC, DEPT RES & DEV, DIV BOOKS &  
JOURNALS/WASHINGTON//DC/20036  
JOURNAL: SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING, 1980, V12, N1, P65-74  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH DOCUMENT TYPE: ARTICLE

**TITLE: COMPUTER-AIDED SELECTION OF REVIEWERS AND MANUSCRIPT CONTROL**

**53/3,K/16 (Item 1 from file: 11)**  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

02039639 2000-07140-016  
**Writing research articles for publication.**

SERIES TITLE: Issues in clinical child psychology.  
AUTHOR: Drotar, Dennis  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Rainbow Babies & Children's Hosp, Dept of Pediatrics--  
Cleveland--OH--USn1  
BOOK SOURCE: Drotar, Dennis (Ed). Handbook of research in pediatric and  
clinical child psychology: Practical strategies and methods.  
, 347-374 , xiiip, 557, 2000  
PUBLISHER: Kluwer Academic Publishers--Dordrecht--Netherlands

...ABSTRACT: writing research articles for publication, including the  
process of manuscript review. The author describes the **specific**  
sections of a **manuscript** and considers what **reviewers** look for in a  
**manuscript** . Examples of methods of presenting information in a research  
report and in issues responding to...

53/3,K/17 (Item 2 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01698010 2000-02943-002

**Effect of open peer review on quality of reviews and on reviewers'**  
**recommendations: A randomised trial.**

AUTHOR: Rooyen, Susan van; Godlee, Fiona; Evans, Stephen; Black, Nick;  
Smith, Richard  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: British Medical Journal--London--Englandn1  
JOURNAL: BMJ: British Medical Journal--http://www.bmj.com/, No 7175, 23-27  
, Jan, 1999  
PUBLISHER: British Medical Assn--England

...IDENTIFIERS: reviewers to have their identity revealed to authors of the  
paper, quality of peer review & **recommendations** , **manuscript** **reviewers**

53/3,K/18 (Item 3 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01603436 1998-04896-004

**Does masking author identity improve peer review quality? A randomized**  
**controlled trial.**

AUTHOR: Justice, Amy C.; Cho, Mildred K.; Winker, Margaret A.; Berlin,  
Jesse A.; Rennie, Drummond  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Case Western Reserve U, School of Medicine--Cleveland--  
OH--USn1

CORPORATE SOURCE: PEER Investigators--US  
JOURNAL: JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association--  
http://jama.ama-assn.org/, Vol 280(3), 240-242, Jul, 1998  
PUBLISHER: American Medical Assn--US--http://www.jama.com

...ABSTRACT: s usual practice. The manuscripts randomized to the  
intervention arm (92) had 1 of 2 **reviewers** randomly **selected** to  
receive a **manuscript** from which the author and institution had been  
removed. Questionnaires were completed by editors, authors...

53/3,K/19 (Item 4 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01603435 1998-04896-003

**Effect on the quality of peer review of blinding reviewers and asking them**  
**to sign their reports: A randomized control trial.**

AUTHOR: Godlee, Fiona; Gale, Catharine R.; Martyn, Christopher N.

AUTHOR AFFILIATION: BMJ--London--Englandn1  
JOURNAL: JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association--  
<http://jama.ama-assn.org/>, Vol 280(3), 237-240, Jul, 1998  
PUBLISHER: American Medical Assn--US--<http://www.jama.com>

...ABSTRACT: busy. The mean number of weaknesses commented on was two.  
Despite the weaknesses of the **manuscript**, 73 **reviewers** recommended  
that it be published with minor revision. (PsycINFO Database Record (c)  
2002 APA, all rights...

53/3,K/20 (Item 5 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01367330 1995-03279-001

**Do readers and peer reviewers agree on manuscript quality?**

AUTHOR: Justice, Amy C.; Berlin, Jesse A.; Fletcher, Suzanne W.; Fletcher,  
Robert H.; et al  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: U Pennsylvania, Ctr for Clinical Epidemiology &  
Biostatistics, Philadelphia--USn1  
JOURNAL: JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association--  
<http://jama.ama-assn.org/>, Vol 272(2), 117-119, Jul, 1994  
PUBLISHER: American Medical Assn--US--<http://www.jama.com>

ABSTRACT: **Determined** whether readers and peer **reviewers** agree on  
**manuscript** quality. 113 consecutive manuscripts accepted for publication  
were used. A random sample of readers, 208...

53/3,K/21 (Item 6 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01367328 1995-03277-001

**Is there gender bias in JAMA's peer review process?**

AUTHOR: Gilbert, Julie R.; Williams, Elaine S.; Lundberg, George D.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: JAMA, Chicago, IL--USn1  
JOURNAL: JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association--  
<http://jama.ama-assn.org/>, Vol 272(2), 139-142, Jul, 1994  
PUBLISHER: American Medical Assn--US--<http://www.jama.com>

IDENTIFIERS: sex of corresponding author or **assigned** editor or **reviewers**  
, peer reviews & **manuscript** processing & acceptance rates of articles  
submitted to "Journal of the American Medical Association"

53/3,K/22 (Item 7 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

01112402 1990-23767-001

**Recommendations for contemporary editorial practices.**

AUTHOR: Finke, Ronald A.  
AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Texas A&M U--USn1  
JOURNAL: American Psychologist--<http://www.apa.org/journals/amp.html>, Vol  
45(5), 669-670, May, 1990  
PUBLISHER: American Psychological Assn--US--<http://www.apa.org>

...ABSTRACT: available reviewers, delays in the reviewing process, and  
difficulties with ensuring high standards of quality. **Recommendations**  
include editors (1) contacting **reviewers** before sending them a  
**manuscript** and using no more than 2 reviewers per submission; (2) giving  
authors an opportunity to...

53/3,K/23 (Item 8 from file: 11)  
DIALOG(R)File 11:PsycINFO(R)  
(c) 2003 Amer. Psychological Assn. All rts. reserv.

00681993 1981-31061-001

**A survey of the selection of reviewers of manuscripts for psychology journals.**

CORPORATE SOURCE: American Psychological Assn, Committee on Women in Psychology Washington, DC

JOURNAL: American Psychologist--<http://www.apa.org/journals/amp.html>, Vol 35(12), 1106-1110, Dec, 1980

PUBLISHER: American Psychological Assn--US--<http://www.apa.org>

**A survey of the selection of reviewers of manuscripts for psychology journals.**

...ABSTRACT: and practice of psychology. Implications of variation in size of the review load, methods of **assigning manuscripts**, procedures for identifying qualified **reviewers**, satisfaction with using an open call for reviewers, and special steps taken to involve women...

IDENTIFIERS: survey of **selection of reviewers of manuscripts** for psychology journals, journal editors

53/3,K/24 (Item 1 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01744646 03-95636

**Ethics and accounting publishing process: Author, reviewer, and editor issues**

Borkowski, Susan C; Welsh, Mary Jeanne

Journal of Business Ethics v17n16 PP: 1785-1803 Dec 1998

ISSN: 0167-4544 JRNL CODE: JBE

WORD COUNT: 6200

...TEXT: considered it unethical for reviewers to raise new issues on a subsequent revision of a **manuscript**.

Conscious **selection of reviewers** whom the editor believes to be either hostile or sympathetic to a particular theoretical, methodological...

... the publication process. Conscious selection of hostile reviewers can bias publication decisions against acceptance, while **selection of sympathetic reviewers** could mean that **manuscripts** are not evaluated as critically (Stryker, 1990, p. 86). However, deliberate selection of reviewers based...

53/3,K/25 (Item 2 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01659481 03-10471

**Are we playing the same game?: Publishing task environments and research productivity among management specialists**

Jarley, Paul; Chandler, Timothy D; Faulk, Larry H II

Human Relations v51n6 PP: 799-824 Jun 1998

ISSN: 0018-7267 JRNL CODE: HRL

WORD COUNT: 7783

...TEXT: 3) whether reviewer comments are available to authors; (4) the average time to evaluate a **manuscript**; (5) the average number of

**reviewers** (internal and external) assigned to an article; and (6) the journal's acceptance rate. Where Cabell reported a range...

**53/3,K/26** (Item 3 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01557006 02-07995

**The maturation of The Academy of Management Journal**  
Hitt, Michael A  
Academy of Management Journal v40n6 PP: 1450-1455 Dec 1997  
ISSN: 0001-4273 JRNL CODE: AMA  
WORD COUNT: 2789

...TEXT: manuscripts. I estimate that I spent 70-80 hours per week on journal-related activities, **assigning reviewers**, reading **manuscripts** and reviews and making decisions, and managing the overall process (e.g., checking on reviewers...

**53/3,K/27** (Item 4 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01557005 02-07994

**The journal editor as well-intentioned steward**  
Mowday, Richard T  
Academy of Management Journal v40n6 PP: 1442-1449 Dec 1997  
ISSN: 0001-4273 JRNL CODE: AMA  
WORD COUNT: 3575

...TEXT: selection of manuscripts. One model has the editor taking considerable latitude in encouraging and publishing **manuscripts**, even if the **assigned reviewers** believe them to have little merit. The other model is to allow the reviewers and...

**53/3,K/28** (Item 5 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01557003 02-07992

**Reflections upon a stage in the maturation of AMJ and the AOM**  
Mahoney, Thomas A  
Academy of Management Journal v40n6 PP: 1432-1435 Dec 1997  
ISSN: 0001-4273 JRNL CODE: AMA  
WORD COUNT: 1665

...TEXT: the range of research topics and methods of research into management. I screened each new **manuscript** for appropriateness and made a **reviewer assignment**. This reading familiarized me with both topics and research methods I had not previously examined...

**53/3,K/29** (Item 6 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01445110 00-96097

**Reviewer and editor decision making in the journal review process**  
Gilliland, Stephen W; Cortina, Jose M  
Personnel Psychology v50n2 PP: 427-452 Summer 1997  
ISSN: 0031-5826 JRNL CODE: PPS  
WORD COUNT: 9123

...TEXT: research of Beyer et al. (1995) by examining the editor's initial decision regarding the **assignment** of **reviewers** to particular **manuscripts** .

Given the detail with which we have chosen to examine gatekeeping and particularism phenomena with...

...of the paper.

The logic behind this hypothesis is that the editor will try to **match reviewers** ' expertise to **manuscripts** on the basis of characteristics of the manuscript such as content and type of research...gatekeeping, variable gatekeeping, social particularism, and content particularism frameworks in three editorial decisions including the **assignment** of **manuscripts** to **reviewers** , the evaluations of the reviewers, and the editor's decision regarding publication potential.

Gatekeeping was... may often rely not only on paper content, but also on citations within the present **manuscript** when **choosing reviewers** . Authors can sometimes manipulate this process by including citations of people that they would like...

**53/3,K/30** (Item 7 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01393385 00-44372

**From the editor**

Tsui, Anne S

Academy of Management Journal v40n1 PP: 5-8 Feb 1997

ISSN: 0001-4273 JRNL CODE: AMA

WORD COUNT: 1967

...TEXT: the information to New York via electronic mail. The managing editor (Carolyn Haitsch) sends the **manuscripts** to the **assigned reviewers** and acting editor, and the reviewers are instructed to fax a copy of their review...

**53/3,K/31** (Item 8 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01323316 99-72712

**Editorial comments**

Jackson, Susan E

Academy of Management Review v21n4 PP: 907-911 Oct 1996

ISSN: 0363-7425 JRNL CODE: AMR

WORD COUNT: 810

...TEXT: those published by AMR, these objectives are best achieved, I believe, first, by having several **reviewers** with differing perspectives evaluate **manuscripts** against a set of clearly **specified** criteria and, second, by providing feedback to authors about how to prepare manuscripts that more...

**53/3,K/32** (Item 9 from file: 15)  
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00499423 90-25180

**Is There Value-Added from the Review Process in Economics?: Preliminary**

**Evidence from Authors**

Laband, David N.

Quarterly Journal of Economics v105n2 PP: 341-352 May 1990

ISSN: 0033-5533 JRNL CODE: QJE

...ABSTRACT: the quality of published papers and that editorial value added apparently derives from the efficient **matching** of **manuscripts** and **reviewers** .

**53/3,K/33 (Item 1 from file: 20)**

DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter

(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

13320694 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Prospects and perils of textbook publishing**

HINDU

October 17, 2000

JOURNAL CODE: FHIN LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 853

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

... from the reviewer. The reviewers, who themselves have not published books are unlikely to review **manuscripts** properly. Therefore, **choosing** an appropriate **reviewer** is important for proper assessment of each manuscript. His review should be objective, unbiased and...

**53/3,K/34 (Item 1 from file: 34)**

DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci

(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

09977333 Genuine Article#: 472FF No. References: 15

**Title: Review process**

Author(s): Shea JA; Caelleigh AS; Pangaro L; Steinecke A

Journal: ACADEMIC MEDICINE, 2001, V76, N9 (SEP), P911-914

ISSN: 1040-2446 Publication date: 20010900

Publisher: HANLEY & BELFUS INC, 210 S 13TH ST, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 USA

Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: types of review processes used at journals, they discuss how journals identify potential reviewers and **select specific reviewers** for a **manuscript** . They explain the types of review forms used by various journals and how reviewers' comments...

**53/3,K/35 (Item 2 from file: 34)**

DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci

(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

09248557 Genuine Article#: 383MJ No. References: 0

**Title: Recommendations to reviewers of manuscripts submitted for publication in journals of the Federacion de Revistas Neurologicas en Espanol**

Author(s): Martin R

Journal: REVISTA ECUATORIANA DE NEUROLOGIA, 2000, V9, N3, P31-32

ISSN: 1019-8113 Publication date: 20000000

Publisher: SOCIEDAD ECUATORIANA NEUROLOG, REVISTA ECUATORIANA NEUROLOGIA PO BOX (09-01) 3734, GUAYAQUIL, ECUADOR

Language: Spanish Document Type: ARTICLE

**Title: Recommendations to reviewers of manuscripts submitted for publication in journals of the Federacion de Revistas Neurologicas en Espanol**

53/3,K/36 (Item 3 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

07040214 Genuine Article#: 116RC No. References: 27

**Title: Who reviews the reviewers? Feasibility of using a fictitious manuscript to evaluate peer reviewer performance**

Author(s): Baxt WG (REPRINT) ; Waeckerle JF; Berlin JA; Callaham ML  
Corporate Source: ANNALS EMERGENCY MED,/IRVING//TX/ (REPRINT)  
Journal: ANNALS OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE, 1998, V32, N3,1 (SEP), P310-317  
ISSN: 0196-0644 Publication date: 19980900  
Publisher: MOSBY-YEAR BOOK INC, 11830 WESTLINE INDUSTRIAL DR, ST LOUIS, MO 63146-3318  
Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: manuscript was sent to 262 reviewers; 203 (78%) reviews were returned. One-hundred ninety-nine reviewers recommended a disposition for the manuscript : 15 recommended acceptance, 117 rejection, and 67 revision. The 15 who recommended acceptance identified 17.3% (95...

53/3,K/37 (Item 4 from file: 34)  
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci  
(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

06872769 Genuine Article#: ZZ204 No. References: 11

**Title: Reliability of editors' subjective quality ratings of peer reviews of manuscripts**

Author(s): Callaham ML (REPRINT) ; Baxt WG; Waeckerle JF; Wears RL  
Corporate Source: UNIV CALIF SAN FRANCISCO,DEPT MED, DIV EMERGENCY MED, BOX 0208/SAN FRANCISCO//CA/94143 (REPRINT); UNIV PENN,DEPT EMERGENCY MED/PHILADELPHIA//PA/19104; UNIV MISSOURI,DEPT EMERGENCY MED/KANSAS CITY//MO/64110; UNIV FLORIDA,DEPT EMERGENCY MED/JACKSONVILLE//FL/  
Journal: JAMA-JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 1998, V280, N3 (JUL 15), P229-231  
ISSN: 0098-7484 Publication date: 19980715  
Publisher: AMER MEDICAL ASSOC, 515 N STATE ST, CHICAGO, IL 60610  
Language: English Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...Abstract: rated reviewers.

Conclusions.-Subjective editor ratings of individual reviewers were moderately reliable and correlated with reviewer ability to report manuscript flaws. Individual reviewer rate of recommendation for acceptance and decision congruence might be thought to be markers of a discriminating (ie...

53/3,K/38 (Item 1 from file: 35)  
DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

808122 ORDER NO: AAD83-09246

**STATUS-QUALITY SYNERGISMS IN THE PEER REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPTS**

Author: FESTA, ROGER REGINALD  
Degree: PH.D.  
Year: 1982  
Corporate Source/Institution: THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT (0056)  
Source: VOLUME 43/12-A OF DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS INTERNATIONAL.  
PAGE 3797. 102 PAGES

...multivariate analysis of variance.



Results indicated that there were no significant differences in the ratings **assigned** by both populations of **reviewers** to **manuscripts** of preliminary and final quality and by high school-based authors and college-based authors...

53/3,K/39 (Item 2 from file: 35)  
DIALOG(R)File 35:Dissertation Abs Online  
(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

781764 ORDER NO: AAD82-14631

**A PORTRAIT OF THE JOURNAL EDITOR IN NURSING IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA**

Author: BINGER, JANE LOUISE

Degree: ED.D.

Year: 1982

Corporate Source/Institution: STANFORD UNIVERSITY (0212)

Source: VOLUME 43/01-B OF DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS INTERNATIONAL.

PAGE 94. 225 PAGES

...layout, and soliciting manuscripts along with other activities. In short, if editors, themselves, do not **select manuscripts**, they **choose** the **reviewers** who, in turn, **select manuscripts** for publication. (2) Are generally nurses who enter the editorship experienced in the substantive area...

53/3,K/40 (Item 1 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

06117227 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 76446776 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Outcomes of Trial of HIV-1 Immunogen in Patients With HIV Infection. (Letter to the Editor)**

Dat, Nguyen V.; Gotch, Frances M.; Imami, Nesrina; Goldberg, Billi;  
Stricker, Raphael B.; Poretz, Donald M.; Lurie, Peter; Wolfe, Sidney M.;  
Kahn, James O.; Lagakos, Stephen  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 285, 17, 2191  
May 2, 2001

DOCUMENT TYPE: Letter to the Editor ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE:  
English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 4796 LINE COUNT: 00391

... this debate and it is most unfortunate that a satisfactory conclusion was not obtained. **Reviewers** of the **manuscript** could have **determined** whether the added data were valuable enough to be included in the final publication. Additionally...

53/3,K/41 (Item 2 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

06055378 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 62651580 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Mortality and Prehospital Thrombolysis for Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Meta-analysis.**

Morrison, Laurie J.; Verbeek, P. Richard; McDonald, Andrew C.; Sawadsky, Bruce V.; Cook, Deborah J.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 283, 20, 2686  
May 24, 2000

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 5575 LINE COUNT: 00607

... mortality. Relevance was assessed using a hierarchical approach based on title, abstract, and the full **manuscript**. When the **reviewers** disagreed on **assignment**, the study was included in the next screening

level. The reviewers (L.J.M...

53/3,K/42 (Item 3 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

06051429 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 72685313 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Submitting Manuscripts.**  
Community College Review, 28, 3, 88  
Winter, 2000  
ISSN: 0091-5521 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 567 LINE COUNT: 00054

... and researchers who have a background in the topic being presented.  
Decisions to publish a **manuscript** rest primarily on the **reviewers**  
' **recommendations** . Exceptions to this policy are made for manuscripts  
based on practioners' experiences and opinions that...

53/3,K/43 (Item 4 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

06017138 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 71016621 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Looking Ahead; Looking Back.**  
Hayhoe, George F.  
Technical Communication, 48, 1, 17  
Feb, 2001  
ISSN: 0049-3155 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 1358 LINE COUNT: 00113

... members will help me not only with the ongoing work of the  
journal--identifying potential **reviewers** and **determining** the  
suitability of **manuscripts** for publication--but also with a number of new  
initiatives.

\* A survey of readers will...

53/3,K/44 (Item 5 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05777261 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 61622566 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Thanking Authors, Peer Reviewers, and Readers--Constancy in a Time of  
Change.**  
Fontanarosa, Phil B.; Glass, Richard M.; DeAngelis, Catherine D.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 283, 15, 2016  
April 19, 2000  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 1203 LINE COUNT: 00103

... publication and contributes significantly to the scientific  
integrity of published articles. The editors consider the **recommendations**  
and comments of the peer **reviewers** seriously in the assessment of  
**manuscripts** and the editorial decision-making process. Publication of a  
general medical journal requires the involvement...

53/3,K/45 (Item 6 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05354637 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 54457034 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Thanking Reviewers, Acknowledging Authors, and Serving the Needs of**

**Readers. (Editorial)**

Fontanarosa, Phil B.; Glass, Richard M.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, 281, 15, 1434(1)

April 21, 1999

DOCUMENT TYPE: Editorial

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 1179 LINE COUNT: 00101

.... for improving the manuscript. We also value and carefully consider the opinions of the peer **reviewers** in **determining** whether the **manuscript** under consideration reaches the level of importance and newness that merits publication in JAMA, and...

**53/3,K/46 (Item 7 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192440 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954398 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Navigating the maze of medical research. (JAMA Patient Page)**

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p306(1)

July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 809 LINE COUNT: 00071

... journals use the peer-review process to evaluate the importance and quality of original research **manuscripts**. Journal editors **select** the peer **reviewers**, who are considered experts in a certain area of medicine. Peer-reviewed research articles are...

**53/3,K/47 (Item 8 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

05192415 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954373 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Effect of Blinding and Unmasking on the Quality of Peer Review.**

Rooyen, Susan van BSc; Godlee, Fiona MRCP; Evans, Stephen MSc; Smith, Richard FRCP; Black, Nick MD

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p234(1)

July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: English

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 3134 LINE COUNT: 00318

... groups were also asked to record how long they spent on the review and their **recommendation** regarding publication of the **manuscript**. If 1 of the 2 **reviewers** of a **manuscript** in the unmasked group withheld consent, the manuscript was transferred into a preference arm, and...

**53/3,K/48 (Item 9 from file: 47)**

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130046 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634232 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Ethics, economics, and the publication policies of major medical journals.**

**(The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**

Schulman, Kevin; Sulmasy, Daniel P.; Roney, Deborah

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p154(3)

July 13, 1994

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT

WORD COUNT: 2783 LINE COUNT: 00234

TEXT:

...submitted in these areas. Editors without a firm grounding in these topic areas may inadvertently assign the manuscript to peer reviewers who are not truly skilled in these methodological disciplines. This could result in publication of...

... responsible for assigning categories to manuscripts and about the frequency with which different types of reviewers were assigned to each manuscript category (that is, clinicians, methodologists, statisticians, economists, or ethicists, and the frequency of joint review...compounded when editors who do not have specific training in these fields are responsible for assigning reviewers for these manuscripts. If the appropriate reviewers are not chosen, economics articles that lack some aspect of a critical analysis may be...

53/3,K/49 (Item 10 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

04130029 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634198 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Evaluating peer reviews: pilot testing of a grading instrument. (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**

Feurer, Irene D.; Becker, Gary J.; Picus, Daniel; Ramirez, Estella; Darcy, Michael D.; Hicks, Marshall E.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p98(3)

July 13, 1994

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT

WORD COUNT: 2457 LINE COUNT: 00214

... D.D., and M.E.H.).

The JVIR employs a parallel refereeing system,[17] with manuscript assignment on the basis of reviewers' areas of expertise, history with respect to preparing rigorous reviews and meeting deadlines, and availability...

53/3,K/50 (Item 11 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03788057 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 12561243 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Confusion on the cutting edge. (includes related articles on science journal and academic guidelines on financial disclosure) (Conflicts of Interest)**

Barinaga, Marcia

Science, v257, n5070, p616(4)

July 31, 1992

CODEN: SCIEAS

ISSN: 0036-8075

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 4433 LINE COUNT: 00343

... communicate papers to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, a process that involves selecting peer reviewers for the manuscript and evaluating the reviews. Stanford immunologist and academy member Irving Weissman last year drew his...

53/3,K/51 (Item 12 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03480544 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 10406741 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Peer review. (multiple letters; includes reply) (letter to the editor)**

Leung, Alexander K.C.; Robson, William Lane M.; Moeser, Pierre J.; Shenoy, B. Vittal; Evans, James T.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v264, n24, p3142(2)

Dec 26, 1990

DOCUMENT TYPE: letter to the editor

ISSN: 0098-7484

LANGUAGE:

ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 1104 LINE COUNT: 00089

TEXT:

Peer Review To the Editor. -- Reviewers are considered the gatekeepers of science. Based on the **recommendations** of a **reviewer**, an editor decides whether a **manuscript** should be accepted, revised, or rejected. We were astonished to learn from the report by...

53/3,K/52 (Item 13 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404432 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08846055 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Effect of acceptance or rejection on the author's evaluation of peer review of medical manuscripts.**

Garfunkel, Joseph M.; Lawson, Edward E.; Hamrick, Harvey J.; Ulshen, Martin H.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1376(3)

March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT

WORD COUNT: 1824 LINE COUNT: 00148

... month in which the decision was made, we ensured that there was no way of **selecting specific manuscripts** or **reviewers** for inclusion in the study.

Our personal experiences and previous studies [1] indicate that many

...

53/3,K/53 (Item 14 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404428 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799123 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Quotational and reference accuracy in surgical journals: a continuing peer review problem.**

Evans, James T.; Nadjari, Howard I.; Burchell, Sherry A.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1353(2)

March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT

WORD COUNT: 1640 LINE COUNT: 00140

...ABSTRACT: send the submissions out to experts in the topic area involved; the experts, or peer **reviewers**, read the **manuscript** and **recommend** that it be accepted, revised, or rejected. One aspect of preparing a manuscript is checking...

53/3,K/54 (Item 15 from file: 47)

DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)

(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

03404424 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799109 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)

**Who are the peer reviewers and how much do they review?**

Yankauer, Alfred

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1338(3)

March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT; ABSTRACT

WORD COUNT: 2048 LINE COUNT: 00161

...ABSTRACT: of articles to be published. Critics have claimed that the

journals are biased in their **selection** of **reviewers** , sending **manuscripts** only to those individuals who share the opinions of the inner group and who reject...

53/3,K/55 (Item 16 from file: 47)  
DIALOG(R)File 47:Gale Group Magazine DB(TM)  
(c) 2003 The Gale group. All rts. reserv.

02941318 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 04711796 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT)  
**Scholars and serials. (electronic journals)**  
Lubans, John, Jr.  
American Libraries, v18, p180(3)  
March, 1987  
CODEN: AMLRB ISSN: 0002-9769 LANGUAGE: ENGLISH RECORD TYPE:  
FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 1971 LINE COUNT: 00157

... In spite of all the allegations directed at publishers, scholars still flood editorial boards with **manuscripts** and serve as **reviewers** and editors, and librarians still **select** new subscriptions and authorize the payment of invoices for new and old standing orders. We...

53/3,K/56 (Item 1 from file: 73)  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

11359654 EMBASE No: 2001374113  
**Report of the NASPE policy conference on arrhythmias and the athlete**  
Estes III N.A.M.; Link M.S.; Cannom D.; Naccarelli G.V.; Prystowsky E.N.;  
Maron B.J.; Olshansky B.  
Dr. N.A.M. Estes III, Tufts University School of Medicine, Box 197, 750  
Washington Street, Boston, MA 02111 United States  
AUTHOR EMAIL: nestes@lifespan.org  
Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology ( J. CARDIOVASC.  
ELECTROPHYSIOL. ) (United States) 2001, 12/10 (1208-1219)  
CODEN: JCELE ISSN: 1045-3873  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal ; Article  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH  
NUMBER OF REFERENCES: 84

...this and made revisions according to suggestions of all members of the writing committee. The **manuscript** was reviewed by four independent **reviewers assigned** by the NASPE Committee for the Development of Position Statements and NASPE Board of Trustees...

53/3,K/57 (Item 2 from file: 73)  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

11315422 EMBASE No: 2001331837  
**Reasons reviewers reject and accept manuscripts: The strengths and weaknesses in medical education reports**  
Bordage G.  
Dr. G. Bordage, Department of Medical Education, College of Medicine,  
University of Illinois, 808 South Wood, Chicago, IL 60612-7309 United  
States  
AUTHOR EMAIL: bordage@uic.edu  
Academic Medicine ( ACAD. MED. ) (United States) 2001, 76/9 (889-896)  
CODEN: ACMEE ISSN: 1040-2446  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal ; Article  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH  
NUMBER OF REFERENCES: 7

...overall ratings from at least one reviewer were evaluated. A similar analysis was performed on **reviewers** ' positive comments for 28 **manuscripts recommended** unanimously for acceptance. Results. On average, four peers (4.1, SD = 0.97, range = 2...

**53/3,K/58 (Item 3 from file: 73)**  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

10961563 EMBASE No: 2001008451  
Recommendations to reviewers of manuscripts submitted for publication in journals of the federacion de revistas neurologicas en Espan(tilde)ol  
RECOMENDACIONES A LOS REVISORES DE LOS MANUSCRITOS SOMETIDOS PARA PUBLICACION EN LAS REVISTAS DE LA FEDERACION DE REVISTAS NEUROLOGICAS EN ESPAN(tilde)OL  
Martin R.  
Revista Ecuatoriana de Neurologia ( REV. ECUAT. NEUROL. ) (Ecuador)  
2000, 9/3 (31-32)  
CODEN: RECNE ISSN: 1019-8113  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal ; Article  
LANGUAGE: SPANISH

Recommendations to reviewers of manuscripts submitted for publication in journals of the federacion de revistas neurologicas en Espan(tilde)ol

**53/3,K/59 (Item 4 from file: 73)**  
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE  
(c) 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

06228457 EMBASE No: 1995259339  
**The role of the manuscript reviewer in the peer review process**  
Polak J.F.  
Department of Radiology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St., Boston, MA 02115 United States  
American Journal of Roentgenology ( AM. J. ROENTGENOL. ) (United States)  
1995, 165/3 (685-688)  
CODEN: AJROA ISSN: 0361-803X  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal; Review  
LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

...task selflessly and without compensation. Of the many facets of the peer review process, the **selection of manuscript reviewers** and their subsequent interaction with both editors and authors may be so poorly understood by...

**53/3,K/60 (Item 1 from file: 86)**  
DIALOG(R)File 86:Mental Health Abstracts  
(c) 2000 IFI/CLAIMS(r). All rts. reserv.

0390946  
HER1981-23233  
**A survey of the selection of reviewers of manuscripts for psychology journals.**  
APA committee on women in psychology.  
Nancy Felipe Russo: American Psychological Association, Women's Program, 1200 Seventeenth St., N.W., Washington, DC 20036  
American Psychologist 35(12):1106-1110, 1980.  
Languages: English

53/3,K/61 (Item 2 from file: 86)  
DIALOG(R)File 86:Mental Health Abstracts  
(c) 2000 IFI/CLAIMS(r). All rts. reserv.

0308492  
TAI1978-22025  
**A look at the publication of scientific research.  
Publish and perish.**  
Mahoney, Michael J.  
no address  
Human Behavior 7(2):38-41, 1977.  
Languages: English

53/3,K/62 (Item 1 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05645410 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 69300286  
**American Political Science Review Editor's Report for  
1999-2000. (Statistical Data Included)**  
Finifter, Ada W.  
PS: Political Science & Politics, 33, 4, 921  
Dec, 2000  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Statistical Data Included ISSN: 1049-0965  
LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 3756 LINE COUNT: 00487

... the Editorial Board play a vital role in the review process;  
members are consulted in **choosing reviewers** for all **manuscripts** and  
for assistance on some decisions. In addition, APSR interns perform  
invaluable service, reading all...

53/3,K/63 (Item 2 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05566377 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 65772405  
**The Influence of Active Learning on the College Student Departure Process.**  
Braxton, John M.; Milem, Jeffrey F.; Sullivan, Anna Shaw  
Journal of Higher Education, 71, 5, 569  
Sept, 2000  
ISSN: 0022-1546 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 7625 LINE COUNT: 00661

... student, Department of Leadership and Organizations, Peabody  
College, Vanderbilt University.

Notes

(1.) We acknowledge the **recommendation** of an anonymous **reviewer**  
of this **manuscript** that a distinction between active learning and  
academic integration be made

(2.) Braxton (in press...

53/3,K/64 (Item 3 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05539555 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 63912376  
**EDITOR'S COMMENTS.**  
MIS Quarterly, 24, 2, iii  
June, 2000  
ISSN: 0276-7783 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext



WORD COUNT: 3191 LINE COUNT: 00243

... make sure that women and non-North-Americans are not excluded in the set of **reviewers** for a **manuscript**. Future AEs are **selected** largely from people who have developed a good track record in reviewing for the Quarterly...

**53/3,K/65 (Item 4 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05334071 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 59630616  
**Knowledge Development in Health & Social Work.**  
Keigher, Sharon M.  
Health and Social Work, 25, 1, 3  
Feb, 2000  
ISSN: 0360-7283 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext  
WORD COUNT: 4225 LINE COUNT: 00355

... than 20 years.) Patsy and Paula maintain the integrity of the "blind" review process in **selecting manuscripts**. As in a controlled experiment, **reviewers** (including myself) never know the identity of the authors of the articles they read unless...

**53/3,K/66 (Item 5 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

05308554 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 59136291  
**American Political Science Review Editor's Report for 1998-99. (Statistical Data Included)**  
Finifter, Ada W.  
PS: Political Science & Politics, 32, 4, 803  
Dec, 1999  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Statistical Data Included ISSN: 1049-0965  
LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 5260 LINE COUNT: 00577

... difficult given the traditional structure of a single editor and the high number of submissions. **Manuscripts** are generally sent to three **reviewers** whose **selection** is based on research by the APSR interns and advice from the editorial board on...

...the total figures.

"From receipt to referee assignment" reports the median number of days for **choosing reviewers** and mailing a **manuscript** to them. (1)  
"From **assignment** to last review" is the median number of days it takes for reviewers to respond...

**53/3,K/67 (Item 6 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04994013 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 53520055  
**The 1997-98 sail on the flagship 'American Political Science Review.'** (increase in number of manuscripts submitted)  
Finifter, Ada W.  
PS: Political Science & Politics, 31, 4, 897(9)  
Dec, 1998  
ISSN: 1049-0965 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 4633 LINE COUNT: 00428

... decided during this past year.

"From receipt to referee assignment" indicates the time involved in **choosing reviewers** and mailing **manuscripts** to them. "From assignment to last review" indicates the time it takes for reviews to arrive. I discussed the...

**53/3,K/68 (Item 7 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04848565 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20954386

**Perceived Value of Providing Peer Reviewers With Abstracts and Preprints of Related Published and Unpublished Papers.**

Hatch, Christopher L. PhD; Goodman, Steven N. MD, PhD

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v280, n3, p273(1)

July 15, 1998

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 3682 LINE COUNT: 00354

... 199 (51%) indicated that they perform their own literature search for papers relevant to a **manuscript**.

The peer **reviewers** 'perceived assessments of 5 **specific** aspects of the usefulness of the supplemental materials (ie, abstracts and/or preprints) are presented...

...cited or adequately discussed, are important criteria that often form the basis for a peer **reviewer** 's **recommendation** to reject a ( **manuscript** .sup.6) aspects of an accepted manuscript that are most likely to change during the...

**53/3,K/69 (Item 8 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

04807243 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 20382581

**Footprints of a profession are created by its publications.**

Howell, Terry A.

Resource: Engineering & Technology for a Sustainable World, v4, n5, p17(1)

May, 1997

ISSN: 1076-3333 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 880 LINE COUNT: 00077

... making these important decisions.

The peer review process is based on the quality of the **manuscript** reviews. **Reviewers** are **selected** based on their professional stature and familiarity with the nature of the manuscript. Reviewers are...

**53/3,K/70 (Item 9 from file: 88)**

DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03646497 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 17097635

**Microclimate and individual variation in pollinators: flowering plants are more than their flowers.**

Herrera, Carlos M.

Ecology, v76, n5, p1516(9)

July, 1995

ISSN: 0012-9658 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 5971 LINE COUNT: 00506

... use of similarity matrices; to him, Andreas Erhardt, Javier Herrera, Nick Waser, and an anonymous **reviewer** for comments on the

**manuscript** ; to Enrique Asensio for **determining** bee specimens; and to Rocio Requerey for technical assistance. The Agencia de Medio Ambiente, Junta...

53/3,K/71 (Item 10 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03478717 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634230  
**How well does a journal's peer review process function? A survey of author's opinions. (the Journal of Clinical Anesthesia) (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Sweitzer, Bobbie Jean; Cullen, David J.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p152(2)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 1731 LINE COUNT: 00159

... result of the review process. The best score was awarded by the authors of AR **manuscripts** concerning the **reviewers' specificity** of comments. The worst score was given by authors of RO manuscripts for modification of...manuscript had received a careful evaluation, which differed from the opinions of the editor and **reviewers**.

Authors of AR **manuscripts** ranked **specificity** of comments by the reviewers most favorably, perhaps because a detailed critique of the manuscript...

53/3,K/72 (Item 11 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03478703 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 15634202  
**Peer review is an effective screening process to evaluate medical manuscripts. (The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication)**  
Abby, Margaret; Massey, Michael D.; Galandiuk, Susan; Polk, Hiram C., Jr.  
JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v272, n2, p105(3)  
July 13, 1994  
ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 2847 LINE COUNT: 00237

... evaluation by three to five reviewers. The review process was defined as any assessment of **manuscript** quality by an **assigned reviewer** or the journal editor. The AJS reviewers were aware of the identity of contributing authors...

53/3,K/73 (Item 12 from file: 88)  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

03469086 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 16087842  
**Music Library Association guidelines for the preparation of music reference works.**  
Hunter, David  
Notes, v50, n4, p1329(10)  
June, 1994  
ISSN: 0027-4380 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract  
WORD COUNT: 3850 LINE COUNT: 00338

...ABSTRACT: of a Bibliography.' Such guidelines are designed to assist authors and publishers in preparing their **manuscripts**. **Reviewers** and **selectors** may find the guidelines useful in evaluating sources. MLA's

**53/3,K/74 (Item 13 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02474253 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08845849

**Problems identified by secondary review of accepted manuscripts. (further review of accepted manuscripts)**

Garfunkel, Joseph M.; Ulshen, Martin H.; Hamrick, Harvey J.; Lawson, Edward E.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1369(3)  
March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 2027 LINE COUNT: 00196

... Both rereviewers recommended acceptance of 18 of the 25 manuscripts, although the reviews included many **recommendations** for improvement. Both **reviewers recommended** rejection of 1 **manuscript**. **Recommendations** differed for 6 manuscripts> three of the responses that we have classified as a recommendation...

...6 ended with a recommendation for publication "as is" (ie, without revision)> two of these **recommendations** were for the same **manuscript**. We also requested that **reviewers** provide a **recommendation** regarding priority of the manuscript for publication in our journal, using a scale of 1...

**53/3,K/75 (Item 14 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02474249 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 08799117

**Editorial peer review in US medical journals.**

Weller, Ann C.

JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, v263, n10, p1344(4)  
March 9, 1990

ISSN: 0098-7484 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract

WORD COUNT: 2362 LINE COUNT: 00231

... difference between the two groups was that group 1 associate editors were more likely to **select reviewers**.

If subjecting a **manuscript** to outside review is the cornerstone of the review process, then reviewer selection is most...journals. These characteristics include the number of reviewers per manuscript, the likelihood of rejecting a **manuscript** on the **recommendation** of both **reviewers**, the percentage of accepted **manuscripts** reviewed, the percentage of manuscript revised more than once, the decision to use a statistical...

**53/3,K/76 (Item 15 from file: 88)**  
DIALOG(R)File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S.  
(c) 2003 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

02281942 SUPPLIER NUMBER: 07489619

**Instrument validity and reliability in three health education journals, 1980-1987. (Health Education, Health Education Quarterly, and the Journal of School Health)**

Lamp, Edward; Price, James H.; Desmond, Sharon M.

Journal of School Health, v59, n3, p105(4)

March, 1989

ISSN: 0022-4391 LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: Fulltext

WORD COUNT: 3200 LINE COUNT: 00271

... to read" articles.[7] It may be, in part, that the journals have not adequately **selected manuscript reviewers** with appropriate measurement and evaluation training. Finally, it may be that, due to the limited...

53/3,K/77 (Item 1 from file: 98)  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

04053301 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSA99053301 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

**Dear author--advice from a retiring editor.**

Samet, Jonathan M

American Journal of Epidemiology (Am J Epidemiol) v. 150 no5 (Sept. 1 1999)  
p. 433-6

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 0002-9262

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 2325

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... then I scan the bibliography again or even ask for advice from the authors.

With **reviewers assigned**, the **manuscript** goes into a file drawer, sometimes coming out again prematurely if reviewers refuse (reader hint...)

56/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 2)

DIALOG(R)File 2:INSPEC

(c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

03573515 INSPEC Abstract Number: C90022202

**Title: Development and implementation of Peer Review Plus : a computer-based tracking system for editorial offices**

Author(s): Spring, J.D.; Keys, J.T.; Garson, L.R.

Author Affiliation: Res. & Dev. Dept., American Chem. Society, Washington, DC, USA

Journal: Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences vol.29, no.4 p.261-6

Publication Date: Nov. 1989 Country of Publication: USA

CODEN: JCISD8 ISSN: 0095-2338

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code: 0095-2338/89/1629-0261\$01.50/0

Language: English

Subfile: C

**Title: Development and implementation of Peer Review Plus : a computer-based tracking system for editorial offices**

...Abstract: of manuscripts annually. The American Chemical Society (ACS) has developed a computer-based system, called **Peer Review Plus**, which is used by editorial staff for tracking manuscripts, assigning reviewers, and generating statistical reports...

... lack-of-action bottlenecks for manuscripts, etc. Word-processing functions have been integrated with the **Peer Review Plus** software, and automated telecommunication facilities have been developed to permit unattended transfer of data in...

...Identifiers: **Peer Review Plus** ;

56/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 7)

DIALOG(R)File 7:Social SciSearch(R)

(c) 2003 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03693884 GENUINE ARTICLE#: 491RX NO. REFERENCES: 19

**TITLE: Shortcomings of peer review in biomedical journals**

AUTHOR(S): Wager E (REPRINT); Jefferson T

CORPORATE SOURCE: Sideview Cottage, Stn Rd/Princes Risborough HP27

9DE/Bucks/England/ (REPRINT); UK Cochrane Ctr, Oxford OX2 7LG//England/

JOURNAL: LEARNED PUBLISHING, 2001, V14, N4 (OCT), P257-263

PUBLISHER: ASSOC LEARNED PROFESSIONAL SOC PUBL, SOUTH HOUSE, THE STREET

WORTHING, W SUSSEX BN13 3UU, ENGLAND

ISSN: 0953-1513

LANGUAGE: English DOCUMENT TYPE: Article

(ABSTRACT AVAILABLE)

...ABSTRACT: reviewers identify only the minority of a paper's defects and they may be biased. **Peer review plus** other editorial processes are associated with improvements in papers between submission and publication, but published...

56/3,K/3 (Item 1 from file: 15)

DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)

(c) 2003 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00995272 96-44665

**Innovations in publishing**

Park, Ciritta B

Association Management v47n3 PP: 47-55+ Mar 1995

ISSN: 0004-5578 JRNL CODE: AMG

WORD COUNT: 2569

...ABSTRACT: WSWA to enlist member cooperation to deliver its key messages. The American Chemical Society uses **Peer Review Plus**, a computer-based editorial management tracking system, to manage its peer review process more efficiently.

...TEXT: research scientists in the chemical industry. That adds up to a lot of shoe boxes.

**Peer Review Plus** is a computer-based editorial management tracking system that allows ACS editors to manage the...

...Herb Kaez, a professor at UCLA and an ACS associate editor for 25 years.

The **Peer Review Plus** data filing system includes a capacity of 25,000 author and review records, automatic updating...

...time equipment installation in 100 editorial offices around the world is completed this year.

The **Peer Review Plus** (version 3.0) has another benefit for ACS besides efficiency: possible revenue. "The software has...

56/3,K/4 (Item 1 from file: 20)  
DIALOG(R)File 20:Dialog Global Reporter  
(c) 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

23188149 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)  
**eMedicine Names Doug Nester As VP Of Marketing**  
BUSINESS WIRE  
June 05, 2002  
JOURNAL CODE: WBWE LANGUAGE: English RECORD TYPE: FULLTEXT  
WORD COUNT: 313

... kept current 24/7. All of eMedicine's original content undergoes four levels of physician **peer review plus** an additional review by a Pharm D. The eMedicine Clinical Knowledge Base also contains an...

56/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 120)  
DIALOG(R)File 120:U.S. Copyrights  
(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

04863527  
**Peer Review Plus** : user's manual / by Jeffrey D. Spring, John T. Keys, Lorrin R. Garson, Farhad Heydari  
CLASS: TX (Textual Works)  
LC RETRIEVAL CODE: B (Monographic works of a non-dramatic literary nature)  
STATUS: Registered  
REGISTRATION NUMBER: TX2036806  
DATE REGISTERED: December 29, 1986 (19861229)  
REGISTRATION DEPOSIT: 1 v.

**Peer Review Plus** : user's manual...

53/9/3 (Item 2 from file: 2)  
DIALOG(R)File 2:INSPEC  
(c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

03573515 INSPEC Abstract Number: C90022202

Title: Development and implementation of Peer Review Plus: a computer-based tracking system for editorial offices

Author(s): Spring, J.D.; Keys, J.T.; Garson, L.R.

Author Affiliation: Res. & Dev. Dept., American Chem. Society, Washington, DC, USA

Journal: Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences vol.29, no.4 p.261-6

Publication Date: Nov. 1989 Country of Publication: USA

CODEN: JCISD8 ISSN: 0095-2338

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code: 0095-2338/89/1629-0261\$01.50/0

Language: English Document Type: Journal Paper (JP)

Treatment: Practical (P)

Abstract: Keeping track of manuscripts and reviewers in editorial offices can be a complex and time-consuming task, especially for offices that handle thousands of manuscripts annually. The American Chemical Society (ACS) has developed a computer-based system, called Peer Review Plus, which is used by editorial staff for tracking manuscripts, assigning reviewers, and generating statistical reports. The current software is a second generation system that required approximately 4 man-years to develop. The software centers around reviewer and author/manuscript files with a capacity of approximately 25000 author and reviewer records providing rapid record access via several file keys. The system permits office staff to quickly select appropriate reviewers for manuscripts in specific subdisciplines, check the status of manuscripts, identify overdue reviews, list manuscripts for a particular author, list manuscript processing time, identify lack-of-action bottlenecks for manuscripts, etc. Word-processing functions have been integrated with the Peer Review Plus software, and automated telecommunication facilities have been developed to permit unattended transfer of data in a networked environment. (9 Refs)

Subfile: C

Descriptors: administrative data processing; publishing

Identifiers: work processing functions; unattended data transfer; journals; Peer Review Plus; computer-based tracking system; editorial offices; manuscripts; reviewers; statistical reports; rapid record access; file keys; subdisciplines; overdue reviews; author; processing time; lack-of-action bottlenecks; automated telecommunication facilities; networked environment

Class Codes: C7230 (Publishing and reproduction); C7190 (Other fields)

?



23/9/27 (Item 4 from file: 98)  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03048189 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS195048189 (THIS IS THE FULLTEXT)  
**Peering into peer review.**  
Price, Jill S  
Musser, George; Caldwell, KarenAnn  
Mercury (San Francisco, Calif.) (Mercury) v. 24 (Nov./Dec. '95) p. 32-4  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Feature Article  
SPECIAL FEATURES: il ISSN: 0047-6773  
LANGUAGE: English  
COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States  
RECORD TYPE: Abstract; Fulltext RECORD STATUS: New record  
WORD COUNT: 3091

ABSTRACT: Although astronomers claim to be happy with the current system of peer review overall, dissatisfaction is expressed by many regarding the specifics of the process. The main complaints are that it is slow, that the responses of referees and authors can be ill-tempered, that controversial papers are blocked or ignored, and that some authors can get very poor work published. Many astronomers express the opinion that such problems can be overcome by the editors of science journals. Recently, there appears to be a trend toward a freer approach to the publication of manuscripts. More and more results are being published in the form of conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases that have not been subjected to peer review. The Internet is also providing an unsupervised alternative to traditional journals.

TEXT:

In September the Astrophysical Journal Letters became the first major astronomical journal to go online. Its spiffy hypertext and fast turnaround time typify how the Internet is transforming academic publishing. Yet the question that vexes scientists most about the cyberjournals is an old one: how to maintain quality?

Traditionally, the answer has been peer review: When a researcher submits a paper to a journal, the editor asks an expert in the field to decide whether it should be published. The practice of peer review always manages to be at the heart of controversies in publishing. Can't keep up with the ever-expanding journals? Maybe peer reviews are letting through unworthy papers. The conventional wisdom stymies innovative research? Maybe peer reviews depend too heavily on experts' prejudices.

On the whole, astronomers say they're happy with the current system of peer review. But when it comes to specifics, many express dissatisfaction. Nearly everyone can relate a bad experience with the process. Caltech cosmologist Kip Thorne told us, "Although the peer review system is the best I know of, to a disconcerting degree it is a crapshoot."

Although the electronic Ap.J. maintains the traditional system of peer review, the Internet also offers the first real alternative: a laissez-faire system in which every paper gets published and everyone decides for himself or herself what is worthwhile. This and other ways to bypass peer review, such as conference proceedings and press releases, are gaining in popularity. Now that there is a way, there is a will.

YOU MAKE THE CALL

Scientists are always critiquing each other's work, but peer reviews formalize that interchange. A peer review begins when an author submits a manuscript to a journal and the editor asks someone else in the field to make the call: publish it, reject it, or suggest changes. As the first person to study the paper who (in principle) has no vested interest in it, the referee can spot errors that escape scientists with even the best of abilities and intentions.

The entire review system is voluntary. Reviewers are not paid for

their reviews , and they may decline to review a manuscript . Even so, most scientists are happy to take part, since they realize it is as important to be a critic as a creator.

When we informally polled 50 professional astronomers about peer review, we asked what they expect from a referee, and it's a lot:

Make sure the paper meets the standards of the journal.

Point out to the authors related papers they may not have known about.

Point out any errors in observing technique or theoretical argument.

Verify the bibliographic references.

Check the figures.

Point out which parts of the paper are out on a limb and need additional proof.

Make suggestions about the use of language.

Determine whether the work is original or a rehash of earlier work.

Our sources also told us the main thing they don't want a reviewer to do: agree or disagree with the results or the author's interpretation of them. Only the test of time, the use of the results by the community of scientists, can decide whether the ideas are not just plausible, but true.

So much for the theory. In practice, astronomers feel ambivalent about peer review. On the one hand, four out of five respondents to the 1990 American Astronomical Society membership survey said they were satisfied with the system. Compared with other disciplines, astronomy is remarkably contented. When the National Science Foundation asked its 1985 grant recipients whether they were satisfied with the peer review of their proposals, 38 percent said they were not. Two-thirds of the respondents agreed that the NSF is unlikely to fund high-risk, innovative research because its reviews are too conservative.

On the other hand, every astronomer you ask says that referees could do better. Our sources told of reviewers who procrastinated for months, who hurled insults at them, who blocked publication out of ignorance or spite, or who didn't bother to make any suggestions at all.

It's slow. The primary complaint about peer review is its slow pace. According to the AAS, publisher of the Astrophysical Journal, the average time for an author to receive a critique is supposed to be four weeks. But actual times to get a manuscript back from a referee can be as long as eight months -- an eternity in a field where the pace of discovery is so rapid. Out of desperation, Ap.J. associate editor Virginia Trimble said she has started keeping track of slow referees, and sending them each other's papers.

It's ill-tempered. A disconcerting number of referees and authors forget basic human courtesies. "I've seen a number of referee reports that are extremely vicious," Harvard astronomer John Huchra said. "There's almost never any cause for this unless the paper itself is nasty or vitriolic. Referees should be urged to be civil and watch standards, and authors should be urged to have patience with the referees if there are points of misunderstanding or miscommunication."

It's capricious. Reviewers are not supposed to block publication of controversial papers, but they do. "The peer review system is valuable, but it is certainly abused, and in particular is too strongly influenced by, or even controlled by, 'establishment science.' The system works reasonably well as long as new or controversial material is not involved," said William Tifft of the University of Arizona.

Thorne agreed: "During my 30 years in science, I have seen a handful of papers (mostly by colleagues) which turned out to be extremely important, which were rejected in the first round of refereeing."

Some reviewers refuse even to look at potentially controversial papers, and their abstention is as damning as any negative critique. Tifft, no stranger to controversy [see "A Brief History of Quantized Time," September/October, p. 12], has run into this. "One of my most recent papers on redshift quantization was sent to four different referees and none would review it," he said. "This leads to rejection by default."

Less determined authors emasculate their papers to get them past the reviewers. As Ethan Vishniac at the University of Texas said, "The easiest way to get a theory paper published is to write a paper that breaks no new

ground, contradicts no previous work, references everyone under the Sun, and includes only a straightforward calculation of a minor variation of previous models. No one will read such a paper, perhaps not even the referee, but it will boost the author's resume."

It's lenient. Other astronomers think that peer review fails to enforce the standards that scientific papers should meet. A famous, overbearing, or just plain obnoxious author can steamroll over editors and reviewers. "The current refereeing system fails in the most important way: It is virtually impossible to prevent a paper from being published in a refereed journal, no matter how poor the work is," one astronomer told us. Are we overburdening journals with contentless papers that nobody reads?

The statistics seem to back this up. According to Ap.J. editor Helmut Abt, of the 1039 papers submitted in 1984 to the Ap.J., Astronomical Journal, and Publications of the ASP, 90 percent were accepted. Of the rejected papers, a third were published elsewhere. The PASP had the highest rejection rate -- 12 percent. The planetary-science journal Icarus has higher rates of rejection, up to 30 percent. In other sciences, rejection rates exceed 50 percent.

Many astronomers argue that the high acceptance rate is good, for a variety of reasons. "Almost all papers contain material which ought to be part of the community data treasure trove," said Caty Pilachowski at Kitt Peak National Observatory. "Even if an author's conclusions are wrong, the data usually are not, and they can be of great value to someone else." Meg Urry of the Space Telescope Science Institute said it's better to accept dross than to risk rejecting brilliance. "I think we have to be prepared to accept lots of useless papers to get the occasional important one," she said.

A.J. editor Paul Hodge said that the acceptance rate is high because peer review is doing its job: helping authors to bring their papers up to par. "Our experience is that reviews cause a significant improvement in about 85 percent of the papers which get accepted," he said.

But Greg Bothun at the University of Oregon said the fraction of helpful reviews is more like 15 percent. He has kept informal statistics on the reviews of his papers, and he said that half the time the referee misunderstood the data or the arguments, thought the paper was on something else, or was in an enemy camp.

"I would like nothing better than to have my paper improved and broadened by a knowledgeable referee," Bothun said. "This rarely happens. Indeed, I can point to about 20 papers I have published that have received no referee's report at all save the words 'publish it.' That doesn't improve the quality of the paper very much."

Kristen Sellgren at Ohio State University is also cynical. "I think many reviewers don't read papers very carefully," she said. "I like to think the reviewer is the last line of defense against a bad mistake that I haven't caught, but often the reviews show little or no indication that the referee has thought about, understood, or even read the paper."

Sellgren's colleague Jay Frogel said he often shows his papers to colleagues in his department; the comments he receives from them are "more useful, more extensive, and more critical" than what he later gets from referees.

One thing is certain: Astronomers are under a great deal of pressure to publish. Job security and peer respect hinge on how many papers one can crank out. For this reason, it is hard to imagine that the volume of publication will go down unless the rejection rates go up. Icarus editor Joe Burns suggested that accepting a paper simply because it is correct is not good enough. "Editors should be able to defend why every article makes it into print," he said. "It's not enough just to say that I couldn't find anything wrong with it."

#### FIRM BUT FAIR

For many astronomers, the editor is the solution to the problems of peer review. Those we interviewed said editors should crack down on sluggish referees, replace misguided referees, double-check critiques, and stand firm when an author tries to bypass a referee's judgment. PASP editor Howard Bond said that he edits referee reports if they contain incorrect

statements or inappropriate remarks.

Editors must also counteract the tendency of peer review to homogenize publications. In principle, each journal has its own emphasis and tolerance for new ideas, giving authors the chance to pick the place where their paper belongs. In practice, because astronomy is a small field, different journals tend to call on the same referees. Abt said that, in the rare case where a paper has been rejected by one journal and sent to another, "one would be surprised at how often the new editor selects the same referee as did the old one." Preventing this takes some legwork on the editor's part, as Abt described: "When I know that a paper has been rejected by another journal, I find out from the journal's editor the name(s) of the rejecting referee(s) so that I can, for fairness, select a different person."

Most astronomers agree on the need for firm but fair editing, but they have yet to reach a consensus on other reforms. Take anonymity. In astronomy, the referee always knows who the author is, but not vice-versa. Should the author know the referee's identity (an open review)? Some say open reviews would improve accountability, while others say that reviewers need anonymity to speak freely. Should the author remain anonymous (a double-blind review)? Some say double-blinding would remove the unhealthy deference paid to top names, while others say that knowing the identity of the authors is important to judging their work.

In 1991, the American Economic Review conducted an experiment: They sent half of the incoming manuscripts to normal peer review, and the other half to double-blind peer review. The double-blind reviews were tougher and faster.

Increasing the number of reviewers is another possible reform. Icarus already sends each paper to two reviewers, and Ap.J. sends panned papers out for a second opinion. The extreme version of this would be review by a panel of experts sitting together in a room. The NSF Astronomy Division, which previously used only anonymous peer review, recently decided to experiment with panel review of grant proposals. No one we talked to mentioned panel review of papers.

Will tinkering fix peer review, or should astronomers consider a radically different system? James van Allen of the University of Iowa suggested two alternatives to peer review: nomination of papers by professional societies and elimination of peer review altogether. "One alternative is for a journal to accept only those papers that have been 'communicated' by established authorities, for instance, members of the National Academy of Sciences, or the Royal Astronomical Society," he said. "In effect, the communicator endorses the validity of the work and relieves the editor from further scrutiny of the submitted manuscripts. My own view is that this process, despite its merits, tends to be excessively authoritarian and may suppress fresh and daring departures from the prevailing paradigm."

#### THE WEALTH OF PAPERS

Van Allen's second alternative is a "free-for-all system (like the Internet) entirely dependent on the authors' competence and their willingness to stake their reputations on their manuscripts as written."

For better or worse, science is clearly heading for this laissez-faire approach. Many results first appear in unrefereed conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases. The savvy recognize such information as to be used with extreme caution and as almost certain to change. Normally, the general public is not among the savvy. Astronomers worry, in particular, that NASA's press releases have overhyped Hubble Space Telescope findings. Front-page fame is certainly more appealing than running the gauntlet of colleagues trying to shoot down your theory, but it doesn't contribute much to the reliability of knowledge.

The Internet is the ultimate in unrefereed publishing. Not only is it easy to use -- no more hours wandering libraries or fighting Xerox machines -- it is cheap. Readers don't need to pay \$165 a year to subscribe; authors don't need to pay the \$100 a page that printed journals demand of

them. Bothun told us he may never publish another paper again. He puts his data on the World Wide Web for anyone to access, and saves a bundle. "I have published about 2,000 pages. That's about \$200,000 dumped into journals in the last 15 years," he said.

Would eliminating peer review change much? Perhaps not. Many reviews are ineffectual, almost all papers are accepted anyway, and only time can tell whether a paper is correct. Nonetheless, van Allen said he fears that widespread adoption of the laissez-faire system "would tend to undermine readers' confidence in published work and result in considerable chaos in most fields."

Peter Boyce, senior AAS associate, also said he worries about anarchy. "The concept of putting everything up for public comment has a seductive ring to it, but then everyone has to read everything, and who has time to do that? And whose public comments do you believe?" he asked.

Boyce leads the team that is putting the Ap.J. online. "I think that in the electronic environment it will be even more important to have good editing and good peer review," he said. "The problem will be to sort out the good stuff from the junk. The only defense I see against declining quality is to have responsible peer reviewing for the meaningful electronic journals. And that's what the AAS will be doing."

Nobody completely likes peer review, but in lieu of a clearly better alternative, most agree with Boyce. "Peer review is an essential ingredient in maintaining astronomy's high scientific standards while preserving an individual's ability to explore new or divergent ideas," Pilachowski said. Still, it is worrisome that those who sing the praises of peer review most vigorously tend to be well-established astronomers at name institutions. The others are more willing to experiment with alternatives. Will this rift widen, creating two tiers of publication? In some ways, it is already happening.

Perhaps the greatest benefit of technological change is the discussion it has provoked about peer review, and academic publishing in general. For years journals proliferated, librarians groaned, and nobody knew what to do. But the Internet is sweeping all before it. "The information superhighway is changing the publishing landscape," one astronomer observed. "The journals must embrace this new medium, or be made obsolete by it."

Added material

JILL S. PRICE is a professor of physics and astronomy at Bentley College in Waltham, Mass. Her research specialties include dwarf galaxies, infrared astronomy, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is cxhx87b@prodigy.com.

GEORGE MUSSER is the editor of Mercury magazine. His email address is gmusser@stars.sfsu.edu.

ABIGAIL A. HAFER is a professor of biology at Curry College in Milton, Mass.

KARENANN CALDWELL is a professor of chemistry and astronomy at New Mexico State University in Carlsbad, N.M. She has done research on planetary atmospheres, comets, galaxies, star formation, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is caldwell@cavern.nmsu.edu.

#### DESCRIPTORS:

Scientific literature--Peer reviews

23/9/27 (Item 4 from file: 98)  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03048189 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS195048189 (THIS IS THE FULLTEXT)

**Peering into peer review.**

Price, Jill S

Musser, George; Caldwell, KarenAnn

Mercury (San Francisco, Calif.) (Mercury) v. 24 (Nov./Dec. '95) p. 32-4

DOCUMENT TYPE: Feature Article

SPECIAL FEATURES: il ISSN: 0047-6773

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

RECORD TYPE: Abstract; Fulltext RECORD STATUS: New record

WORD COUNT: 3091

ABSTRACT: Although astronomers claim to be happy with the current system of peer review overall, dissatisfaction is expressed by many regarding the specifics of the process. The main complaints are that it is slow, that the responses of referees and authors can be ill-tempered, that controversial papers are blocked or ignored, and that some authors can get very poor work published. Many astronomers express the opinion that such problems can be overcome by the editors of science journals. Recently, there appears to be a trend toward a freer approach to the publication of manuscripts. More and more results are being published in the form of conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases that have not been subjected to peer review. The Internet is also providing an unsupervised alternative to traditional journals.

TEXT:

In September the Astrophysical Journal Letters became the first major astronomical journal to go online. Its spiffy hypertext and fast turnaround time typify how the Internet is transforming academic publishing. Yet the question that vexes scientists most about the cyberjournals is an old one: how to maintain quality?

Traditionally, the answer has been peer review: When a researcher submits a paper to a journal, the editor asks an expert in the field to decide whether it should be published. The practice of peer review always manages to be at the heart of controversies in publishing. Can't keep up with the ever-expanding journals? Maybe peer reviews are letting through unworthy papers. The conventional wisdom stymies innovative research? Maybe peer reviews depend too heavily on experts' prejudices.

On the whole, astronomers say they're happy with the current system of peer review. But when it comes to specifics, many express dissatisfaction. Nearly everyone can relate a bad experience with the process. Caltech cosmologist Kip Thorne told us, "Although the peer review system is the best I know of, to a disconcerting degree it is a crapshoot."

Although the electronic Ap.J. maintains the traditional system of peer review, the Internet also offers the first real alternative: a laissez-faire system in which every paper gets published and everyone decides for himself or herself what is worthwhile. This and other ways to bypass peer review, such as conference proceedings and press releases, are gaining in popularity. Now that there is a way, there is a will.

YOU MAKE THE CALL

Scientists are always critiquing each other's work, but peer reviews formalize that interchange. A peer review begins when an author submits a manuscript to a journal and the editor asks someone else in the field to make the call: publish it, reject it, or suggest changes. As the first person to study the paper who (in principle) has no vested interest in it, the referee can spot errors that escape scientists with even the best of abilities and intentions.

The entire review system is voluntary. Reviewers are not paid for

their reviews , and they may decline to review a manuscript . Even so, most scientists are happy to take part, since they realize it is as important to be a critic as a creator.

When we informally polled 50 professional astronomers about peer review, we asked what they expect from a referee, and it's a lot:

Make sure the paper meets the standards of the journal.

Point out to the authors related papers they may not have known about.

Point out any errors in observing technique or theoretical argument.

Verify the bibliographic references.

Check the figures.

Point out which parts of the paper are out on a limb and need additional proof.

Make suggestions about the use of language.

Determine whether the work is original or a rehash of earlier work.

Our sources also told us the main thing they don't want a reviewer to do: agree or disagree with the results or the author's interpretation of them. Only the test of time, the use of the results by the community of scientists, can decide whether the ideas are not just plausible, but true.

So much for the theory. In practice, astronomers feel ambivalent about peer review. On the one hand, four out of five respondents to the 1990 American Astronomical Society membership survey said they were satisfied with the system. Compared with other disciplines, astronomy is remarkably contented. When the National Science Foundation asked its 1985 grant recipients whether they were satisfied with the peer review of their proposals, 38 percent said they were not. Two-thirds of the respondents agreed that the NSF is unlikely to fund high-risk, innovative research because its reviews are too conservative.

On the other hand, every astronomer you ask says that referees could do better. Our sources told of reviewers who procrastinated for months, who hurled insults at them, who blocked publication out of ignorance or spite, or who didn't bother to make any suggestions at all.

It's slow. The primary complaint about peer review is its slow pace. According to the AAS, publisher of the Astrophysical Journal, the average time for an author to receive a critique is supposed to be four weeks. But actual times to get a manuscript back from a referee can be as long as eight months -- an eternity in a field where the pace of discovery is so rapid. Out of desperation, Ap.J. associate editor Virginia Trimble said she has started keeping track of slow referees, and sending them each other's papers.

It's ill-tempered. A disconcerting number of referees and authors forget basic human courtesies. "I've seen a number of referee reports that are extremely vicious," Harvard astronomer John Huchra said. "There's almost never any cause for this unless the paper itself is nasty or vitriolic. Referees should be urged to be civil and watch standards, and authors should be urged to have patience with the referees if there are points of misunderstanding or miscommunication."

It's capricious. Reviewers are not supposed to block publication of controversial papers, but they do. "The peer review system is valuable, but it is certainly abused, and in particular is too strongly influenced by, or even controlled by, 'establishment science.' The system works reasonably well as long as new or controversial material is not involved," said William Tifft of the University of Arizona.

Thorne agreed: "During my 30 years in science, I have seen a handful of papers (mostly by colleagues) which turned out to be extremely important, which were rejected in the first round of refereeing."

Some reviewers refuse even to look at potentially controversial papers, and their abstention is as damning as any negative critique. Tifft, no stranger to controversy [see "A Brief History of Quantized Time," September/October, p. 12], has run into this. "One of my most recent papers on redshift quantization was sent to four different referees and none would review it," he said. "This leads to rejection by default."

Less determined authors emasculate their papers to get them past the reviewers. As Ethan Vishniac at the University of Texas said, "The easiest way to get a theory paper published is to write a paper that breaks no new

ground, contradicts no previous work, references everyone under the Sun, and includes only a straightforward calculation of a minor variation of previous models. No one will read such a paper, perhaps not even the referee, but it will boost the author's resume."

It's lenient. Other astronomers think that peer review fails to enforce the standards that scientific papers should meet. A famous, overbearing, or just plain obnoxious author can steamroll over editors and reviewers. "The current refereeing system fails in the most important way: It is virtually impossible to prevent a paper from being published in a refereed journal, no matter how poor the work is," one astronomer told us. Are we overburdening journals with contentless papers that nobody reads?

The statistics seem to back this up. According to Ap.J. editor Helmut Abt, of the 1039 papers submitted in 1984 to the Ap.J., Astronomical Journal, and Publications of the ASP, 90 percent were accepted. Of the rejected papers, a third were published elsewhere. The PASP had the highest rejection rate -- 12 percent. The planetary-science journal Icarus has higher rates of rejection, up to 30 percent. In other sciences, rejection rates exceed 50 percent.

Many astronomers argue that the high acceptance rate is good, for a variety of reasons. "Almost all papers contain material which ought to be part of the community data treasure trove," said Caty Pilachowski at Kitt Peak National Observatory. "Even if an author's conclusions are wrong, the data usually are not, and they can be of great value to someone else." Meg Urry of the Space Telescope Science Institute said it's better to accept dross than to risk rejecting brilliance. "I think we have to be prepared to accept lots of useless papers to get the occasional important one," she said.

A.J. editor Paul Hodge said that the acceptance rate is high because peer review is doing its job: helping authors to bring their papers up to par. "Our experience is that reviews cause a significant improvement in about 85 percent of the papers which get accepted," he said.

But Greg Bothun at the University of Oregon said the fraction of helpful reviews is more like 15 percent. He has kept informal statistics on the reviews of his papers, and he said that half the time the referee misunderstood the data or the arguments, thought the paper was on something else, or was in an enemy camp.

"I would like nothing better than to have my paper improved and broadened by a knowledgeable referee," Bothun said. "This rarely happens. Indeed, I can point to about 20 papers I have published that have received no referee's report at all save the words 'publish it.' That doesn't improve the quality of the paper very much."

Kristen Sellgren at Ohio State University is also cynical. "I think many reviewers don't read papers very carefully," she said. "I like to think the reviewer is the last line of defense against a bad mistake that I haven't caught, but often the reviews show little or no indication that the referee has thought about, understood, or even read the paper."

Sellgren's colleague Jay Frogel said he often shows his papers to colleagues in his department; the comments he receives from them are "more useful, more extensive, and more critical" than what he later gets from referees.

One thing is certain: Astronomers are under a great deal of pressure to publish. Job security and peer respect hinge on how many papers one can crank out. For this reason, it is hard to imagine that the volume of publication will go down unless the rejection rates go up. Icarus editor Joe Burns suggested that accepting a paper simply because it is correct is not good enough. "Editors should be able to defend why every article makes it into print," he said. "It's not enough just to say that I couldn't find anything wrong with it."

#### FIRM BUT FAIR

For many astronomers, the editor is the solution to the problems of peer review. Those we interviewed said editors should crack down on sluggish referees, replace misguiding referees, double-check critiques, and stand firm when an author tries to bypass a referee's judgment. PASP editor Howard Bond said that he edits referee reports if they contain incorrect



statements or inappropriate remarks.

Editors must also counteract the tendency of peer review to homogenize publications. In principle, each journal has its own emphasis and tolerance for new ideas, giving authors the chance to pick the place where their paper belongs. In practice, because astronomy is a small field, different journals tend to call on the same referees. Abt said that, in the rare case where a paper has been rejected by one journal and sent to another, "one would be surprised at how often the new editor selects the same referee as did the old one." Preventing this takes some legwork on the editor's part, as Abt described: "When I know that a paper has been rejected by another journal, I find out from the journal's editor the name(s) of the rejecting referee(s) so that I can, for fairness, select a different person."

Most astronomers agree on the need for firm but fair editing, but they have yet to reach a consensus on other reforms. Take anonymity. In astronomy, the referee always knows who the author is, but not vice-versa. Should the author know the referee's identity (an open review)? Some say open reviews would improve accountability, while others say that reviewers need anonymity to speak freely. Should the author remain anonymous (a double-blind review)? Some say double-blinding would remove the unhealthy deference paid to top names, while others say that knowing the identity of the authors is important to judging their work.

In 1991, the American Economic Review conducted an experiment: They sent half of the incoming manuscripts to normal peer review, and the other half to double-blind peer review. The double-blind reviews were tougher and faster.

Increasing the number of reviewers is another possible reform. Icarus already sends each paper to two reviewers, and Ap.J. sends panned papers out for a second opinion. The extreme version of this would be review by a panel of experts sitting together in a room. The NSF Astronomy Division, which previously used only anonymous peer review, recently decided to experiment with panel review of grant proposals. No one we talked to mentioned panel review of papers.

Will tinkering fix peer review, or should astronomers consider a radically different system? James van Allen of the University of Iowa suggested two alternatives to peer review: nomination of papers by professional societies and elimination of peer review altogether. "One alternative is for a journal to accept only those papers that have been 'communicated' by established authorities, for instance, members of the National Academy of Sciences, or the Royal Astronomical Society," he said. "In effect, the communicator endorses the validity of the work and relieves the editor from further scrutiny of the submitted manuscripts. My own view is that this process, despite its merits, tends to be excessively authoritarian and may suppress fresh and daring departures from the prevailing paradigm."

#### THE WEALTH OF PAPERS

Van Allen's second alternative is a "free-for-all system (like the Internet) entirely dependent on the authors' competence and their willingness to stake their reputations on their manuscripts as written."

For better or worse, science is clearly heading for this laissez-faire approach. Many results first appear in unrefereed conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases. The savvy recognize such information as to be used with extreme caution and as almost certain to change. Normally, the general public is not among the savvy. Astronomers worry, in particular, that NASA's press releases have overhyped Hubble Space Telescope findings. Front-page fame is certainly more appealing than running the gauntlet of colleagues trying to shoot down your theory, but it doesn't contribute much to the reliability of knowledge.

The Internet is the ultimate in unrefereed publishing. Not only is it easy to use -- no more hours wandering libraries or fighting Xerox machines -- it is cheap. Readers don't need to pay \$165 a year to subscribe; authors don't need to pay the \$100 a page that printed journals demand of

them. Bothun told us he may never publish another paper again. He puts his data on the World Wide Web for anyone to access, and saves a bundle. "I have published about 2,000 pages. That's about \$200,000 dumped into journals in the last 15 years," he said.

Would eliminating peer review change much? Perhaps not. Many reviews are ineffectual, almost all papers are accepted anyway, and only time can tell whether a paper is correct. Nonetheless, van Allen said he fears that widespread adoption of the laissez-faire system "would tend to undermine readers' confidence in published work and result in considerable chaos in most fields."

Peter Boyce, senior AAS associate, also said he worries about anarchy. "The concept of putting everything up for public comment has a seductive ring to it, but then everyone has to read everything, and who has time to do that? And whose public comments do you believe?" he asked.

Boyce leads the team that is putting the Ap.J. online. "I think that in the electronic environment it will be even more important to have good editing and good peer review," he said. "The problem will be to sort out the good stuff from the junk. The only defense I see against declining quality is to have responsible peer reviewing for the meaningful electronic journals. And that's what the AAS will be doing."

Nobody completely likes peer review, but in lieu of a clearly better alternative, most agree with Boyce. "Peer review is an essential ingredient in maintaining astronomy's high scientific standards while preserving an individual's ability to explore new or divergent ideas," Pilachowski said. Still, it is worrisome that those who sing the praises of peer review most vigorously tend to be well-established astronomers at name institutions. The others are more willing to experiment with alternatives. Will this rift widen, creating two tiers of publication? In some ways, it is already happening.

Perhaps the greatest benefit of technological change is the discussion it has provoked about peer review, and academic publishing in general. For years journals proliferated, librarians groaned, and nobody knew what to do. But the Internet is sweeping all before it. "The information superhighway is changing the publishing landscape," one astronomer observed. "The journals must embrace this new medium, or be made obsolete by it."

Added material

JILL S. PRICE is a professor of physics and astronomy at Bentley College in Waltham, Mass. Her research specialties include dwarf galaxies, infrared astronomy, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is cxhx87b@prodigy.com.

GEORGE MUSSER is the editor of Mercury magazine. His email address is gmusser@stars.sfsu.edu.

ABIGAIL A. HAFER is a professor of biology at Curry College in Milton, Mass.

KARENANN CALDWELL is a professor of chemistry and astronomy at New Mexico State University in Carlsbad, N.M. She has done research on planetary atmospheres, comets, galaxies, star formation, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is caldwell@cavern.nmsu.edu.

#### DESCRIPTORS:

Scientific literature--Peer reviews

23/9/27 (Item 4 from file: 98)  
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text  
(c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03048189 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS195048189 (THIS IS THE FULLTEXT)

**Peering into peer review.**

Price, Jill S

Musser, George; Caldwell, KarenAnn

Mercury (San Francisco, Calif.) (Mercury) v. 24 (Nov./Dec. '95) p. 32-4

DOCUMENT TYPE: Feature Article

SPECIAL FEATURES: il ISSN: 0047-6773

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

RECORD TYPE: Abstract; Fulltext RECORD STATUS: New record

WORD COUNT: 3091

**ABSTRACT:** Although astronomers claim to be happy with the current system of peer review overall, dissatisfaction is expressed by many regarding the specifics of the process. The main complaints are that it is slow, that the responses of referees and authors can be ill-tempered, that controversial papers are blocked or ignored, and that some authors can get very poor work published. Many astronomers express the opinion that such problems can be overcome by the editors of science journals. Recently, there appears to be a trend toward a freer approach to the publication of manuscripts. More and more results are being published in the form of conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases that have not been subjected to peer review. The Internet is also providing an unsupervised alternative to traditional journals.

**TEXT:**

In September the Astrophysical Journal Letters became the first major astronomical journal to go online. Its spiffy hypertext and fast turnaround time typify how the Internet is transforming academic publishing. Yet the question that vexes scientists most about the cyberjournals is an old one: how to maintain quality?

Traditionally, the answer has been peer review: When a researcher submits a paper to a journal, the editor asks an expert in the field to decide whether it should be published. The practice of peer review always manages to be at the heart of controversies in publishing. Can't keep up with the ever-expanding journals? Maybe peer reviews are letting through unworthy papers. The conventional wisdom stymies innovative research? Maybe peer reviews depend too heavily on experts' prejudices.

On the whole, astronomers say they're happy with the current system of peer review. But when it comes to specifics, many express dissatisfaction. Nearly everyone can relate a bad experience with the process. Caltech cosmologist Kip Thorne told us, "Although the peer review system is the best I know of, to a disconcerting degree it is a crapshoot."

Although the electronic Ap.J. maintains the traditional system of peer review, the Internet also offers the first real alternative: a laissez-faire system in which every paper gets published and everyone decides for himself or herself what is worthwhile. This and other ways to bypass peer review, such as conference proceedings and press releases, are gaining in popularity. Now that there is a way, there is a will.

**YOU MAKE THE CALL**

Scientists are always critiquing each other's work, but peer reviews formalize that interchange. A peer review begins when an author submits a manuscript to a journal and the editor asks someone else in the field to make the call: publish it, reject it, or suggest changes. As the first person to study the paper who (in principle) has no vested interest in it, the referee can spot errors that escape scientists with even the best of abilities and intentions.

The entire review system is voluntary. Reviewers are not paid for

their reviews, and they may decline to review a manuscript. Even so, most scientists are happy to take part, since they realize it is as important to be a critic as a creator.

When we informally polled 50 professional astronomers about peer review, we asked what they expect from a referee, and it's a lot:

Make sure the paper meets the standards of the journal.

Point out to the authors related papers they may not have known about.

Point out any errors in observing technique or theoretical argument.

Verify the bibliographic references.

Check the figures.

Point out which parts of the paper are out on a limb and need additional proof.

Make suggestions about the use of language.

Determine whether the work is original or a rehash of earlier work.

Our sources also told us the main thing they don't want a reviewer to do: agree or disagree with the results or the author's interpretation of them. Only the test of time, the use of the results by the community of scientists, can decide whether the ideas are not just plausible, but true.

So much for the theory. In practice, astronomers feel ambivalent about peer review. On the one hand, four out of five respondents to the 1990 American Astronomical Society membership survey said they were satisfied with the system. Compared with other disciplines, astronomy is remarkably contented. When the National Science Foundation asked its 1985 grant recipients whether they were satisfied with the peer review of their proposals, 38 percent said they were not. Two-thirds of the respondents agreed that the NSF is unlikely to fund high-risk, innovative research because its reviews are too conservative.

On the other hand, every astronomer you ask says that referees could do better. Our sources told of reviewers who procrastinated for months, who hurled insults at them, who blocked publication out of ignorance or spite, or who didn't bother to make any suggestions at all.

It's slow. The primary complaint about peer review is its slow pace. According to the AAS, publisher of the Astrophysical Journal, the average time for an author to receive a critique is supposed to be four weeks. But actual times to get a manuscript back from a referee can be as long as eight months -- an eternity in a field where the pace of discovery is so rapid. Out of desperation, Ap.J. associate editor Virginia Trimble said she has started keeping track of slow referees, and sending them each other's papers.

It's ill-tempered. A disconcerting number of referees and authors forget basic human courtesies. "I've seen a number of referee reports that are extremely vicious," Harvard astronomer John Huchra said. "There's almost never any cause for this unless the paper itself is nasty or vitriolic. Referees should be urged to be civil and watch standards, and authors should be urged to have patience with the referees if there are points of misunderstanding or miscommunication."

It's capricious. Reviewers are not supposed to block publication of controversial papers, but they do. "The peer review system is valuable, but it is certainly abused, and in particular is too strongly influenced by, or even controlled by, 'establishment science.' The system works reasonably well as long as new or controversial material is not involved," said William Tifft of the University of Arizona.

Thorne agreed: "During my 30 years in science, I have seen a handful of papers (mostly by colleagues) which turned out to be extremely important, which were rejected in the first round of refereeing."

Some reviewers refuse even to look at potentially controversial papers, and their abstention is as damning as any negative critique. Tifft, no stranger to controversy [see "A Brief History of Quantized Time," September/October, p. 12], has run into this. "One of my most recent papers on redshift quantization was sent to four different referees and none would review it," he said. "This leads to rejection by default."

Less determined authors emasculate their papers to get them past the reviewers. As Ethan Vishniac at the University of Texas said, "The easiest way to get a theory paper published is to write a paper that breaks no new

ground, contradicts no previous work, references everyone under the Sun, and includes only a straightforward calculation of a minor variation of previous models. No one will read such a paper, perhaps not even the referee, but it will boost the author's resume."

It's lenient. Other astronomers think that peer review fails to enforce the standards that scientific papers should meet. A famous, overbearing, or just plain obnoxious author can steamroll over editors and reviewers. "The current refereeing system fails in the most important way: It is virtually impossible to prevent a paper from being published in a refereed journal, no matter how poor the work is," one astronomer told us. Are we overburdening journals with contentless papers that nobody reads?

The statistics seem to back this up. According to Ap.J. editor Helmut Abt, of the 1039 papers submitted in 1984 to the Ap.J., Astronomical Journal, and Publications of the ASP, 90 percent were accepted. Of the rejected papers, a third were published elsewhere. The PASP had the highest rejection rate -- 12 percent. The planetary-science journal Icarus has higher rates of rejection, up to 30 percent. In other sciences, rejection rates exceed 50 percent.

Many astronomers argue that the high acceptance rate is good, for a variety of reasons. "Almost all papers contain material which ought to be part of the community data treasure trove," said Caty Pilachowski at Kitt Peak National Observatory. "Even if an author's conclusions are wrong, the data usually are not, and they can be of great value to someone else." Meg Urry of the Space Telescope Science Institute said it's better to accept dross than to risk rejecting brilliance. "I think we have to be prepared to accept lots of useless papers to get the occasional important one," she said.

A.J. editor Paul Hodge said that the acceptance rate is high because peer review is doing its job: helping authors to bring their papers up to par. "Our experience is that reviews cause a significant improvement in about 85 percent of the papers which get accepted," he said.

But Greg Bothun at the University of Oregon said the fraction of helpful reviews is more like 15 percent. He has kept informal statistics on the reviews of his papers, and he said that half the time the referee misunderstood the data or the arguments, thought the paper was on something else, or was in an enemy camp.

"I would like nothing better than to have my paper improved and broadened by a knowledgeable referee," Bothun said. "This rarely happens. Indeed, I can point to about 20 papers I have published that have received no referee's report at all save the words 'publish it.' That doesn't improve the quality of the paper very much."

Kristen Sellgren at Ohio State University is also cynical. "I think many reviewers don't read papers very carefully," she said. "I like to think the reviewer is the last line of defense against a bad mistake that I haven't caught, but often the reviews show little or no indication that the referee has thought about, understood, or even read the paper."

Sellgren's colleague Jay Frogel said he often shows his papers to colleagues in his department; the comments he receives from them are "more useful, more extensive, and more critical" than what he later gets from referees.

One thing is certain: Astronomers are under a great deal of pressure to publish. Job security and peer respect hinge on how many papers one can crank out. For this reason, it is hard to imagine that the volume of publication will go down unless the rejection rates go up. Icarus editor Joe Burns suggested that accepting a paper simply because it is correct is not good enough. "Editors should be able to defend why every article makes it into print," he said. "It's not enough just to say that I couldn't find anything wrong with it."

#### FIRM BUT FAIR

For many astronomers, the editor is the solution to the problems of peer review. Those we interviewed said editors should crack down on sluggish referees, replace misguided referees, double-check critiques, and stand firm when an author tries to bypass a referee's judgment. PASP editor Howard Bond said that he edits referee reports if they contain incorrect

statements or inappropriate remarks.

Editors must also counteract the tendency of peer review to homogenize publications. In principle, each journal has its own emphasis and tolerance for new ideas, giving authors the chance to pick the place where their paper belongs. In practice, because astronomy is a small field, different journals tend to call on the same referees. Abt said that, in the rare case where a paper has been rejected by one journal and sent to another, "one would be surprised at how often the new editor selects the same referee as did the old one." Preventing this takes some legwork on the editor's part, as Abt described: "When I know that a paper has been rejected by another journal, I find out from the journal's editor the name(s) of the rejecting referee(s) so that I can, for fairness, select a different person."

Most astronomers agree on the need for firm but fair editing, but they have yet to reach a consensus on other reforms. Take anonymity. In astronomy, the referee always knows who the author is, but not vice-versa. Should the author know the referee's identity (an open review)? Some say open reviews would improve accountability, while others say that reviewers need anonymity to speak freely. Should the author remain anonymous (a double-blind review)? Some say double-blinding would remove the unhealthy deference paid to top names, while others say that knowing the identity of the authors is important to judging their work.

In 1991, the American Economic Review conducted an experiment: They sent half of the incoming manuscripts to normal peer review, and the other half to double-blind peer review. The double-blind reviews were tougher and faster.

Increasing the number of reviewers is another possible reform. Icarus already sends each paper to two reviewers, and Ap.J. sends panned papers out for a second opinion. The extreme version of this would be review by a panel of experts sitting together in a room. The NSF Astronomy Division, which previously used only anonymous peer review, recently decided to experiment with panel review of grant proposals. No one we talked to mentioned panel review of papers.

Will tinkering fix peer review, or should astronomers consider a radically different system? James van Allen of the University of Iowa suggested two alternatives to peer review: nomination of papers by professional societies and elimination of peer review altogether. "One alternative is for a journal to accept only those papers that have been 'communicated' by established authorities, for instance, members of the National Academy of Sciences, or the Royal Astronomical Society," he said. "In effect, the communicator endorses the validity of the work and relieves the editor from further scrutiny of the submitted manuscripts. My own view is that this process, despite its merits, tends to be excessively authoritarian and may suppress fresh and daring departures from the prevailing paradigm."

#### THE WEALTH OF PAPERS

Van Allen's second alternative is a "free-for-all system (like the Internet) entirely dependent on the authors' competence and their willingness to stake their reputations on their manuscripts as written."

For better or worse, science is clearly heading for this laissez-faire approach. Many results first appear in unrefereed conference proceedings, abstracts, and press releases. The savvy recognize such information as to be used with extreme caution and as almost certain to change. Normally, the general public is not among the savvy. Astronomers worry, in particular, that NASA's press releases have overhyped Hubble Space Telescope findings. Front-page fame is certainly more appealing than running the gauntlet of colleagues trying to shoot down your theory, but it doesn't contribute much to the reliability of knowledge.

The Internet is the ultimate in unrefereed publishing. Not only is it easy to use -- no more hours wandering libraries or fighting Xerox machines -- it is cheap. Readers don't need to pay \$165 a year to subscribe; authors don't need to pay the \$100 a page that printed journals demand of

them. Bothun told us he may never publish another paper again. He puts his data on the World Wide Web for anyone to access, and saves a bundle. "I have published about 2,000 pages. That's about \$200,000 dumped into journals in the last 15 years," he said.

Would eliminating peer review change much? Perhaps not. Many reviews are ineffectual, almost all papers are accepted anyway, and only time can tell whether a paper is correct. Nonetheless, van Allen said he fears that widespread adoption of the laissez-faire system "would tend to undermine readers' confidence in published work and result in considerable chaos in most fields."

Peter Boyce, senior AAS associate, also said he worries about anarchy. "The concept of putting everything up for public comment has a seductive ring to it, but then everyone has to read everything, and who has time to do that? And whose public comments do you believe?" he asked.

Boyce leads the team that is putting the Ap.J. online. "I think that in the electronic environment it will be even more important to have good editing and good peer review," he said. "The problem will be to sort out the good stuff from the junk. The only defense I see against declining quality is to have responsible peer reviewing for the meaningful electronic journals. And that's what the AAS will be doing."

Nobody completely likes peer review, but in lieu of a clearly better alternative, most agree with Boyce. "Peer review is an essential ingredient in maintaining astronomy's high scientific standards while preserving an individual's ability to explore new or divergent ideas," Pilachowski said. Still, it is worrisome that those who sing the praises of peer review most vigorously tend to be well-established astronomers at name institutions. The others are more willing to experiment with alternatives. Will this rift widen, creating two tiers of publication? In some ways, it is already happening.

Perhaps the greatest benefit of technological change is the discussion it has provoked about peer review, and academic publishing in general. For years journals proliferated, librarians groaned, and nobody knew what to do. But the Internet is sweeping all before it. "The information superhighway is changing the publishing landscape," one astronomer observed. "The journals must embrace this new medium, or be made obsolete by it."

Added material

JILL S. PRICE is a professor of physics and astronomy at Bentley College in Waltham, Mass. Her research specialties include dwarf galaxies, infrared astronomy, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is cxhx87b@prodigy.com.

GEORGE MUSSER is the editor of Mercury magazine. His email address is gmusser@stars.sfsu.edu.

ABIGAIL A. HAFER is a professor of biology at Curry College in Milton, Mass.

KARENANN CALDWELL is a professor of chemistry and astronomy at New Mexico State University in Carlsbad, N.M. She has done research on planetary atmospheres, comets, galaxies, star formation, and the interstellar medium. Her email address is caldwell@cavern.nmsu.edu.

#### DESCRIPTORS:

Scientific literature--Peer reviews

DOCUMENT TYPE: Feature Article  
SPECIAL FEATURES: il ISSN: 0047-6773  
LANGUAGE: English  
COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States  
WORD COUNT: 3091

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... abilities and intentions.

The entire review system is voluntary. Reviewers are not paid for their **reviews**, and they may **decline** to **review** a **manuscript**. Even so, most scientists are happy to take part, since they realize it is as...  
?



53/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 1)

DIALOG(R)File 1:ERIC

(c) format only 2003 The Dialog Corporation. All rts. reserv.

00749732 ERIC NO.: EJ424553 CLEARINGHOUSE NO.: EC600263

Gender Factors in **Reviewer Recommendations** for Manuscript Publication.

Lloyd, Margaret E.

Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, v23 n4 p539-43 Win 1990  
1990 (19900000)

Gender Factors in **Reviewer Recommendations** for Manuscript Publication.

53/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 2)

DIALOG(R)File 2:INSPEC

(c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

4794146 INSPEC Abstract Number: C9411-7230-016

**Title: Automating the assignment of submitted manuscripts to reviewers**

Author(s): Dumais, S.T.; Nielsen, J.

Author Affiliation: Bellcore, Morristown, NJ, USA

Journal: SIGIR Forum spec. issue. p.233-44

Publication Date: 1992 Country of Publication: USA

CODEN: FASRDV ISSN: 0163-5840

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code: 0 89791 524 0/92/0006/0233\$1.50

Conference Title: 15th International ACM/SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval

Conference Sponsor: ACM

Conference Date: 21-24 June 1992 Conference Location: Copenhagen, Denmark

Language: English

Subfile: C

**Title: Automating the assignment of submitted manuscripts to reviewers**

53/3,K/3 (Item 2 from file: 2)

DIALOG(R)File 2:INSPEC

(c) 2003 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

03573515 INSPEC Abstract Number: C90022202

**Title: Development and implementation of Peer Review Plus: a computer-based tracking system for editorial offices**

Author(s): Spring, J.D.; Keys, J.T.; Garson, L.R.

Author Affiliation: Res. & Dev. Dept., American Chem. Society, Washington, DC, USA

Journal: Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences vol.29, no.4 p.261-6

Publication Date: Nov. 1989 Country of Publication: USA

CODEN: JCISD8 ISSN: 0095-2338

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code: 0095-2338/89/1629-0261\$01.50/0

Language: English

Subfile: C

...Abstract: computer-based system, called Peer Review Plus, which is used by editorial staff for tracking **manuscripts**, **assigning reviewers**, and generating statistical reports. The current software is a second generation system that required approximately...

... providing rapid record access via several file keys. The system permits office staff to quickly **select appropriate reviewers** for **manuscripts**